

Math 595 - Poisson Geometry  
Chapter 12 - Complete symplectic  
realizations

Rui Loja Fernandes

Department of Mathematics  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

April 20, 2020

# What is this chapter about:

We are now entering the last part of these lectures:

- ▶ **Aim:** What are the group-like objects integrating the Poisson algebra  $(C^\infty(M), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ ?

## What is this chapter about:

We are now entering the last part of these lectures:

- ▶ **Aim:** What are the group-like objects integrating the Poisson algebra  $(C^\infty(M), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ ?

This chapter starts unveiling these objects by looking at symplectic realizations:

- ▶ Discuss classes of symplectic realizations;
- ▶ Look at special classes: non-degenerate, zero and linear Poisson structures;
- ▶ Extrapolate from these examples to general symplectic realizations.

# 1) Complete symplectic realizations

## Definition

A symplectic realization  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  is called:

# 1) Complete symplectic realizations

## Definition

A symplectic realization  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  is called:

- (i) a **compact** symplectic realization if  $S$  is compact.

# 1) Complete symplectic realizations

## Definition

A symplectic realization  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  is called:

- (i) a **compact** symplectic realization if  $S$  is compact.
- (ii) a **proper** symplectic realizations if  $\mu$  is a proper map, i.e.

$$K \subset M \text{ is compact} \implies \mu^{-1}(K) \text{ is compact.}$$

# 1) Complete symplectic realizations

## Definition

A symplectic realization  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  is called:

- (i) a **compact** symplectic realization if  $S$  is compact.
- (ii) a **proper** symplectic realizations if  $\mu$  is a proper map, i.e.

$$K \subset M \text{ is compact} \implies \mu^{-1}(K) \text{ is compact.}$$

- (iii) a **complete** symplectic realization if  $\mu$  is complete i.e., for any smooth family  $f_t \in C^\infty(M)$  of time-dependent functions one has:

$$X_{f_t} \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \text{ is complete} \implies X_{\mu^*(f_t)} \in \mathfrak{X}(S) \text{ is complete.}$$

# 1) Complete symplectic realizations

## Definition

A symplectic realization  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  is called:

- (i) a **compact** symplectic realization if  $S$  is compact.
- (ii) a **proper** symplectic realizations if  $\mu$  is a proper map, i.e.

$$K \subset M \text{ is compact} \implies \mu^{-1}(K) \text{ is compact.}$$

- (iii) a **complete** symplectic realization if  $\mu$  is complete i.e., for any smooth family  $f_t \in C^\infty(M)$  of time-dependent functions one has:

$$X_{f_t} \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \text{ is complete} \implies X_{\mu^*(f_t)} \in \mathfrak{X}(S) \text{ is complete.}$$

compact SR  $\implies$  proper SR  $\implies$  complete SR



**Notations:** For  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ :

- $X_\alpha := \pi^\sharp(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$
- $a(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  defined by  $i_{a(\alpha)}\omega = \mu^*\alpha$ .

(if  $\alpha = df$ :  $X_\alpha = X_f$  and  $a(\alpha) = X_{\mu^*(f)}$ )

**Notations:** For  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ :

- $X_\alpha := \pi^\sharp(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$
- $a(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  defined by  $i_{a(\alpha)}\omega = \mu^*\alpha$ .

(if  $\alpha = df$ :  $X_\alpha = X_f$  and  $a(\alpha) = X_{\mu^*(f)}$ )

## Proposition

*The following are equivalent characterizations of completeness of  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$ :*

- For  $f_t \in C^\infty(M)$ :  $X_{f_t}$  complete  $\Rightarrow X_{\mu^*(f_t)} \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  complete.*
- For  $\alpha_t \in \Omega^1(M)$ :  $X_{\alpha_t}$  complete  $\Rightarrow a(\alpha_t) \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  complete*
- For  $f_t \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,  $X_{\mu^*(f_t)} \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  is complete.*
- For  $\alpha_t \in \Omega_c^1(M)$ :  $a(\alpha_t) \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  is complete.*

**Notations:** For  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ :

- $X_\alpha := \pi^\sharp(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$
- $a(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  defined by  $i_{a(\alpha)}\omega = \mu^*\alpha$ .

