

Nikola Koziol

Mary Hays

Reflection

9 December 2015

### Lessons Learned from Editing my Annotated Bibliography & Research Proposal

In this essay, I changed the title from, “Prostitution: Sex trafficking in America despite Democracy: An Annotated Bibliography,” to, “Prostitution: Sex trafficking in America despite Human Rights: An Annotated Bibliography.” Democracy was an incorrect word usage because it suggests that I believe democracy should prevent prostitution. Human rights is a better phrase because it explains that I believe the existence of prostitution is surprising despite the human rights people from democracy.

I read professor Mary comments and added a sentence in the beginning of every analytical paragraph that labeled each source as popular or scholarly. This information allows readers to see the credibility of the source. As a result, readers can decide if my analysis is credible. I did not add more analysis because my Professor stated that my summaries and analysis paragraphs went above and beyond her expectations.

After, I read the entire essay from the top to check for simple grammar mistakes. I changed a few expletives, passive sentences, and verb forms throughout the entire essay. This improves my grammar and makes my essay concise.

This assignment taught me how to follow directions. I would have received a better grade if I labeled the sources as popular or scholarly. In addition I learned why this label was

important. This tells readers if a writer's claims are practical. If all the sources are popular, then the reader is aware that biases and no scientific facts will be included in the writer's support and analysis.