Sacrificial bonds and hidden length in biomaterials: A kinetic constitutive description of strength and toughness in bone
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Sacrificial bonds and hidden length in structural molecules account for the greatly increased fracture toughness of biological materials compared to synthetic materials without such structural features by providing a molecular-scale mechanism for energy dissipation. One example is in the polymeric glue connection between collagen fibrils in animal bone. In this paper we propose a simple kinetic model that describes the breakage of sacrificial bonds and the release of hidden length, based on Bell’s theory. We postulate a master equation governing the rates of bond breakage and formation. This enables us to predict the mechanical behavior of a quasi-one-dimensional ensemble of polymers at different stretching rates. We find that both the rupture peak heights and maximum stretching distance increase with the stretching rate. In addition, our theory naturally permits the possibility of self-healing in such biological structures.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many biological, polymeric materials gain their strength and toughness through the formation of sacrificial bonds and hidden length. Examples include bone [1–7], abalone shells [1, 8, 9], and diatoms [10–13]. Often, sacrificial bonds connect two different sites on a molecular backbone, thereby constraining part of the polymer from stretching. These bonds are typically weaker than the covalent bonds on the molecular backbone; they break and release “hidden length” before the molecular backbone ruptures. This molecular-scale mechanism has been found to greatly increase the total amount of work needed to break the material.

An important example of sacrificial bonds and hidden length occurs in the polymeric glue connection between collagen fibrils in animal bone [1–7], illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. Each intact sacrificial bond shields part of the glue strand from contributing to its end-to-end distance. Given an end-to-end distance, a glue strand of smaller apparent length carries less entropy than one with more available length. In other words, the presence of sacrificial bonds and hidden length amplifies the amount of force that is necessary to stretch the polymers and therefore accounts for the increase in fracture toughness of the material. Following breakage of one sacrificial bond, the corresponding hidden length now unravels, causing a force drop as an immediate result of the spike in entropy.

We recently proposed a theoretical model that accounts for this mechanism and captures the mechanical response of the stretched polymer network in the quasistatic limit [14]. In that model, we assume that the strength of sacrificial bonds is a random variable, primarily to account for the apparent variability of bond strength as observed in several stretching experiments [1–5]. It is well known that the mechanical behavior of stretched biological molecules depends on the pulling velocity. In particular, Bell’s theory [15] implies that the maximum force that a molecule can sustain varies as the logarithm of the pulling speed, as is observed in experiments [16]. Our earlier model, however, does not account for this rate dependence unless we impose the assumption that the “random bond strength” distribution itself varies as the logarithm of the pulling velocity. Neither does our previous model entail a recuperation of strength and toughness observed in experiments [4].

An understanding of these velocity- and recovery-time-dependent behaviors is of paramount importance in many applications. For example, the propagation of cracks—often caused by traumatic injuries in the case of bones—is a dynamical process (as opposed to quasistatic). In contrast, self-healing might impede the spread of microcracks in bone. To account analytically for these behaviors, we borrow the two-state model of protein unfolding due to Rief et al. [17]. Since breakage of sacrificial bonds and protein unfolding both involve the forced breakage of noncovalent bonds and the unraveling of compact structure and since formation of sacrificial bonds and protein folding both result in the formation of compact structure, we base our framework on the assumption that the kinetics of sacrificial bond breakage and formation can be described in a similar manner. The two-state model enables us to obtain analytic expressions for the transition rate in terms of the force-extension profile.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we use the two-state kinetic model to derive conditions for the breakage and formation of sacrificial bonds and show how the pulling velocity relates to these processes. In Sec. III we apply the model to a single polymer chain: a quasi-one-dimensional chain of entangled polymer molecules in series. We show that the model reproduces the logarithmic dependence of the peak force on the pulling velocity and, with a judicious choice of several adjustable parameters, yields force-extension profiles that are qualitatively similar to what is observed in collagen fibril separation experiments [1–5, 16]. In Sec. IV we apply the kinetic model to entangled polymers and examine the effect of the delay time, i.e., the time that permits self-healing between two successive pulling experiments, on the macroscopic mechanical response of a pair of separating collagen fibrils. Based on these results, we propose in Sec. V a constitutive law that describes the macroscopic response of separating collagen fibrils. In Sec. VI we discuss the applicability of our kinetic model to the breakage and formation of sacrificial bonds.
II. KINETIC MODEL

