



<u>Correlation between Emission-Line</u> <u>Luminosity and Gamma-Ray</u> <u>Dominance in 3C279</u>

# Markus Böttcher, Anton Dmytriiev, & Thabiso Machipi

North-West University

Potchefstroom, South Africa

Based on: Dmytriiev, Böttcher & Machipi, 2023, ApJ 949, 28





- Class of AGN consisting of BL Lac objects and gammaray bright quasars with relativistic jets pointing close to our line of sight
- Rapidly (often intra-day) variable
- Strong gamma-ray sources
- Radio knots often with superluminal motion
- Radio and optical (and X-ray?) polarization

# <u>Blazar Spectral Energy</u> <u>Distributions (SEDs)</u>



#### Gamma-Ray (Compton) Dominance



(3C279: Hayashida et al. 2012)









# <u>Multi-wavelength</u> <u>Variability</u>

Multi-wavelength variability on various time scales (months – minutes) Sometimes correlated, sometimes not

Different variability amplitudes in different wavelength regimes  $\rightarrow$  Change of  $\gamma$ -ray dominance.



# Gamma-Ray (Compton) Dominance

Hypothesis:  $\gamma$ -ray flux dominated by EC on BLR photons (?)



=> If  $\Gamma$  and B are not significantly changing, expect a correlation between LBLR and CD!

# <u>3C279</u>

- One of the best studied γ-ray bright FSRQs across the EM spectrum (incl. Fermi-LAT since 2008 and optical spectroscopy monitoring by Steward Observatory)
- First FSRQ detected by EGRET (when 3C73 was expected to be seen)
- First FSRQ detected in VHE  $\gamma$ -rays
- z = 0.536
- Typically high CD

#### <u>Data</u>

- Optical spectroscopy from Steward Observatory monitoring program<sup>1</sup> (2008 – 2018)
- Fit continuum (power-law)
  + Gaussian emission lines
- MgII line (2798 Å, redshifted to 4298 Å) as proxy for emission-line luminosity



#### <u>Data</u>

- Optical spectroscopy from Steward Observatory monitoring program<sup>1</sup> (2008 – 2018)
- Fit continuum (power-law)
  + Gaussian emission lines
- MgII line (2798 Å, redshifted to 4298 Å) as proxy for emission-line luminosity
- Fermi-LAT data 2008 2018
- Fermipy, maximum-likelihood
- Adaptive time binning (1 week, 3 day, 1 day), depending on flux state



# Light curves

No obvious correlation of emission-line flux with optical / γ-ray continuum



#### **Correlation analyses**

Scatter plot (simultaneous measurements) shows no correlation.



<u>Discrete Correlation</u> <u>Function (DCF –</u> <u>Edelson & Krolik 1988):</u>

Significant correlations:



- <u>Discrete Correlation</u> <u>Function (DCF –</u> <u>Edelson & Krolik 1988):</u>
- Significant correlations:
  - Synch. cont. vs. γ-ray flux (~ 0 lag)



- <u>Discrete Correlation</u> <u>Function (DCF –</u> <u>Edelson & Krolik 1988):</u>
- Significant correlations:
  - Synch. cont. vs. γ-ray flux (~ 0 lag)
  - Em. line vs. γ-ray flux (~ 5 day lag of γ-rays behind em. lines)



- <u>Discrete Correlation</u> <u>Function (DCF –</u> <u>Edelson & Krolik 1988):</u>
- Significant correlations:
  - Synch. cont. vs. γ-ray flux (~ 0 lag)
  - Em. line vs. γ-ray flux (~ 5 day lag of γ-rays behind em. lines)
  - Possible feature at ~ 90 days in synch. cont. vs. γ-ray flux



#### Auto-correlation functions (ACFs)

# Apparent quasi-periodic feature in $\gamma$ -rays (0, 45, 90, 135 days)



#### Interpretation: Synch. cont. vs. γ-rays



- Direct correlation: co-spatial production of optical and  $\gamma$ -rays  $\rightarrow$  Support for leptonic scenario (?)
- ~ 90 day lag: γ-rays after synch. cont.?
  - 90 days  $\rightarrow$  Travel distance: d ~ 7.5  $\delta_1 \Gamma_1$  pc
  - Possible interaction of emitting plasma with a second obstacle (standing shock?) ~ 7 – 8 pc down the jet (?)
  - Much lower B-field → Synchrotron suppressed; "Compton-only" flare (?)

#### Interpretation: Em. lines vs. γ-rays



- Direct correlation (γ-rays lagging behind by ~ 5 days): Support for leptonic EC/BLR scenario
- ~ 5 day lag  $\rightarrow$  Size of BLR ~ 5 light days ~ 1.3x10<sup>16</sup> cm
- However, no correlation between em. lines and CD!  $CD \propto \frac{\Gamma^2 L_{BLR}}{B^2}$   $\rightarrow$  Likely changes in  $\Gamma$ , B contribute significantly to mwl variability.

#### Interpretation: γ-ray ACF



• Quasi-periodic feature: Multiples of ~ 45 days

→ Possible signature of multiple recollimation shocks ~ 4 pc apart (Hervet et al. 2017)?

#### <u>Summary</u>

- 3C279 shows no clear correlation between CD and BLR luminosity. → Likely other parameters (Γ, B) are changing and have significant impact on multi-wavelength variability.
- Significant correlations:
  - Synch. cont. vs.  $\gamma$ -ray flux  $\rightarrow$  Support for leptonic models (?)
  - Em. line luminosity vs.  $\gamma$ -ray flux  $\rightarrow$  Support for EC/BLR
  - Delayed  $\gamma$ -ray feature ~ 90 days after direct synch cont. vs.  $\gamma$ -ray correlation  $\rightarrow$  Possible jet interaction with standing feature ~ 7 8 pc down the jet.
- Quasi-periodic feature in  $\gamma$ -ray ACF (multiples of ~45 days)
  - → Possible signature of multiple recollimation shocks ~ 4 pc apart?

# Thank you!