(if  $\alpha = df$ :  $X_\alpha = X_f$  and  $a(\alpha) = X_{\mu^*(f)}$ )

## Proposition

*The following are equivalent characterizations of completeness of  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$ :*

- For  $f_t \in C^\infty(M)$ :  $X_{f_t}$  complete  $\Rightarrow X_{\mu^*(f_t)} \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  complete.*
- For  $\alpha_t \in \Omega^1(M)$ :  $X_{\alpha_t}$  complete  $\Rightarrow a(\alpha_t) \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  complete*
- For  $f_t \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,  $X_{\mu^*(f_t)} \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  is complete.*
- For  $\alpha_t \in \Omega_c^1(M)$ :  $a(\alpha_t) \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$  is complete.*

**Note:** given  $\alpha_t \in \Omega^1(M)$  and  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  there exists  $f_t \in C_c^\infty(M)$ :

$$(\alpha_t)|_{\gamma(t)} = (df_t)|_{\gamma(t)}, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

## 2) Study case: linear Poisson structures

### Proposition

*Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is smooth map,  $G$  the 1-connected Lie group integrating  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let:*

$$a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(v)}\omega = d\mu_v.$$

*Then:*

## 2) Study case: linear Poisson structures

### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is smooth map,  $G$  the 1-connected Lie group integrating  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let:

$$a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(v)}\omega = d\mu_v.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ \text{Poisson map} \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S) \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-action} \\ \text{and } \mu \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-equivariant} \end{array}$$

## 2) Study case: linear Poisson structures

### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is smooth map,  $G$  the 1-connected Lie group integrating  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let:

$$a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(v)}\omega = d\mu_v.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ \text{Poisson map} \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S) \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-action} \\ \text{and } \mu \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-equivariant} \end{array}$$

$$\mu \text{ is complete} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g}\text{-action integrates to } G\text{-action}$$

## 2) Study case: linear Poisson structures

### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is smooth map,  $G$  the 1-connected Lie group integrating  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let:

$$a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(v)}\omega = d\mu_v.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ \text{Poisson map} \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S) \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-action} \\ \text{and } \mu \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-equivariant} \end{array}$$

$$\mu \text{ is complete} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g}\text{-action integrates to } G\text{-action}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Poisson maps} \\ \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{g}\text{-Hamiltonian} \\ \text{spaces } (S, \omega) \end{array} \right\}$$

## 2) Study case: linear Poisson structures

### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is smooth map,  $G$  the 1-connected Lie group integrating  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let:

$$a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(v)}\omega = d\mu_v.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ \text{Poisson map} \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S) \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-action} \\ \text{and } \mu \text{ is } \mathfrak{g}\text{-equivariant} \end{array}$$

$$\mu \text{ is complete} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g}\text{-action integrates to } G\text{-action}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{complete symplectic realizations} \\ \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (U, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}|_U}) \\ \text{with } U \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ open } G\text{-invariant} \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{locally free} \\ G\text{-Hamiltonian} \\ \text{spaces } (S, \omega) \end{array} \right\}$$



### 3) Study case: zero Poisson structures

#### Proposition

*Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  a submersion, and let:*

$$a : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(\alpha)}(\omega) = \mu^* \alpha.$$

*Then:*

### 3) Study case: zero Poisson structures

#### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  a submersion, and let:

$$a : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(\alpha)}(\omega) = \mu^* \alpha.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0) \\ \text{Poisson map} \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} a(\alpha) \text{ are tangent to the fibers to } \mu : \\ \text{Im}(a) \subset \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}_\mu) \end{array}$$

### 3) Study case: zero Poisson structures

#### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  a submersion, and let:

$$a : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(\alpha)}(\omega) = \mu^* \alpha.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0) \\ \text{Poisson map} \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} a(\alpha) \text{ are tangent to the fibers to } \mu: \\ \text{Im}(a) \subset \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}_\mu) \end{array}$$

In this case, one has  $[a(\alpha), a(\beta)] = 0$ .

