

# ON THE TOPOLOGY OF NO $k$ -EQUAL SPACES

YULIY BARYSHNIKOV, CAROLINE KLIVANS, AND NICHOLAS KOSAR

ABSTRACT. We consider the topology of real no  $k$ -equal spaces via the theory of cellular spanning trees. Our main theorem proves that the rank of the  $(k - 2)$ -dimensional homology of the no  $k$ -equal subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  is equal to the number of facets in a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of the  $k$ -skeleton of the  $n$ -dimensional hypercube.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For any topological space  $X$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  no  $k$ -equal space of  $X$  consists of the collection of all sets of  $n$  points on  $X$  such that no  $k$  of them are equal. The important special case  $k = 2$  yields the configuration space of  $X$ . The study of no  $k$ -equal spaces for general  $k$  and  $X = \mathbb{R}$  started with work in complexity theory by Björner, Lovász, and Yao [BLY92]. Consider the following problem: Given  $n$  real numbers, determine if any  $k$  of them are equal. In [BLY92], the authors sought to bound the depth of a linear decision tree for this problem. In a novel application of algebraic combinatorics, the task was reposed as a subspace arrangement membership problem so that the complexity could be bounded by the Betti numbers of a topological space.

Björner and Welker first determined the Betti numbers of the no  $k$ -equal spaces of  $\mathbb{R}$  [BW95]. Their work used the techniques of both Goresky-MacPherson [GM88] and Ziegler-Živaljević [Zv93] which provide methods to derive the topology of complements of arrangements in terms of combinatorics of posets. Further work by various authors determined the cohomology rings and other properties of no  $k$ -equal spaces; see e.g. [Yuz02, Bar97, DT14]. In particular, Baryshnikov and Dobrinskaya-Turchin gave explicit geometric representatives for homology.

In another direction, for any  $n$ -dimensional cellular complex  $\Sigma$ , and any  $k \leq n$ , one can define a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of  $\Sigma$  as a certain subset of the  $k$ -skeleton of  $\Sigma$ . Cellular spanning trees capture the complexity of a space by generalizing the well-known properties of spanning trees of graphs. The notion of a higher dimensional spanning tree has its origins in work of Bolker [Bol76] and Kalai [Kal83]. More recently, there has been much activity in developing the theory of such trees; see e.g. [DKM09, Lyo09] and [DKM16] for an overview of the topic. Importantly, higher dimensional trees are formulated algebraic and topologically with the graphical requirements of a tree generalized in terms of homology and Betti numbers.

---

(Yuliy Baryshnikov) UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN  
(Caroline Klivans) BROWN UNIVERSITY  
(Nicholas Kosar) UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN  
*E-mail addresses:* `kosar2@illinois.edu`.

We connect these two areas of research in our main theorem which equates the Betti number of the real no  $k$ -equal space, i.e. the complexity bound of [BLY92] and [BW95], with the size of a spanning tree of the hypercube:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The rank of the  $(k - 2)$ -dimensional homology group of the no  $k$ -equal subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  is equal to the number of facets in a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of the  $k$ -skeleton of the  $n$ -dimensional hypercube.*

The numerical result of Theorem 1.1 can be noted independently of any connection between the subspace arrangements and higher dimensional spanning trees. Here, however, we offer a geometric relationship between the two objects via an elementary construction we call the *simplicial resolution*. One could achieve the same result using homotopy colimits, but we specifically opted for a more geometric route. Hence, we achieve the equality in Theorem 1.1 without needing any knowledge of the explicit values involved.

Theorem 1.1 should be seen in two ways. First, it answers the question of *why* the Betti numbers of the real no  $k$ -equal space are given by the sizes of trees of the cube. Second, it is a demonstration of a new approach to determining the topology of complements of arrangements using combinatorial considerations but with no need of poset analysis.

Additionally, we show a second situation where this idea may be used by generalizing Theorem 1.1 to an arrangement that has not yet been studied: the comb no  $k$ -equal arrangement.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The rank of the  $(k - 2)$ -dimensional homology group of general comb no  $k$ -equal subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  is equal to the number of facets in a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of the  $k$ -skeleton of piles of  $n$ -dimensional hypercubes.*

In the following sections we introduce no  $k$ -equal spaces, higher dimensional trees, and simplicial resolutions. In section 5, we prove our main result Theorem 1.1. Finally, in section 6, we define the relevant notions and prove Theorem 1.2.

