Math 595 - Poisson Geometry Chapter 9 - Poisson Cohomology

Rui Loja Fernandes

Department of Mathematics University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

March 30, 2020

What is this chapter about:

We initiate the study of *global properties* of a Poisson manifold.

What is this chapter about:

We initiate the study of *global properties* of a Poisson manifold.

This study needs to take into account three different aspects:

- the symplectic geometry of the leaves;
- the topology of the foliation;
- the transverse (Poisson) geometry of the leaves

What is this chapter about:

We initiate the study of *global properties* of a Poisson manifold.

This study needs to take into account three different aspects:

- the symplectic geometry of the leaves;
- the topology of the foliation;
- the transverse (Poisson) geometry of the leaves

In this chapter we will study

- Lie algebroids
- Poisson cohomology
- Applications of Poisson cohomology

1) Lie algebroids

The Lie bracket of vector fields on *M* satisfies the Leibniz type identity:

$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \mathscr{L}_X(f)Y, \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

1) Lie algebroids

The Lie bracket of vector fields on *M* satisfies the Leibniz type identity:

$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \mathscr{L}_X(f)Y, \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

Given a Poisson manifold (M, π) , the Lie bracket of 1-forms $\Omega^1(M)$ also satisfies also the Leibniz type identity:

$$[\alpha, f\beta]_{\pi} = f[\alpha, \beta]_{\pi} + \mathscr{L}_{\pi^{\sharp}\alpha}(f)\beta.$$

1) Lie algebroids

The Lie bracket of vector fields on *M* satisfies the Leibniz type identity:

$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \mathscr{L}_X(f)Y, \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

Given a Poisson manifold (M, π) , the Lie bracket of 1-forms $\Omega^1(M)$ also satisfies also the Leibniz type identity:

$$[\alpha, f\beta]_{\pi} = f[\alpha, \beta]_{\pi} + \mathscr{L}_{\pi^{\sharp}\alpha}(f)\beta.$$

Definition

A **Lie algebroid** over a *M* is a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$, together with a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ on the sections $\Gamma(A)$, and a bundle map $\rho_A : A \rightarrow TM$ satisfying:

 $[\alpha, f\beta]_{\mathcal{A}} = f[\alpha, \beta]_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathscr{L}_{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)}(f)\beta, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}), f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}).$

Lie algebroid philosophy

Think $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho)$ **as** reflecting a certain geometry present on *M*, and for this geometry *A* plays the role of **the correct tangent bundle**.

Lie algebroid philosophy

Think $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho)$ **as** reflecting a certain geometry present on *M*, and for this geometry *A* plays the role of **the correct tangent bundle**.

The **anchor** $\rho_A : A \to TM$, relates this new tangent bundle back to the classical tangent bundle. It satisfies:

$$ho([lpha,eta]_{\mathcal{A}})=[
ho(lpha),
ho(eta)],\quad orall lpha,eta\in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$$

(i) Tangent bundle of a manifold *M*:

$$A = TM$$
, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A = [\cdot, \cdot]$, $\rho = id$;

(ii) Cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold (M, π) :

$$A = T^*M, \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_A = [\ ,\]_{\pi}, \quad \rho = \pi^{\sharp};$$

(i) Tangent bundle of a manifold *M*:

$$A = TM$$
, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A = [\cdot, \cdot]$, $\rho = id$;

(ii) Cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold (M, π) :

$$A = T^*M$$
, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A = [,]_{\pi}$, $\rho = \pi^{\sharp}$;

(iii) Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$$A = \mathfrak{g}
ightarrow \{*\}, \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_A = [\ ,\]_\mathfrak{g}, \quad
ho = 0;$$

(i) Tangent bundle of a manifold *M*:

$$A = TM$$
, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A = [\cdot, \cdot]$, $\rho = id$;

(ii) Cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold (M, π) :

$$A = T^*M$$
, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A = [,]_{\pi}$, $\rho = \pi^{\sharp}$;

(iii) Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$${f A}={f g}
ightarrow\{*\}, \quad [\cdot,\cdot]_{{f A}}=[\ ,\]_{{f g}}, \quad
ho={f 0};$$