### 3) Study case: zero Poisson structures

#### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  a submersion, and let:

$$a : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(\alpha)}(\omega) = \mu^* \alpha.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0) & \Leftrightarrow & a(\alpha) \text{ are tangent to the fibers to } \mu : \\ \text{Poisson map} & & \text{Im}(a) \subset \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}_\mu) \end{array}$$

In this case, one has  $[a(\alpha), a(\beta)] = 0$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Symplectic realization} \\ \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0) \end{array} \right\} \Longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{infinitesimal action of abelian} \\ \text{Lie algebra } T_x^*M \text{ on } \mu^{-1}(x) \end{array} \right\}$$

### 3) Study case: zero Poisson structures

#### Proposition

Assume  $(S, \omega)$  is symplectic,  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  a submersion, and let:

$$a : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S), \quad i_{a(\alpha)}(\omega) = \mu^* \alpha.$$

Then:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0) & \Leftrightarrow & a(\alpha) \text{ are tangent to the fibers to } \mu: \\ \text{Poisson map} & & \text{Im}(a) \subset \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}_\mu) \end{array}$$

In this case, one has  $[a(\alpha), a(\beta)] = 0$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Complete} \\ \text{symplectic realization} \\ \mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0) \end{array} \right\} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{action of abelian} \\ \text{Lie group } (T_x^*M, +) \text{ on } \mu^{-1}(x) \end{array} \right\}$$

# Lagrangian fibrations

## Corollary

*Symplectic realizations  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0)$  w/  $\dim S = 2 \dim M$  are the same thing as surjective submersions  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  with Lagrangian fibers.*

# Lagrangian fibrations

## Corollary

*Symplectic realizations  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0)$  w/  $\dim S = 2 \dim M$  are the same thing as surjective submersions  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  with Lagrangian fibers.*

## Definition

A surjective submersion  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  with *connected*, Lagrangian fibers is called a (regular) **Lagrangian fibration**.

# Lagrangian fibrations

## Corollary

*Symplectic realizations  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, 0)$  w/  $\dim S = 2 \dim M$  are the same thing as surjective submersions  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  with Lagrangian fibers.*

## Definition

A surjective submersion  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  with *connected*, Lagrangian fibers is called a (regular) **Lagrangian fibration**.

If  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  is proper Lagrangian fibration:

- ▶  $(T_x^*M, +)$  acts on the fiber  $\mu^{-1}(x)$ : locally free and transitive;
- ▶ isotropy groups:

$$\Lambda_x = \{ \xi \in T_x^*M : \phi_{a(\xi)}^1 = \text{id}_{\mu^{-1}(x)} \}.$$

This is called the **subgroup of periods** of the Lagrangian fibration at  $x$ .



# Geometry of proper Lagrangian fibrations

**Notations:** For  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  proper Lagrangian fibration:

- group of periods  $\Lambda_x$  (isotropy of  $(T_x^*M, +)$ -action on  $\mu^{-1}(x)$ )
- $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_x} := T_x^*M / \Lambda_x$

# Geometry of proper Lagrangian fibrations

**Notations:** For  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  proper Lagrangian fibration:

- group of periods  $\Lambda_x$  (isotropy of  $(T_x^*M, +)$ -action on  $\mu^{-1}(x)$ )
- $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_x} := T_x^*M / \Lambda_x$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_x} \times \mu^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x), \quad ([\xi], \rho) \mapsto \phi_{a(\xi)}^1(\rho). \quad (1)$$

# Geometry of proper Lagrangian fibrations

**Notations:** For  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow M$  proper Lagrangian fibration:

- group of periods  $\Lambda_x$  (isotropy of  $(T_x^*M, +)$ -action on  $\mu^{-1}(x)$ )
- $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_x} := T_x^*M / \Lambda_x$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_x} \times \mu^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x), \quad ([\xi], \rho) \mapsto \phi_{a(\xi)}^1(\rho). \quad (1)$$