**1.1. Acknowledgments.** The work here began as a collaboration during the semester program Topology in Motion held at the Institute for Computational and Experimental Research in Mathematics (ICERM) in Fall 2016. The authors thank Eva-Maria Feichtner and Hannah Alpert for interesting discussions.

## 2. NO $k$ -EQUAL SUBSPACES

**Definition 1.** *For a topological space  $X$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  no  $k$ -equal space of  $X$  consists of the collection of all sets of  $n$  points on  $X$  such that no  $k$  of them are equal.*

The important special case  $k = 2$  yields the configuration space of  $X$ . Here, we will consider arbitrary  $k$  and  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . This space was first studied explicitly by Björner, Lovász, and Yao [BLY92] in connection to complexity theory. In order to understand the no  $k$ -equal subspace arrangement of  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is easier to first consider the collections of points of the complement. This gives an arrangement of linear subspaces:

**Definition 2.** *The  $k$ -equal arrangement  $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the subspace arrangement consisting of all subspaces of the form  $\{x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_k}\}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ .*

Again,  $k = 2$  yields an important special case. The arrangement  $\mathcal{A}_{n,2}$  consists of all hyperplanes of the form  $\{x_i = x_j\}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and is known as the Braid arrangement of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or equivalently the Coxeter arrangement of type  $A$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $k > 2$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$  consists not of hyperplanes but subspaces of codim- $(k - 1)$ .

**Definition 3.** *The  $n^{\text{th}}$  no  $k$ -equal space  $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is the complement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the  $k$ -equal arrangement,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,k} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{A}_{n,k}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{M}_{n,2}$ , which is the complement of the Braid arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is simply a union of disjoint contractible cones. The closure of any one cone is a fundamental chamber for the type  $A$  Weyl group. The complexified picture,  $\mathcal{M}_{n,2}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , equal to the complement of  $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has richer topology and is known as the pure Braid space. For  $k > 2$ , the real picture also becomes non-trivial.

Björner, Lovász, and Yao were investigating the following problem: given  $n$  real numbers, decide if any  $k$  of them are equal. In terms of the spaces defined above, the problem becomes: given a point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , decide if it lies on  $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ . A main result of [BLY92] is that (in a certain formal sense) the complexity of answering this question can be bounded by the  $(k - 2)^{\text{nd}}$  Betti number of  $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$ .

Björner and Welker were the first to explicitly compute these Betti numbers. The results of [BW95] give much finer information than we restate here including an understanding of the topology in the complexified case. We need only the following.

**Theorem 2.1** (Theorem 1.1 of [BW95]). *The cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$  are free. Furthermore,*

$$\text{rank } H^{k-2}(\mathcal{M}_{n,k}) = \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{i-1}{k-1}, \text{ if } k \geq 3.$$

The proof of this theorem uses the Goresky-MacPherson theorem so that the homology can be computed combinatorially. More specifically, the Goresky-MacPherson theorem gives the cohomology of the complement of a subspace arrangement in terms of the homology groups of order complexes formed from the intersection lattice of the arrangement [GM88]. For the space  $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$ , the intersection lattice consists of partitions of a special form and the homology of  $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$  is computed via a detailed analysis of these partition lattices.

### 3. $k$ -DIMENSIONAL SPANNING TREES

In this section we introduce  $d$ -dimensional spanning trees for  $d$ -dimensional cell complexes. For any topological space,  $X$ , we denote the rank of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  homology group of  $X$  by  $\beta_i(X)$ . For any cell complex  $\Sigma$ , we refer to the cells of  $\Sigma$  as *faces* and write  $f_\ell(\Sigma)$  for the number of  $\ell$ -dimensional faces in  $\Sigma$ . The collection of all faces of dimension  $k$  or less is the  $k$ -*skeleton* of  $\Sigma$  and denoted by  $\Sigma_k$ . Finally, any face of maximal dimension is referred to as a *facet*.