(iv) Dirac structure \mathbb{L} on *M*:

$${\mathcal A} = {\mathbb L} o {\mathcal M}, \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_{{\mathcal A}} = { ext{Dorfman bracket}}, \quad
ho = { ext{pr}}_{{\mathcal T} {\mathcal M}} |_{\mathbb L};$$

(i) Tangent bundle of a manifold *M*:

$$A = TM$$
, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A = [\cdot, \cdot]$, $\rho = id$;

(ii) Cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold (M, π) :

$$A = T^*M$$
, $[\cdot, \cdot]_A = [,]_{\pi}$, $\rho = \pi^{\sharp}$;

(iii) Lie algebra g:

$$A = \mathfrak{g}
ightarrow \{*\}, \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_A = [\ ,\]_\mathfrak{g}, \quad
ho = 0;$$

(iv) Dirac structure \mathbb{L} on M:

$${\mathcal A} = {\mathbb L} o {\mathcal M}, \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_{{\mathcal A}} = { ext{Dorfman bracket}}, \quad
ho = { ext{pr}}_{{\mathcal T} {\mathcal M}} |_{\mathbb L};$$

(v) (see Lecture notes for more examples)

2) Poisson cohomology - Poisson differential

Definition

Given Poisson manifold (M, π) the **Poisson differential** is the linear map $d_{\pi} : \mathfrak{X}^{k}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M)$ given by:

$$d_{\pi}\vartheta(\alpha_{0},\ldots,\alpha_{k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \mathscr{L}_{\pi^{\sharp}(\alpha_{i})}(\vartheta(\alpha_{0},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{i},\ldots,\alpha_{k})) + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \vartheta([\alpha_{i},\alpha_{j}]_{\pi},\alpha_{0},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{i},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{j},\ldots,\alpha_{k})$$

2) Poisson cohomology - Poisson differential

Definition

Given Poisson manifold (M, π) the **Poisson differential** is the linear map $d_{\pi} : \mathfrak{X}^{k}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M)$ given by:

$$d_{\pi}\vartheta(\alpha_{0},\ldots,\alpha_{k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \mathscr{L}_{\pi^{\sharp}(\alpha_{i})}(\vartheta(\alpha_{0},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{i},\ldots,\alpha_{k})) + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \vartheta([\alpha_{i},\alpha_{j}]_{\pi},\alpha_{0},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{i},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{j},\ldots,\alpha_{k})$$

The Poisson differential $d_{\pi} : \mathfrak{X}^{k}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M)$ is also given by:

$$\mathbf{d}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\boldsymbol{\vartheta}=[\boldsymbol{\pi},\boldsymbol{\vartheta}].$$

2) Poisson cohomology - Poisson differential

Definition

Given Poisson manifold (M, π) the **Poisson differential** is the linear map $d_{\pi} : \mathfrak{X}^{k}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M)$ given by:

$$d_{\pi}\vartheta(\alpha_{0},\ldots,\alpha_{k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \mathscr{L}_{\pi^{\sharp}(\alpha_{i})}(\vartheta(\alpha_{0},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{i},\ldots,\alpha_{k})) + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \vartheta([\alpha_{i},\alpha_{j}]_{\pi},\alpha_{0},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{i},\ldots,\check{\alpha}_{j},\ldots,\alpha_{k})$$

The Poisson differential $d_{\pi} : \mathfrak{X}^{k}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M)$ is also given by:

$$\mathbf{d}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\boldsymbol{\vartheta}=[\boldsymbol{\pi},\boldsymbol{\vartheta}].$$

It follows that:

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}^{2}\vartheta = [\pi, [\pi, \varphi]] = 2[[\pi, \pi], \varphi] = 0.$$

Poisson cohomology

Definition The **Poisson cohomology** of (M, π) is the homology of the complex $(\mathfrak{X}^k(M), d_{\pi})$:

$$H^k_{\pi}(M) := \frac{\ker(\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^k(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M))}{\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{k-1}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^k(M))}$$

Poisson cohomology

Definition The **Poisson cohomology** of (M, π) is the homology of the complex $(\mathfrak{X}^k(M), d_{\pi})$:

$$\mathcal{H}^k_{\pi}(M) := rac{\ker(\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^k(M) o \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M))}{\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{k-1}(M) o \mathfrak{X}^k(M))}.$$