In this section we introduce a kinetic model that describes the dynamics of formation and breakage of sacrificial bonds and release of hidden length in a polymer network and relate this to mechanical forces on the polymer network. As a simplifying assumption, we propose that the network of polymers—in the specific example of animal bone, glue connections that hold the collagen fibrils together—can be described as a quasi-one-dimensional ensemble of polymer chains, regardless of whether sacrificial bonds are found within a single polymer molecule or between adjacent polymers. Each polymer chain may consist of one long polymer molecule or multiple polymers entangled together; see Fig. 1(a). The ensemble of these quasi-one-dimensional chains thus acquires a distribution of total lengths.

In addition, we assume that each polymer chain is semiflexible, so the force-extension relation is given by the wormlike-chain model [18,19], in conformation with much of the literature on the mechanics of proteins:

$$F = \frac{k_B T}{b} \left[ \frac{x}{L} + \frac{1}{4(1 - x/L)^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

Here the force $F$ is entropic in nature, arising from the tendency of the polymer chain to recoil and return to a state of higher entropy as it is stretched. In Eq. (2.1), $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature, $b$ is the persistence length, $x$ is the end-to-end distance, and $L$ is the contour length available for stretching, i.e., the total contour length $L_c$ of each chain minus the hidden length shielded by sacrificial bonds. Breakage of each sacrificial bond unveils hidden length, resulting in a step jump in the available contour length $L$. This results in an increase in the chain entropy, which causes abrupt force drops. Stretching the chain without breaking sacrificial bonds reduces the entropy, thereby dissipating a significant amount of energy. We emphasize that for simplicity, we assume no elasticity in the polymer chain. Thus any increase in the available length $L$ would be solely associated with the release of hidden length and any increase in the end-to-end distance $x$ would be purely entropic.

A sacrificial bond breaks when the force on the polymer chain exceeds the strength of that bond. As mentioned in the Introduction, we assumed in our previous work [14] that the bond strength is a uniform random variable, reflecting the randomness of bond breakage events. One approach to modeling the dependence of the mechanical behavior on pulling rate in the context of the previous model would be to represent the bond strength distribution itself directly as a function of pulling rate. However, this crude approach neglects the fundamental physics of rate dependence. Meanwhile, Bell’s model [15] expresses the transition probability of bond formation and breakage events as a Boltzmann factor that involves the product of the force and a parameter with the dimensions of length, interpreted as the distance from the transition state of the conformational change. It has been successful in accounting for the rate dependence of forced protein unfolding, which in most cases involves breakage of weak internal bonds [1–5]. How then are we to apply such kinetic models to the breakage of sacrificial bonds and release of hidden length?

We proceed by assuming that the breakage of sacrificial bonds follows a two-state pathway so that we can apply Bell’s theory. At large forces and pulling rates, the formation of sacrificial bonds can be neglected. Motivated by Su and Purohit [20], we propose that the rate of change of the number of sacrificial bonds $N_b$ is given by the first-order differential
Here \( N_b^* \) is the continuous version of the integer \( N_b \); it represents the number of sacrificial bonds at a given instant of time, averaged over an ensemble of many polymer chains. It will be thresholded below [see Eq. (2.5)] to isolate individual bond breakage and formation events and it coincides with \( N_b \) whenever it is an integer. In addition, \( N_f \) is the number of free sites, with \( N = L_c/b \) being the number of sites, or persistence lengths, in the polymer. Each sacrificial bond within a single polymer chain involves two sites on the molecule, so \( N_f = N - 2N_b \). Further, \( k_f \) and \( k_b \) are the rates at which bond fragmentation and bond formation events occur; according to Bell’s theory, they are given by

\[
k_f = \alpha_0 \exp \left( \frac{F \Delta x_f}{k_B T} \right),
\]

and

\[
k_b = \beta_0 \exp \left( -\frac{F \Delta x_b}{k_B T} \right),
\]

where \( F = F(x) \) is the force-extension relation given by Eq. (2.1). Here \( \Delta x_f \) and \( \Delta x_b \) are the distances to the transition state; \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \beta_0 \) are, respectively, inverse time scales that describe the rate at which bond breakage and formation events occur at zero pulling force. We have mentioned in the Introduction that the physics of sacrificial bond breakage and protein unfolding are similar. Parameter estimates for the unfolding of proteins [20] suggest that \( \Delta x_f, \Delta x_b, \) and \( b \) are to be of the order of 0.1 nm, but no exact parameter values are available at this time for the glue connections in bone.