The following definition is not the most general notion of a higher dimensional tree but is sufficiently general for our purposes and avoids unnecessary technical complications, see [DKM16] for more details.

**Definition 4.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $d$ -dimensional cell complex such that  $\beta_{d-1}(\Sigma) = 0$ . A subcomplex  $T \subset \Sigma$  such that  $T_{d-1} = \Sigma_{d-1}$  is a  $d$ -spanning tree if

$$\begin{aligned} (1a) \quad & H_d(T, \mathbb{Z}) = 0, \\ (1b) \quad & |H_{d-1}(T, \mathbb{Z})| < \infty, \quad \text{and} \\ (1c) \quad & f_d(T) = f_d(\Sigma) - \beta_d(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

The initial condition that the  $d - 1$  skeleta are equal is the spanning condition. The other three homological conditions are analogues to the familiar graphical conditions for a tree on  $n$  vertices: acyclicity, connectedness and having precisely  $n - 1$  edges.

Spaces which are themselves cellular spanning trees include any triangulation of a disk, but also any triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . Condition 1b allows for the presence of torsion which leads to much interesting structure of trees. If  $\Sigma$  is the boundary of a convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then any collection of all but one facet gives a  $d$ -dimensional spanning tree. More generally, cellulated spheres are the higher dimensional analogue of cycle graphs (i.e. cellulated one-spheres) where the removal of any one edge yields a spanning tree.

Here we will be primarily concerned with spanning trees of cubes and their skeleta. Let  $\text{Cube}_n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional hypercube, thought of either as a geometric convex polytope or a combinatorial cell complex. As a geometric object  $\text{Cube}_n$  is the convex hull of the  $2^n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose coordinates are all 0 or 1. Combinatorially, the face lattice consists of all ordered  $n$ -tuples  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ , where  $\sigma_i \in \{0, 1, *\}$ . A face  $\sigma$  is contained in a face  $\tau$  if  $\sigma_i \leq \tau_i \forall i$ , where the digits are ordered  $0 < *, 1 < *$ , and  $0, 1$  are incomparable. With this encoding, the dimension of a face is simply the number of  $*$ s in its string. Let  $\text{Cube}_{n,k}$  denote the  $k$ -skeleton of the  $n$ -cube, then the facets of  $\text{Cube}_{n,k}$  are all  $\{0, 1, *\}$  strings of length  $n$  with exactly  $k$   $*$ s.

Let  $T \subset \text{Cube}_{n,k}$  be a cellular spanning tree of  $\text{Cube}_{n,k}$ . Hence  $T$  contains the entire  $k - 1$  skeleton  $\text{Cube}_{n,k-1}$  and some collection of  $k$ -dimensional facets of  $\text{Cube}_{n,k}$ , see [DKM11] for a detailed study of spanning trees of cubical complexes. The size of  $T$ , i.e. the number of facets of  $T$ , or equivalently, the  $k$ th entry of the  $f$ -vector  $f_k(T)$  is:

$$|T| = f_k(T) = \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{i-1}{k-1}$$

The hypercube  $\text{Cube}_n$  is dual to the  $n$ -dimensional cross polytope,  $\text{Cross}_n$ . Namely, there is an inclusion reversing bijection from the cells of  $\text{Cube}_n$  to the cells of  $\text{Cross}_n$ . Moreover, as algebraic cell complexes, the boundary maps of  $\text{Cube}_n$  equal the coboundary maps of  $\text{Cross}_n$ . The cross polytope is realized as the convex hull of the  $n$  standard basis vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and their opposites:

$$\text{Cross}_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| \leq 1\}.$$

The hypercube is a simple polytope, each vertex of  $\text{Cube}_n$  is contained in precisely  $n$  facets. Dually, the crosspolytope  $\text{Cross}_n$  is a simplicial polytope, each facet of  $\text{Cross}_n$  contains precisely  $n$  vertices.

## 4. SIMPLICIAL RESOLUTIONS

The last bit of background information that concerns us is an elementary construction of a kind of “simplicial resolution”. For a finite set of points  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\text{conv}(S)$  denote the convex hull of  $S$ .