From:

$$\bullet \ \mathbf{d}_{\pi}(\vartheta_{1} \wedge \vartheta_{2}) = \mathbf{d}_{\pi}\vartheta_{1} \wedge \vartheta_{2} + (-1)^{\rho}\vartheta_{1} \wedge \mathbf{d}_{\pi}\vartheta_{2},$$

•
$$\mathbf{d}_{\pi}[\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2] = [\mathbf{d}_{\pi}\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2] + (-1)^k [\vartheta_1, \mathbf{d}_{\pi}\vartheta_2],$$

It follows that $H^{\bullet}_{\pi}(M)$ is:

- a graded commutative algebra;
- a graded Lie algebra (up to a degree shift p = k + 1);

and the two operations are related by the graded Leibniz identity.

• $H^0_{\pi}(M)$ is a ring and each $H^p_{\pi}(M)$ is a module over it.

- $H^0_{\pi}(M)$ is a ring and each $H^p_{\pi}(M)$ is a module over it.
- $H^1_{\pi}(M)$ is a Lie algebra and each $H^{k+1}_{\pi}(M)$ is a representation of it.

- $H^0_{\pi}(M)$ is a ring and each $H^p_{\pi}(M)$ is a module over it.
- $H^1_{\pi}(M)$ is a Lie algebra and each $H^{k+1}_{\pi}(M)$ is a representation of it.
- ► $H_{\pi}^{k}(M)$ is usually infinite dimensional, but sometimes is finite dimensional as a module over $H_{\pi}^{0}(M)$.

- $H^0_{\pi}(M)$ is a ring and each $H^p_{\pi}(M)$ is a module over it.
- $H^1_{\pi}(M)$ is a Lie algebra and each $H^{k+1}_{\pi}(M)$ is a representation of it.
- ► $H_{\pi}^{k}(M)$ is usually infinite dimensional, but sometimes is finite dimensional as a module over $H_{\pi}^{0}(M)$.

Most important fact concerning Poisson cohomology:

- $H^0_{\pi}(M)$ is a ring and each $H^{\rho}_{\pi}(M)$ is a module over it.
- $H^1_{\pi}(M)$ is a Lie algebra and each $H^{k+1}_{\pi}(M)$ is a representation of it.
- $H^k_{\pi}(M)$ is usually infinite dimensional, but sometimes is finite dimensional as a module over $H^0_{\pi}(M)$.

Most important fact concerning Poisson cohomology:

Almost always impossible to compute!

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{0}(M)=C^{\infty}(M)
ightarrow\mathfrak{X}(M),\quad f\mapsto [\pi,f]=X_{f}.$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{0}(M)=C^{\infty}(M)\to\mathfrak{X}(M),\quad f\mapsto [\pi,f]=X_{f}.$$

So degree zero Poisson cohomology is the space of Casimirs:

$$H^0_{\pi}(M) = \{f \in C^{\infty}(M) : \{f,g\} = 0 \ \forall \ g \in C^{\infty}(M)\}.$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{0}(M)=C^{\infty}(M)
ightarrow\mathfrak{X}(M),\quad f\mapsto [\pi,f]=X_{f}.$$

So degree zero Poisson cohomology is the space of Casimirs:

$$H^0_\pi(M) = \{f \in C^\infty(M) : \{f,g\} = 0 \ \forall \ g \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

*H*⁰_π(*M*) is a ring ⇔ product of Casimirs is a Casimir.
 It is often infinite dimensional!

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{1}(M)=\mathfrak{X}(M)
ightarrow\mathfrak{X}^{2}(M),\quad X\mapsto [\pi,X]=-\mathscr{L}_{X}\pi.$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{1}(M)=\mathfrak{X}(M)
ightarrow\mathfrak{X}^{2}(M),\quad X\mapsto [\pi,X]=-\mathscr{L}_{X}\pi.$$

So degree one Poisson cohomology measures the difference between Poisson vector fields and Hamiltonian ones:

$$H^1_{\pi}(M) := \frac{\text{Poisson vector fields}}{\text{Hamiltonian vector fields}} = \frac{\mathfrak{X}(M,\pi)}{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(M,\pi)}$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{1}(M)=\mathfrak{X}(M)
ightarrow\mathfrak{X}^{2}(M),\quad X\mapsto [\pi,X]=-\mathscr{L}_{X}\pi.$$