## Proposition

- (i) each  $\Lambda_x \subset T_x^*M$  is a lattice so  $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_x} \simeq \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ ;
- (ii)  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{x \in M} \Lambda_x \subset T^*M$  is an integrable lattice;
- (iii) the actions (1) are free and transitive, so  $\mu^{-1}(x) \simeq \mathbb{T}^n$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda} \circlearrowleft (S, \omega) & & \\ \searrow & \downarrow \mu & \\ & (M, 0) & \end{array}$$

# Lagrangian fibrations and integral affine structures

## Definition

An **integrable lattice**  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  consists of abelian subgroups  $\Lambda_x = \Lambda \cap T_x^*M$  forming a lattice and such that  $\Lambda$  is locally spanned by closed 1-forms.

# Lagrangian fibrations and integral affine structures

## Definition

An **integrable lattice**  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  consists of abelian subgroups  $\Lambda_x = \Lambda \cap T_x^*M$  forming a lattice and such that  $\Lambda$  is locally spanned by closed 1-forms.

## Definition

An **integral affine structure** on  $M$  is an atlas  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  such that the transition functions are integral affine transformations:

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}(x) = Ax + v, \quad A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}), v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

# Lagrangian fibrations and integral affine structures

## Definition

An **integrable lattice**  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  consists of abelian subgroups  $\Lambda_x = \Lambda \cap T_x^*M$  forming a lattice and such that  $\Lambda$  is locally spanned by closed 1-forms.

## Definition

An **integral affine structure** on  $M$  is an atlas  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  such that the transition functions are integral affine transformations:

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}(x) = Ax + v, \quad A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}), v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Given  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  define a local chart  $(U, \phi) = (U, x^i)$  to be an integral affine chart if:

$$\Lambda|_U = \{k_1 dx^1 + \cdots + k_n dx^n : k_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

# Lagrangian fibrations and integral affine structures

## Definition

An **integrable lattice**  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  consists of abelian subgroups  $\Lambda_x = \Lambda \cap T_x^*M$  forming a lattice and such that  $\Lambda$  is locally spanned by closed 1-forms.

## Definition

An **integral affine structure** on  $M$  is an atlas  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  such that the transition functions are integral affine transformations:

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}(x) = Ax + v, \quad A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}), v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Conversely, given integral affine structure  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  define a lattice:

$$\Lambda_x := \{k_1 dx^1|_x + \cdots + k_n dx^n|_x : k_i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

for any integral affine chart  $(U, \phi) = (U, x^i)$  containing  $x$

# Lagrangian fibrations and integral affine structures

## Definition

An **integrable lattice**  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  consists of abelian subgroups  $\Lambda_x = \Lambda \cap T_x^*M$  forming a lattice and such that  $\Lambda$  is locally spanned by closed 1-forms.

## Definition

An **integral affine structure** on  $M$  is an atlas  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  such that the transition functions are integral affine transformations:

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}(x) = Ax + v, \quad A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}), v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{integrable lattices} \\ \Lambda \subset T^*M \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{integral affine structures} \\ \text{on } M \end{array} \right\}$$



# Lagrangian fibrations and integral affine structures

## Definition

An **integrable lattice**  $\Lambda \subset T^*M$  consists of abelian subgroups  $\Lambda_x = \Lambda \cap T_x^*M$  forming a lattice and such that  $\Lambda$  is locally spanned by closed 1-forms.

## Definition

An **integral affine structure** on  $M$  is an atlas  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  such that the transition functions are integral affine transformations:

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}(x) = Ax + v, \quad A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}), v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Conclusion:** The base of a proper Lagrangian fibration (=proper symplectic realization of zero Poisson structure with connected fibers) has a natural integral affine structure.