We say that a (compact) set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $m$ -avoiding if for any  $2m$ -tuple of distinct points  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, x'_1, \dots, x'_m\}, x_k, x'_k \in X, 1 \leq k \leq m$ , the convex hulls  $\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_m), \text{conv}(x'_1, \dots, x'_m)$  of these tuples do not intersect.

The following Lemma, which is an immediate corollary of the Thom Transversality Theorem (see e.g. [Hir76, Chapter 3] or [Wal16, Chapter 4]) shows that any subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be embedded as an  $m$ -avoiding subset:

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $m$  and large enough  $N$ , a generic polynomial embedding of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is  $m$ -avoiding.*

This will be useful in the following situation which we will encounter later on:

**Definition 5.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjective map such that  $|f^{-1}(y)| \leq m$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Let  $i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an  $m$ -avoiding embedding. Define  $X^\Delta$  by:*

$$X^\Delta = \{(y, z) \in Y \times \mathbb{R}^n : z \in \text{conv}(i(f^{-1}(y)))\}.$$

*The extension of  $f$  to  $X^\Delta$  is well-defined because of the  $m$ -avoiding condition. Denote this extension as  $f^\Delta$ .*

*The simplicial resolution of  $(f, i)$  is the pair  $(X^\Delta, f^\Delta)$ .*

Note that if  $X$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then so is  $X^\Delta$ . The property of simplicial resolutions that we will be most concerned with is the following:

**Proposition 4.2.** *For a simplicial mapping between simplicial complexes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , its simplicial resolution*

$$f^\Delta : X^\Delta \rightarrow Y$$

*is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* Indeed, in this situation, the mapping is a fibration with contractible fibers.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM

The final observation we will need concerns the relative sizes of trees across dimension and duality. First, an Alexander duality for trees.

**Proposition 5.1.** *[DKM11, Proposition 6.1] Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be dual  $d$ -dimensional complexes and  $f^*$  be the inclusion reversing bijection from cells of  $X$  to cells of  $Y$ . Furthermore let  $T \subseteq X_i$  and  $U = \{f^*|f \in X_i \setminus T\}$ . Then  $T$  is an  $i$ -tree of  $X$  if and only if  $U$  is a  $(d-i)$ -tree of  $Y$ .*

Second, spanning trees of a complex  $\Sigma$  in adjacent dimensions  $\Sigma_i, \Sigma_{i+1}$  have complementary size. This result appears, e.g., as Proposition 2.6 of [DKM11]. There the proof is formulated in terms of the long exact sequence for relative homology. We give an alternative argument here for polytopes that relates more directly to our proof of the main theorem.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $P$  be a convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $P_k$  its  $k$ -skeleton and  $T$  a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of  $P_k$ . Then  $f_k(T) = \beta_{k-1}(P_{k-1})$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, we have

$$f_k(T) = f_k(P_k) - \beta_k(P_k).$$

Because  $P_k$  is shellable, it is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. Thus, its Euler characteristic is

$$\chi(P_k) = 1 + (-1)^k \beta_k(P_k).$$

We may also express the Euler characteristic as an alternating sum of the numbers of faces in each dimension:

$$\chi(P_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i f_i(P_k).$$

Using the same relations for  $P_{k-1}$  and the fact that  $\chi(P_k) = \chi(P_{k-1}) + (-1)^k f_k(P)$ , one gets the desired result.  $\square$

Specializing to the case of the cube, we conclude that the following are equinumerous:

- the size of a  $k$ -dimensional tree of  $\text{Cube}_{n,k}$
- the size of a  $(n-k)$ -dimensional tree of  $\text{Cross}_{n,n-k}$
- the size of the complement of a  $(k-1)$ -dimensional tree of  $\text{Cube}_{n,k-1}$
- the size of the complement of a  $(n-k-1)$ -dimensional tree of  $\text{Cross}_{n,n-k-1}$

where  $\text{Cross}_{n,k}$  denotes the  $k$ -dimensional skeleton of the  $n$ -dimensional cross-polytope and the complements are all taken within the appropriate skeletons. Numerically, this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(T(\text{Cube}_{n,k})) &= f_{n-k}(T(\text{Cross}_{n,n-k})) \\ &= \binom{n}{k-1} 2^{n-k+1} - f_{k-1}(T(\text{Cube}_{n,k-1})) \\ &= \binom{n}{k+1} 2^{n-k-1} - f_{n-k-1}(T(\text{Cross}_{n,n-k-1})). \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to prove our main result, Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The rank of the  $(k-2)$ -dimensional homology group of the no  $k$ -equal subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  is equal to the number of facets in a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of the  $k$ -skeleton of the  $n$ -dimensional hypercube.*

*Proof.* First, assume  $k < n$ .