So degree one Poisson cohomology measures the difference between Poisson vector fields and Hamiltonian ones:

$$H^1_{\pi}(M) := \frac{\text{Poisson vector fields}}{\text{Hamiltonian vector fields}} = \frac{\mathfrak{X}(M, \pi)}{\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(M, \pi)}$$

► $H^1_{\pi}(M)$ is Lie algebra \Leftrightarrow Poisson vector fields is a Lie algebra, where Hamiltonian vector fields sit as a Lie ideal.

Question: given (M, π) is there a volume forms $\mu \in \Omega^n(M)$ which invariant under all Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, i.e., that

 $\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu = 0 \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M)?$

Question: given (M, π) is there a volume forms $\mu \in \Omega^n(M)$ which invariant under all Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, i.e., that

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu=0 \quad \forall f\in C^{\infty}(M)?$$

Example

For symplectic manifold (M, ω) we can take the Liouville form:

$$\mu := \omega^m \quad (2m = \dim(M)).$$

Question: given (M, π) is there a volume forms $\mu \in \Omega^n(M)$ which invariant under all Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, i.e., that

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu = 0 \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M)?$$

Example

For symplectic manifold (M, ω) we can take the Liouville form:

$$\mu := \omega^m \quad (2m = \dim(M)).$$

A general Poisson manifold (M, π) :

- (i) need not be orientable;
- (ii) if it is orientable, such invariant volume form does not always exist.

The obstruction is given by a degree one Poisson cohomology class.

Assume (M, π) is orientable and choose volume form μ . If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$:

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu=X_{\mu}(f)\ \mu,$$

for some function $X_{\mu}(f) \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Assume (M, π) is orientable and choose volume form μ . If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$:

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu=X_{\mu}(f)\ \mu,$$

for some function $X_{\mu}(f) \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Lemma

The map $f \mapsto X_{\mu}(f)$ is a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M)$, so gives vector field X_{μ} :

Assume (M, π) is orientable and choose volume form μ . If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$:

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu=X_{\mu}(f)\ \mu,$$

for some function $X_{\mu}(f) \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Lemma

The map $f \mapsto X_{\mu}(f)$ is a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M)$, so gives vector field X_{μ} :

1. X_{μ} is a Poisson vector field.

Assume (M, π) is orientable and choose volume form μ . If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$:

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu=X_{\mu}(f)\ \mu,$$

for some function $X_{\mu}(f) \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Lemma

The map $f \mapsto X_{\mu}(f)$ is a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M)$, so gives vector field X_{μ} :

- 1. X_{μ} is a Poisson vector field.
- 2. If $\mu' = \pm e^g \mu$ is some other volume form, the vector fields $X_{\mu'}$ and X_{μ} differ by a Hamiltonian vector field: $X_{\mu'} = X_{\mu} - X_g$.

Assume (M, π) is orientable and choose volume form μ . If $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$:

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_f}\mu=X_{\mu}(f)\ \mu,$$

for some function $X_{\mu}(f) \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Lemma

The map $f \mapsto X_{\mu}(f)$ is a derivation of $C^{\infty}(M)$, so gives vector field X_{μ} :

- 1. X_{μ} is a Poisson vector field.
- 2. If $\mu' = \pm e^g \mu$ is some other volume form, the vector fields $X_{\mu'}$ and X_{μ} differ by a Hamiltonian vector field: $X_{\mu'} = X_{\mu} - X_g$.

Definition The modular class of an orientable Poisson manifold (M, π) is $mod(M, \pi) := [X_{\mu}] \in H^{1}_{\pi}(M).$ When $mod(M, \pi) = 0$, one calls (M, π) unimodular.

Corollary

A Poisson manifold (M, π) has an invariant volume form if and only if $mod(M, \pi) = 0$.