## 4) Study case: nondegenerate Poisson structures

- $(M, \pi)$  is non-degenerate ( $\pi^{-1}$  is symplectic form)

## 4) Study case: nondegenerate Poisson structures

- $(M, \pi)$  is non-degenerate ( $\pi^{-1}$  is symplectic form)

### Proposition

*Any surjective local diffeomorphism  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  with the pullback symplectic form  $\mu^*(\pi^{-1})$  is a realization.*

*$\mu$  is complete  $\iff \mu$  is a covering map.*

## 4) Study case: nondegenerate Poisson structures

- $(M, \pi)$  is non-degenerate ( $\pi^{-1}$  is symplectic form)

### Proposition

*Any surjective local diffeomorphism  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  with the pullback symplectic form  $\mu^*(\pi^{-1})$  is a realization.*

$$\mu \text{ is complete} \iff \mu \text{ is a covering map.}$$

### Proposition

*For any  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$ , the integrable distribution*

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp \subset S,$$

*defines a flat Ehresmann connection on  $\mu$ :*

$$TS = \text{Ker } d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H}.$$

$$\mu \text{ is complete} \iff \mathcal{H} \text{ is complete}$$

An **Ehresmann connection** for submersion  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  is a splitting:

$$TS = \ker d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H},$$

An **Ehresmann connection** for submersion  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  is a splitting:

$$TS = \ker d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H},$$

It allows to lift paths  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  to horizontal paths in  $S$ :

An **Ehresmann connection** for submersion  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  is a splitting:

$$TS = \ker d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H},$$

It allows to lift paths  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  to horizontal paths in  $S$ :

Given  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(\gamma(0))$  there is unique  $\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}}{dt}(t) \in \mathcal{H}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(0) = p_0, \quad \mu(\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(t)) = \gamma(t). \end{cases}$$

An **Ehresmann connection** for submersion  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  is a splitting:

$$TS = \ker d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H},$$

It allows to lift paths  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  to horizontal paths in  $S$ :

Given  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(\gamma(0))$  there is unique  $\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}}{dt}(t) \in \mathcal{H}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(0) = p_0, \quad \mu(\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(t)) = \gamma(t). \end{cases}$$

The Ehresmann connection is:

- ▶ **complete** if every horizontal lift is defined for  $t \in [0, 1]$



An **Ehresmann connection** for submersion  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  is a splitting:

$$TS = \ker d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H},$$

It allows to lift paths  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  to horizontal paths in  $S$ :

Given  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(\gamma(0))$  there is unique  $\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}}{dt}(t) \in \mathcal{H}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(0) = p_0, \quad \mu(\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(t)) = \gamma(t). \end{cases}$$

The Ehresmann connection is:

- ▶ **complete** if every horizontal lift is defined for  $t \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ **flat** if  $\mathcal{H}$  is integrable distribution

An **Ehresmann connection** for submersion  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  is a splitting:

$$TS = \ker d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H},$$

It allows to lift paths  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  to horizontal paths in  $S$ :

Given  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(\gamma(0))$  there is unique  $\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}}{dt}(t) \in \mathcal{H}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(0) = p_0, \quad \mu(\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(t)) = \gamma(t). \end{cases}$$

The Ehresmann connection is:

- ▶ **complete** if every horizontal lift is defined for  $t \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ **flat** if  $\mathcal{H}$  is integrable distribution

Complete  $\implies$  *parallel transport map*:

$$\tau_\gamma : \mu^{-1}(x_0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x_1), \quad p \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}^p(1),$$

An **Ehresmann connection** for submersion  $\mu : S \rightarrow M$  is a splitting:

$$TS = \ker d\mu \oplus \mathcal{H},$$

It allows to lift paths  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  to horizontal paths in  $S$ :

Given  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(\gamma(0))$  there is unique  $\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}}{dt}(t) \in \mathcal{H}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(0) = p_0, \quad \mu(\tilde{\gamma}^{p_0}(t)) = \gamma(t). \end{cases}$$

The Ehresmann connection is:

- ▶ **complete** if every horizontal lift is defined for  $t \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ **flat** if  $\mathcal{H}$  is integrable distribution

Complete  $\implies$  *parallel transport map*:

$$\tau_\gamma : \mu^{-1}(x_0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x_1), \quad p \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}^p(1),$$