As discussed above, by Alexander duality, we have:

$$\beta_{k-1}(\text{Cube}_{n,k-1}) = \beta_{n-k-1}(\text{Cross}_{n,n-k-1})$$

The  $(n-k-1)$ -skeleton of  $\text{Cross}_n$  consists of simplices that are convex hulls of  $(n-k)$  of its vertices. These simplices can be defined as follows. For any  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$ , let  $L_I$  denote the subspace:

$$L_I = \{x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_k} = 0\}.$$

The faces of the  $(n-k-1)$  skeleton of  $\text{Cross}_n$  are intersections of the  $L^1$ -sphere with subspaces of the form  $L_I$ . We will denote the union of all such  $L_I$  by  $\text{Coor}_k$ , the

codim- $k$  coordinate arrangement. Now, consider the suspension of the intersection of the  $L^1$ -sphere and  $\text{Coor}_k$ . The suspension is homeomorphic to the one point compactification of  $\text{Coor}_k$ ,  $\text{Coor}_k^*$ . Thus,  $\beta_{n-k-1}(\text{Cross}_{n,n-k-1}) = \beta_{n-k}(\text{Coor}_k^*)$ .

Let  $S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=0}^n x_i = 0\}$  and let

$$\pi : \text{Coor}_k \rightarrow S$$

be the projection of the coordinate arrangement to  $S$  along the diagonal. Note that the image  $\pi(\text{Coor}_k)$  lands inside  $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ . Furthermore, this extends continuously to one point compactifications. Slightly abusing notation, we continue to use  $\pi$  to refer to this extension.

We are now in the situation of Definition 5 – we may safely assume that the one-point compactifications of our arrangements are triangulated subsets of spheres in Euclidean space.

In the case that  $n < 2k$ ,  $\pi$  is a homeomorphism. However, when  $n \geq 2k$ , it is not: the point where several  $k$ -diagonals intersect has multiple preimages. The number of preimages is bounded from above by  $m = \lfloor n/k \rfloor$ .

Consider the simplicial resolution of  $(\pi, i)$ ,  $(\text{Coor}_k^*)^\Delta$ . Using Theorem 4.2,  $\pi$  is a homotopy equivalence. Thus,  $\beta_{n-k}((\text{Coor}_k^*)^\Delta) = \beta_{n-k}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k}^*)$ . All simplices added while taking the simplicial resolution are of dimension at most  $n - k - 2$ : indeed, the dimension of the cells glued over the preimages of  $l$ -fold intersections of the  $k$ -diagonals is equal to

$$n - l(k - 1) + (l - 1)$$

(the first summand is the dimension of the  $l$ -fold intersection; the second, of the simplices over each point of the self-intersection). As  $l \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 3$ , we obtain the desired bound.

Therefore, the cells added to  $\text{Coor}_k^*$  to obtain the simplicial resolution do not affect homology in dimension  $n - k$ . Therefore,  $\beta_{n-k}(\text{Coor}_k^*) = \beta_{n-k}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k}^*)$ . Finally, by Alexander duality,  $\beta_{n-k}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k}^*) = \beta_{k-2}(\mathcal{M}_{n,k})$  and  $f_k(T) = \beta_{k-2}(\mathcal{M}_{n,k})$  as desired.