Example

For the linear Poisson structure on \mathbb{R}^2 given by:

$$\{x,y\}=x,$$

the modular vector field associated with the standard volume form $\mu = dx \wedge dy$ is:

$$X_{\mu}=-rac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

This vector field is not Hamiltonian (it does not vanish along x = 0) Conclusion:

$$\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{R}^2,\pi) \neq 0,$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{2}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{3}(M), \quad \vartheta \mapsto [\pi, \vartheta],$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{2}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{3}(M), \quad \vartheta \mapsto [\pi, \vartheta],$$

so the second Poisson cohomology space is:

$$H^2_{\pi}(M) := \frac{\{\vartheta \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M) : [\pi, \vartheta] = 0\}}{\{\mathscr{L}_X \pi : X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\}}.$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{\pi}:\mathfrak{X}^{2}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{3}(M), \quad \vartheta \mapsto [\pi, \vartheta],$$

so the second Poisson cohomology space is:

$$H^2_{\pi}(M) := \frac{\{\vartheta \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M) : [\pi, \vartheta] = 0\}}{\{\mathscr{L}_X \pi : X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\}}$$

Example

The Poisson bivector itself induces a cohomology class called the **fundamental class** of the Poisson manifold:

$$[\pi]\in H^2_{\pi}(M),$$

One says that (M, π) is an **exact Poisson manifold** when $[\pi] = 0$. (this rarely happens, but happens, e.g., for linear Poisson structures)

Definition A **deformation of a Poisson structure** π on M is a family of Poisson structures $(\pi_t)_{t \in I} \subset \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ such that $\pi_0 = \pi$.

Definition A **deformation of a Poisson structure** π on M is a family of Poisson structures $(\pi_t)_{t \in I} \subset \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ such that $\pi_0 = \pi$.

The **variation** at t = 0 is the bivector field

$$\vartheta := \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{t=0} \pi_t,$$

Definition A **deformation of a Poisson structure** π on M is a family of Poisson structures $(\pi_t)_{t \in I} \subset \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ such that $\pi_0 = \pi$.

The **variation** at t = 0 is the bivector field

$$\vartheta := \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{t=0} \pi_t,$$

Differentiating $[\pi_t, \pi_t] = 0$ at t = 0, one finds that it satisfies:

$$0 = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{t=0} [\pi_t, \pi_t] = 2[\pi, \vartheta] = 2\mathrm{d}_{\pi}\vartheta.$$

Conclusion: elements $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ with $d_{\pi}\vartheta = 0$ are "infinitesimal deformations" of π .

Definition

Two deformations $(\pi_t)_{t \in I}$ and $(\pi'_t)_{t \in I}$ of π are **equivalent** if there exists a smooth family $(\phi_t)_{t \in I}$ of diffeomorphisms:

$$\phi_0 = \mathrm{Id} \qquad \pi'_t = \phi_t^*(\pi_t).$$
 (1)

Definition

Two deformations $(\pi_t)_{t \in I}$ and $(\pi'_t)_{t \in I}$ of π are **equivalent** if there exists a smooth family $(\phi_t)_{t \in I}$ of diffeomorphisms:

$$\phi_0 = \mathrm{Id} \qquad \pi_t' = \phi_t^*(\pi_t).$$
 (1)

Differentiating (1), one finds that the variations ϑ' and ϑ satisfy

$$\vartheta' - \vartheta = \mathscr{L}_X \pi$$
, with $X_x = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \phi_t(x)$.

Definition

Two deformations $(\pi_t)_{t \in I}$ and $(\pi'_t)_{t \in I}$ of π are **equivalent** if there exists a smooth family $(\phi_t)_{t \in I}$ of diffeomorphisms:

$$\phi_0 = \mathrm{Id} \qquad \pi_t' = \phi_t^*(\pi_t).$$
 (1)

Differentiating (1), one finds that the variations ϑ' and ϑ satisfy

$$\vartheta' - \vartheta = \mathscr{L}_X \pi$$
, with $X_x = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \phi_t(x)$.

