PG 1553+113: the Case for a Binary Supermassive Black Hole

P. Peñil (Clemson University) S. Adhikari R. Westernacher-Schneider M. Ajello A. Domínguez S. Buson J. Zrake A. Rico

Transient and Variable Universe Conference June 21, 2023 (University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign)

Credit: S. Ciprini



PG 1553+113

- BL Lacertae (*z*=0.433, Jones et al., 2021)
- Among the most analyzed blazars for variability studies
- 2.2 yr periodicity at gamma rays (~5o)
 - e.g., Ackermann et al., 2015
- A trend in multiple bands was noticed along the light curve.
 - Peñil et al. 2023 (submitted)



Peñil et al. 2023 (submitted)



Trends in PG 1553+113

- Trend in a few other blazars:
 - E.g., 3C 84 (Rani, 2018) and
 1ES 1215+303 (Valverde et al., ApJ, 2020)



• <u>Hypothesis</u>:

This trend may be part of a **longer period**?





DASCH

Optical Data

- DASCH:
 - >80 years of data (1920-1992)
- Recent Surveys:
 - CSS, AAVSO, ASAS-SN, ZTF (2005-2020)
- Total exposure time ≈100 years





Long-term periodicity result

- Generalized Lomb-Scargle (Zechmeister et al., 2009)
- Weighted Wavelet Z-transform (Foster 1996)
- Long-term period of ~22 yr detected at 3σ (1.9σ post trial)







Binary Hypotheses

Multiple hypothesis for a binary SMBH system have been proposed to explain the quasi-periodicity of 2.2 yr.

- Jet instability triggered by companion black hole (Cavaliere et al. 2017)
- Jet precession due to the secondary black hole (Tavani et al. 2018)
- Double jet precession (Huang et al. 2021)

But now we also need to explain the 22 yr period.





Lump periodicity?

Lump (MacFadyen et al. 2008)





Westernacher-Schneider et al. 2022

Youtube link

Credit:



7



Lump Emission

- Lump period = [5 10] × Period binary (Westernacher-Schneider et al. 2022)
- PG 1553+113:
 - Period lump: 22 yr
 - Period binary: 2.2 yr
 - Relation between both periods 10:1
 - Lump period plausible





Lump: Emission Mechanisms

- Accretion rate mechanism: modulate the accretion rate of the binary system
 - Imprint upon all components of jet spectral energy distributions
- Seed photon mechanism:

supply of seed (thermal) photons from the circumbinary disk (peak in the mid-infrared to optical)

◦ External Inverse Compton process → \gtrsim soft X-ray energies





Evaluating Lump Hypothesis

- 2D gas simulations with radiative cooling (Sailfish, Westernacher-Schneider et al. 2022).
- Characterization of the binary system (**reproducing both periods**):
 - Semi-major axis (a)
 - a= 5x10⁻³ pc (Newtonian binary with orbital period 1.5 yrs)
 - Total black hole mass ~ 5x10⁸ M₀ (e.g., Cavaliere et al. 2017, Huang et al. 2021)
 - Accretion rate mechanism (Period of 22 yr in optical band)
 - Eccentricity (e):
 - e=0 (Huang et al. 2021)
 - Mass ratio (q):
 - **q=0.4** (Huang et al. 2021)
 - Accretion disk aspect ratio: h~0.03 (Eddington ratio ~ 0.1 (Ghisellini et al. 2014)



Summary

- Long-Term period (22 yr) in the optical band (~100 years of observations)
- Binary Supermassive black hole hypothesis: Lump scenario
- Evaluation with 2D viscous gas simulations with radiative cooling:
 - Reproduce the 10:1 double-period.
 - Binary model for PG 1553+113 is plausible.



Thanks



Single-BH hypotheses: E.g. relativistic precession?

- Tilted disk in a relativistic potential
 - \rightarrow multiple precession frequencies
 - \rightarrow geometric effect on the jet
- How to explain a gamma-optical delay?



Nealon et al. 2014



Gamma-Optical Delay

- Optical minimum: 2015-2016
- No minimum in gamma rays: 2-4 year?
- Simulations in (Farris et al. 2015):
 - Accretion rate mechanism can <u>LAG</u>
 Seed photon mechanism
 ≈20-30% of a lump period
- Gamma-rays:

The accretion rate + seed photon mechanisms?