Complete + Flat  $\implies \tau_\gamma$  is invariant under path-homotopy

# Homotopy groupoid

The **homotopy groupoid** of  $M$ :

$$\Pi(M) := \frac{\{\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M\}}{\sim} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{t} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \end{array} M$$

source/target maps:

$$s: \Pi(M) \rightarrow M, \quad [\gamma] \mapsto \gamma(0),$$

$$t: \Pi(M) \rightarrow M, \quad [\gamma] \mapsto \gamma(1)$$

multiplication:

$$[\gamma_1] \circ [\gamma_2] := [\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2] \quad \text{if} \quad s([\gamma_1]) = t([\gamma_2])$$

# Homotopy groupoid

The **homotopy groupoid** of  $M$ :

$$\Pi(M) := \frac{\{\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M\}}{\sim} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{t} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \end{array} M$$

source/target maps:

$$s: \Pi(M) \rightarrow M, \quad [\gamma] \mapsto \gamma(0),$$

$$t: \Pi(M) \rightarrow M, \quad [\gamma] \mapsto \gamma(1)$$

multiplication:

$$[\gamma_1] \circ [\gamma_2] := [\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2] \quad \text{if} \quad s([\gamma_1]) = t([\gamma_2])$$

We have an "action":

$$\Pi(M, x_0, x_1) \times \mu^{-1}(x_0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x_1), \quad ([\gamma], p) \mapsto [\gamma] \cdot p := \tau_\gamma(p),$$

where:

$$\Pi(M, x_0, x_1) := \{[\gamma] \in \Pi(M) : s([\gamma]) = x_0, t([\gamma]) = x_1\}.$$

$$\Pi(M, x_0, x_1) \times \mu^{-1}(x_0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x_1), \quad ([\gamma], \rho) \mapsto [\gamma] \cdot \rho := \tau_\gamma(\rho),$$

(i) the class of the constant path  $\gamma(t) = x$  acts as an identity:

$$[x] \cdot \rho = \rho$$

(ii) if  $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2] \in \Pi(M)$  are composable one has:

$$([\gamma_1] \circ [\gamma_2]) \cdot \rho = [\gamma_1] \cdot ([\gamma_2] \cdot \rho)$$

$$\Pi(M, x_0, x_1) \times \mu^{-1}(x_0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x_1), \quad ([\gamma], \rho) \mapsto [\gamma] \cdot \rho := \tau_\gamma(\rho),$$

(i) the class of the constant path  $\gamma(t) = x$  acts as an identity:

$$[x] \cdot \rho = \rho$$

(ii) if  $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2] \in \Pi(M)$  are composable one has:

$$([\gamma_1] \circ [\gamma_2]) \cdot \rho = [\gamma_1] \cdot ([\gamma_2] \cdot \rho)$$

## Proposition

*If  $(M, \pi)$  is a nondegenerate Poisson manifold then any complete symplectic realization  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  carries a canonical action of  $\Pi(M)$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Pi(M) \circlearrowright (S, \omega) & & \\
 \swarrow \quad \searrow & \downarrow \mu & \\
 & (M, \pi) & 
 \end{array}$$

## 5) General symplectic realizations

$$\mu : (\mathcal{S}, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$$

- ▶ **vertical foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu = \text{Ker } d\mu$ ;
- ▶ **orbit foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp$ .



## 5) General symplectic realizations

$$\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$$

- ▶ **vertical foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu = \text{Ker } d\mu$ ;
- ▶ **orbit foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp$ .

### Definition

The **infinitesimal action** associated with the symplectic realization is

$$a : \mu^* T^*M \rightarrow TS$$

where:

$$i_{a(\alpha)} \omega = \mu^* \alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in T^*M.$$

## 5) General symplectic realizations

$$\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$$

- ▶ **vertical foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu = \text{Ker } d\mu$ ;
- ▶ **orbit foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp$ .