Proposition

For any deformation $(\pi_t)_{t \in I}$ of (M, π) , its variation at t = 0 defines a cohomology class

$$[\vartheta] \in H^2_{\pi}(M)$$

which depends only of the equivalence class of $(\pi_t)_{t \in I}$.

$$H_{\pi}^2(M) = rac{\text{infinitesimal deformations of } \pi}{\text{equivalence}}$$

$$H^2_{\pi}(M) = rac{\text{infinitesimal deformations of } \pi}{\text{equivalence}}$$

Example

For any (M, π) Poisson manifold, we have the deformation:

$$\pi_t := e^t \pi.$$

Associated cohomology class is the fundamental class:

$$[\pi] = \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\pi_t}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{t=0} \in H^2_{\pi}(M).$$

This class vanishes if and only if $\mathscr{L}_X \pi = \pi$. If we can choose *X* to be complete, then:

$$\pi_t = \boldsymbol{e}^t \pi = (\varphi_X^t)_* \pi.$$

Poisson cohomology versus Lie algebroid cohomology

The formula for d_{π} only depends on $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi}$ and π^{\sharp} , so extends to any Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho)$:

• A-forms:
$$\Omega^k(A) = \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*);$$

• A-differential: $d_A : \Omega^k(A) \to \Omega^{k+1}(A)$

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{A}}\omega(s_0,\ldots,s_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \mathscr{L}_{
ho(s_i)}(\omega(s_0,\ldots,\check{s}_i,\ldots,s_k)) + \ &+ \sum_{0\leq i< j\leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([s_i,s_j]_{\mathcal{A}},s_0,\ldots,\check{s}_i,\ldots,\check{s}_j,\ldots,s_k) \end{aligned}$$

Lie algebroid cohomology:

$$H^k(A) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} d_A}{\ker d_A}$$

Poisson cohomology versus Lie algebroid cohomology

The formula for d_{π} only depends on $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi}$ and π^{\sharp} , so extends to any Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho)$:

• A-forms:
$$\Omega^k(A) = \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*);$$

• A-differential: $d_A : \Omega^k(A) \to \Omega^{k+1}(A)$

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{A}}\omega(s_0,\ldots,s_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \mathscr{L}_{
ho(s_i)}(\omega(s_0,\ldots,\check{s}_i,\ldots,s_k)) + \ &+ \sum_{0\leq i< j\leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([s_i,s_j]_{\mathcal{A}},s_0,\ldots,\check{s}_i,\ldots,\check{s}_j,\ldots,s_k) \end{aligned}$$

Lie algebroid cohomology:

$$H^k(A) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} d_A}{\ker d_A}$$

Examples and properties of Lie algebroid cohomology

- ► A = (TM, [·, ·], ρ): de Rham differential and the de Rham cohomology;
- A = (T^{*}M, ,[·,·]_π, π[♯]): the Poisson differential and Poisson cohomology;
- A = g: Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and Lie algebra cohomology.

Examples and properties of Lie algebroid cohomology

- ► A = (TM, [·, ·], ρ): de Rham differential and the de Rham cohomology;
- A = (T^{*}M, ,[·,·]_π, π[♯]): the Poisson differential and Poisson cohomology;
- A = g: Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and Lie algebra cohomology.

Functoriality: A Lie algebroid morphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a pull-back map in cohomology:

$$\Phi^*: H^{ullet}(B) \to H^{ullet}(A).$$

Examples and properties of Lie algebroid cohomology

- ► A = (TM, [·, ·], ρ): de Rham differential and the de Rham cohomology;
- A = (T^{*}M, ,[·,·]_π, π[♯]): the Poisson differential and Poisson cohomology;
- A = g: Chevalley-Eilenberg differential and Lie algebra cohomology.

Functoriality: A Lie algebroid morphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a pull-back map in cohomology:

$$\Phi^*: H^{ullet}(B) \to H^{ullet}(A).$$

 \implies can relate Poisson cohomology with known cohomologies

More applications - see Lectuire Notes

- Examples of computations of Poisson cohomology:
 - Linear Poisson structures;
 - Regular Poisson structures;
 - Log-symplectic Poisson structures.

More applications - see Lectuire Notes

- Examples of computations of Poisson cohomology:
 - Linear Poisson structures;
 - Regular Poisson structures;
 - Log-symplectic Poisson structures.
- Application to Linearization Problem

More applications - see Lectuire Notes

- Examples of computations of Poisson cohomology:
 - Linear Poisson structures;
 - Regular Poisson structures;
 - Log-symplectic Poisson structures.
- Application to Linearization Problem

But remember: Poisson cohomology rarely can be computed!