For  $k = n$ , the  $n$ -dimensional hypercube is an  $n$ -dimensional spanning tree of itself;  $f_n(T) = 1$ . The  $n^{\text{th}}$  no  $n$ -equal space of  $\mathbb{R}$  is homotopy equivalent to an  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional sphere, so  $\beta_{n-2}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}) = 1$ . Thus, the claim holds for all  $k \leq n$ . □

## 6. PILES OF CUBES

The identity in the Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to the following situation. Consider the *comb* no- $k$ -equal subspace arrangement defined as follows:

**Definition 6.** Let  $A_j \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  be finite subsets of the reals,  $|A_j| =: n_j \geq 1$ .

The  $A$ -comb  $k$ -equal arrangement of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consists of all subspaces of the form  $\{x_{i_1} - a_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_k} - a_{i_k}\}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  and  $a_{i_j} \in A_{i_j}$ .

The  $A$ -comb no  $k$ -equal space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the complement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the  $A$ -comb  $k$ -equal arrangement.

We will denote this aforementioned arrangement as  $\Delta_k^A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , and its complement as  $M_k^A$ .

Notice that we recover the no  $k$ -equal arrangements when all  $A_j$ 's are  $\{0\}$ .

Define a  $k$ -dependence between the sets  $A_j$  as a collection of  $k$  distinct pairs  $\{x_{j_1}, x'_{j_1}\} \in A_{j_1}, \dots, \{x_{j_k}, x'_{j_k}\} \in A_{j_k}$  in  $k$  of the sets such that  $x_{j_i} - x'_{j_i}$  coincide for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Further, define a *pile of cubes of size*  $\otimes_{j=1}^n N_j$  as the (cubical) CW complex consisting of parallelogram  $[0, N_1] \times [0, N_2] \times \dots \times [0, N_n]$  naturally stratified by the integer grid.

In this situation Theorem 1.2 can be written more precisely as:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Assuming that there are no  $k$ -dependences between  $A_j$ 's, the rank of the  $(k-2)$ -dimensional homology of  $M_k^A$  is equal to the number of facets in a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of the  $k$ -skeleton of the pile of cubes of size  $\otimes_{j=1}^n n_j$ .*

The key component of the proof is the following result:

**Proposition 6.2.** *The rank of  $(n-k)$ -th integer homology of one-point compactification of the arrangement  $\Delta_k^A$  equals the rank of the  $(k-1)$ -st integer homology of the  $(k-1)$ -st skeleton of the pile of cubes of size  $\otimes_{j=1}^n n_j$ .*

*Proof.* We start with a natural construction of a pile of cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : pick one point in the interior of  $n_j$  open intervals into which  $A_j$  partitions  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will denote this subset as  $B_j$ . The product of the collections of  $n_j$  closed intervals in the  $j$ -th factor of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defines a pile of cubes  $B$  of size  $\otimes_{j=1}^n n_j$ . We consider our Euclidean  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset S^n$  as an open subset of its one-point compactification. Adding the large open cell at infinity to the pile of cubes  $B$  defines a (cubical) regular CW complex structure on the  $n$ -sphere.

On the other hand, we have a natural CW complex obtained by taking the products of the points of  $A_j$ 's and the intervals into which  $A_j$ 's split the real line. This CW complex can be compactified into a finite regular CW complex by adding a point at infinity; we will denote this complex as  $A$ . Both  $A$  and  $B$  are homeomorphic to the  $n$ -sphere.

Importantly, these two CW complexes are dual: for each  $k$  cell of one there exists exactly one  $(n-k)$  cell of the other, intersecting it at a unique point, and the boundary operators on these two complexes are automatically dual to each other.

This implies that the  $k$ -th homology of  $k$ -skeleton of one of these CW-complexes is isomorphic to  $(n-k-1)$ -st homology of the  $(n-k-1)$ -skeleton of the other. Thus, the  $(k-1)$ -st homology of the  $(k-1)$ -skeleton of  $B$  is isomorphic to the  $(n-k)$ -th homology of the  $(n-k)$ -skeleton of  $A$ .

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we consider the projection of  $A$  into  $S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=0}^n x_i = 0\}$ . The image of this projection lives in  $\Delta_k^A$ . We may once again extend this to one point compactifications. Once more consider the simplicial resolution of this projection. The fact that there are no  $k$ -dependences between the  $A_j$ 's ensures that the dimension of the cells added in the construction of the simplicial resolution are at most  $n-k-2$ . Thus, the  $(n-k)$ -th homology of the  $(n-k)$ -skeleton of  $A$  is isomorphic to the  $(n-k)$ -th homology of the one point compactification of  $\Delta_k^A$ .  $\square$

The rest of the proof of Theorem 6.1 follows from Proposition 5.2 at the beginning and Alexander duality at the end.