### Definition

The **infinitesimal action** associated with the symplectic realization is

$$a : \mu^* T^*M \rightarrow TS$$

where:

$$i_{a(\alpha)} \omega = \mu^* \alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in T^*M.$$

- (a) pointwise: linear map  $a_p : T_{\mu(p)}^*M \rightarrow T_p S$  for each  $p \in S$
- (b) sections: a map  $a : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S)$

## 5) General symplectic realizations

$$\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$$

- ▶ **vertical foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu = \text{Ker } d\mu$ ;
- ▶ **orbit foliation:**  $\mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp$ .

### Definition

The **infinitesimal action** associated with the symplectic realization is

$$a : \mu^* T^*M \rightarrow TS$$

where:

$$i_{a(\alpha)}\omega = \mu^*\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in T^*M.$$

- (a) pointwise: linear map  $a_p : T_{\mu(p)}^*M \rightarrow T_pS$  for each  $p \in S$
- (b) sections: a map  $a : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S)$

$$a([\alpha, \beta]_\pi) = [a(\alpha), a(\beta)], \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M).$$

## Properties of the action

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & T_p S \\ & \nearrow a_p & \downarrow d\mu \\ T_{\mu(p)}^* M & \xrightarrow{\pi^\sharp} & T_{\mu(p)} M \end{array}$$

## Properties of the action

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & T_p S \\ & \nearrow a_p & \downarrow d\mu \\ T_{\mu(p)}^* M & \xrightarrow{\pi^\#} & T_{\mu(p)} M \end{array}$$

(i) infinitesimal action is pointwise free, i.e., it is injective:

$$a_p : T_{\mu(p)}^* M \rightarrow T_p S$$

## Properties of the action

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & T_p S \\ & \nearrow a_p & \downarrow d\mu \\ T_{\mu(p)}^* M & \xrightarrow{\pi^\#} & T_{\mu(p)} M \end{array}$$

- (i) infinitesimal action is pointwise free, i.e., it is injective:

$$a_p : T_{\mu(p)}^* M \rightarrow T_p S$$

- (ii) The image is the orbit foliation:

$$\text{Im}(a) = \mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp.$$

# Properties of the action

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & T_p \mathcal{S} \\ & \nearrow a_p & \downarrow d\mu \\ T_{\mu(p)}^* M & \xrightarrow{\pi^\sharp} & T_{\mu(p)} M \end{array}$$

(i) infinitesimal action is pointwise free, i.e., it is injective:

$$a_p : T_{\mu(p)}^* M \rightarrow T_p \mathcal{S}$$

(ii) The image is the orbit foliation:

$$\text{Im}(a) = \mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp.$$

(iii) in the isotropy Lie algebra gives a linear isomorphism

$$a_p : \mathfrak{g}_{\mu(p)} = \text{Ker } \pi_{\mu(p)}^\sharp \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,p} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\mu,p}^\perp.$$

# Geometry of symplectic realizations

## Corollary

Each orbit  $\mathcal{O}_p \in \mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp$  is a presymplectic manifold and the restriction  $\mu_{\mathcal{O}_p} := \mu|_{\mathcal{O}_p}$  is a submersion onto the symplectic leaf containing  $\mu(p)$ :

A commutative diagram illustrating the relationship between an orbit, a symplectic manifold, and a symplectic leaf. On the left, an orbit  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is shown with a small arc above it. Two arrows originate from  $\mathcal{O}_p$ : an upper arrow labeled  $i$  points to a symplectic manifold  $(S, \omega)$ , and a lower arrow labeled  $\mu_{\mathcal{O}_p}$  points to a symplectic leaf  $(S_{\mu(p)}, \omega_{S_{\mu(p)}})$ .

$$i^* \omega = \mu_{\mathcal{O}_p}^* \omega_{S_{\mu(p)}}.$$



# Complete symplectic realizations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^* T^* M & \xrightarrow{a} & TS \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d\mu \\ T^* M & \xrightarrow{\pi^\sharp} & TM \end{array}$$

Think of  $a : \mu^* T^* M \rightarrow TS$  as "horizontal lift" of covectors in  $M$  to tangent vectors in  $S$