**Corollary 6.3.** *Assuming that there are no  $k$ -dependences between  $A_j$ 's, the rank of the  $(k-2)$ -dimensional homology of  $M_k^A$ ,  $\beta_{k-2}$ , satisfies the following:*

$$1 + (-1)^{k-1} \beta_{k-2} = \prod_{j=1}^n (n_j + 1) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (-1)^\ell \sum_{|I|=\ell} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{n_i}{n_i + 1} \right)$$

where the  $I$  are subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.1,  $\beta_{k-2}$  equals the number of facts in a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of the  $k$ -skeleton of the pile of cubes of size  $\otimes_{j=1}^n n_j$ . Let  $P_\ell$  denote the  $\ell$ -skeleton of this pile of cubes. By Proposition 5.2, the number of facets in a  $k$ -dimensional spanning tree of  $P_k$  is equal to  $\beta_{k-1}(P_{k-1})$ .  $\beta_{k-1}(P_{k-1})$  satisfies

$$1 + (-1)^{k-1} \beta_{k-1}(P_{k-1}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (n_j + 1) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (-1)^\ell \sum_{|I|=\ell} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{n_i}{n_i + 1} \right)$$

The left hand side is the Euler characteristic of  $P_{k-1}$  computed using the fact that  $P_{k-1}$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. The right hand side is the Euler characteristic computed as an alternating sum of the number of cells in each dimension.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Bar97] Yuliy Baryshnikov, *On the cohomology ring of no  $k$ -equal manifolds*, preprint (1997).
- [BLY92] Anders Björner, László Lovász, and Andrew CC Yao, *Linear decision trees: volume estimates and topological bounds*, Proceedings of the twenty-fourth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, ACM, 1992, pp. 170–177.
- [Bol76] Ethan D. Bolker, *Simplicial geometry and transportation polytopes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **217** (1976), 121–142. MR 0411983 (54 #112)
- [BW95] Anders Björner and Volkmar Welker, *The homology of “ $k$ -equal” manifolds and related partition lattices*, Adv. Math. **110** (1995), no. 2, 277–313. MR 1317619
- [DKM09] Art M. Duval, Caroline J. Klivans, and Jeremy L. Martin, *Simplicial matrix-tree theorems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 11, 6073–6114. MR 2529925 (2011a:05385)
- [DKM11] ———, *Cellular spanning trees and Laplacians of cubical complexes*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **46** (2011), no. 1-4, 247–274. MR 2794024 (2012e:05182)
- [DKM16] Art M Duval, Caroline J Klivans, and Jeremy L Martin, *Simplicial and cellular trees*, Recent Trends in Combinatorics, Springer, 2016, pp. 713–752.
- [DT14] Natalya Dobrinskaya and Victor Turchin, *Homology of non- $k$ -overlapping discs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.0881 (2014).
- [GM88] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson, *Stratified Morse theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. MR 932724
- [Hir76] Morris W. Hirsch, *Differential topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 33. MR 0448362
- [Kal83] Gil Kalai, *Enumeration of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -acyclic simplicial complexes*, Israel J. Math. **45** (1983), no. 4, 337–351. MR 720308 (85a:55006)
- [Lyo09] Russell Lyons, *Random complexes and  $l^2$ -Betti numbers*, J. Topol. Anal. **1** (2009), no. 2, 153–175. MR 2541759 (2010k:05130)
- [Wal16] C. T. C. Wall, *Differential topology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 156, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. MR 3558600
- [Yuz02] Sergey Yuzvinsky, *Small rational model of subspace complement*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 5, 1921–1945. MR 1881024
- [Zv93] Günter M. Ziegler and Rade T. Živaljević, *Homotopy types of subspace arrangements via diagrams of spaces*, Math. Ann. **295** (1993), no. 3, 527–548. MR 1204836