# Complete symplectic realizations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^* T^* M & \xrightarrow{a} & TS \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d\mu \\ T^* M & \xrightarrow{\pi^\sharp} & TM \end{array}$$

Think of  $a: \mu^* T^* M \rightarrow TS$  as "horizontal lift" of covectors in  $M$  to tangent vectors in  $S$

## Definition

Given a symplectic realization, a **lift of a cotangent path**  $a: [0, 1] \rightarrow T^* M$  to  $S$  is any path

$$\tilde{\gamma}: [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S, \quad \text{such that} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}}{dt}(t) = a_{\tilde{\gamma}(t)}(a(t)), \\ \mu(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) = \gamma_a(t). \end{cases}$$

We call  $\tilde{\gamma}$  a **complete lift** if it is defined for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

# Complete symplectic realizations

$$\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$$

## Proposition

Given cotangent path  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*M$  and  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(a(0))$ :

- ▶ there exists a unique lift  $\tilde{\gamma}_a^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$  starting at  $p_0$ .
- ▶ there exist complete lifts  $\tilde{\gamma}_a^{p_0}$  if and only if  $\mu$  is complete.

# Complete symplectic realizations

$$\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$$

## Proposition

Given cotangent path  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*M$  and  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(\gamma_a(0))$ :

- ▶ there exists a unique lift  $\tilde{\gamma}_a^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$  starting at  $p_0$ .
- ▶ there exist complete lifts  $\tilde{\gamma}_a^{p_0}$  if and only if  $\mu$  is complete.

Complete realization  $\implies$  parallel transport:

$$\tau_a : \mu^{-1}(\gamma_a(0)) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(\gamma_a(1)), \quad p \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}_a^p(1).$$

# Complete symplectic realizations

$$\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$$

## Proposition

Given cotangent path  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*M$  and  $p_0 \in \mu^{-1}(\gamma_a(0))$ :

- ▶ there exists a unique lift  $\tilde{\gamma}_a^{p_0} : [0, \varepsilon[ \rightarrow S$  starting at  $p_0$ .
- ▶ there exist complete lifts  $\tilde{\gamma}_a^{p_0}$  if and only if  $\mu$  is complete.

Complete realization  $\implies$  parallel transport:

$$\tau_a : \mu^{-1}(\gamma_a(0)) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(\gamma_a(1)), \quad p \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}_a^p(1).$$

## Proposition

- ▶  $a \sim b \iff$  if  $\tilde{\gamma}_a^{p_0} \sim \tilde{\gamma}_b^{p_0}$  in a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_\mu^\perp$ .
- ▶  $a \sim b \implies \tau_a = \tau_b$ .

# Groupoid of a Poisson manifold

$$\Pi(M, \pi) := \frac{\{a : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*M : \text{cotangent path}\}}{\sim} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{t} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} M$$

source/target:

$$s : \Pi(M, \pi) \rightarrow M, \quad [a] \mapsto \gamma_a(0),$$

$$t : \Pi(M, \pi) \rightarrow M, \quad [a] \mapsto \gamma_a(1).$$

multiplication:

$$[a] \circ [b] := [a \circ b] \quad \text{if} \quad s([a]) = t([b]).$$

# Groupoid of a Poisson manifold

$$\Pi(M, \pi) := \frac{\{a : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*M : \text{cotangent path}\}}{\sim} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{t} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \end{array} M$$

source/target:

$$s : \Pi(M, \pi) \rightarrow M, \quad [a] \mapsto \gamma_a(0),$$

$$t : \Pi(M, \pi) \rightarrow M, \quad [a] \mapsto \gamma_a(1).$$

multiplication:

$$[a] \circ [b] := [a \circ b] \quad \text{if} \quad s([a]) = t([b]).$$

## Proposition (action on complete realization)

Any complete symplectic realization  $\mu : (S, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  carries a canonical action of  $\Pi(M, \pi)$  defined by:

$$[a] \cdot p := \tau_a(p) \quad \text{if} \quad s([a]) = \mu(p)$$