A bond breakage event occurs when \( N_b \) decreases by unity, that is, when \( N_b^* \) reaches an integer. Thus the condition for a bond formation event to happen is

\[
\int dN_b^* = \int (-k_f N_b + k_b N_f) dt = 1,
\]

where the integral on the right-hand side is over the time between successive bond formation events. Similarly, the condition for a bond breakage event to happen is

\[
\int dN_b^* = \int (-k_f N_b + k_b N_f) dt = -1,
\]

where the integral on the right-hand side is over the time between successive bond breakage events. In particular, for pulling experiments at constant velocity \( \dot{v} \), under the assumption that the probing spring is stiff compared to the polymer compliance, the preceding equation gives

\[
\int_{x_1}^{x_2} [k_f(F(x))N_b - k_b(F(x))N_f] dx = \dot{v},
\]

where \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are the chain end-to-end distances at successive bond breakage events.

In mechanical experiments on stretched glue connection in animal bone [2–4] it has been found that sacrificial bonds mediated by ions such as calcium also form between the glue strand backbone and the collagen fibrils. Breakage of these end bonds causes the detachment of the entire glue strand from one of the collagen fibrils, so the stretching force on the glue strand immediately drops to zero. In addition, it has been found that broken links may self-heal, in that some broken end bonds would be restored if, after a particular pulling experiment, the entire sample is left untouched for times as short as a few seconds [4]. We propose that the breakage and restoration of end bonds can be described within the same theoretical framework. That is, the change in the number of end bonds \( N_e \) is governed by the rate equation

\[
\frac{dN_e^*}{dt} = -k_f^e N_e^* + k_b^e N_f (1 - N_e^*),
\]

where \( N_e^* \) is the continuous version of the integer \( N_e \), varies between zero and unity; it can be interpreted as the fraction of end bonds that have yet to break. Notice that \( 1 - N_e^* \) appears because each glue strand either attaches to the bone fibril or does not anchor to it. Here \( k_f^e \) and \( k_b^e \) are the rates of end bond breakage and formation, necessarily different from \( k_f \) and \( k_b \), given by

\[
k_f^e = \alpha_e \exp \left( \frac{F \Delta x_{f,e}}{k_B T} \right),
\]

and

\[
k_b^e = \beta_e \exp \left( -\frac{F \Delta x_{b,e}}{k_B T} \right).
\]

As before, \( \alpha_e \) and \( \beta_e \) are, respectively, the rates at which end bonds break and form when no external force is present and \( \Delta x_{f,e} \) and \( \Delta x_{b,e} \) are the distances from the transition state for end bond breakage and formation events. For a single polymer chain, the end bond breaks when

\[
\int_0^{x_e} k_f^e(F(x)) dx = \dot{v},
\]

where \( x_e \) is the chain end-to-end distance at which the end bond breaks and the chain detaches.

We note in passing that for a collection of polymers stacked in parallel, Eq. (2.8) should more properly be interpreted as the governing equation for the fraction of polymer chains with end bonds restored as a function of time \( t \); thus

\[
\frac{dN_e^*}{dt} = -k_f^e N_e^* + k_b^e (1 - N_e^*).
\]

Suppose \( t = 0 \) marks the time at which all polymers detach from the surface, after the previous stretching experiment. Then the fraction \( N_e^* \) of polymers that adhere to the surface at time \( t \) is given by

\[
N_e^* = \frac{\beta_e}{\alpha_e + \beta_e} [1 - e^{-(\alpha_e + \beta_e)t}].
\]

Equations (2.7) and (2.11) can be used to predict the force-extension curve of a stretched polymer; in particular, they can predict the chain end-to-end distance at which bond breakage events occur, as well as the corresponding bond strengths. Meanwhile, Eq. (2.13) is particularly useful for analyzing the dependence of the mechanical behavior of multiple polymers stacked in parallel on the delay time between pulls.
Note that our model represents an average over a large ensemble of experiments; it is deterministic and does not capture the randomness of bond breakage events as in [14]. The applicability of this relatively simple model to the breakage and formation of sacrificial bonds, in comparison to other sophisticated models of protein folding, will be discussed in Sec. VI.

III. PULLING A SINGLE POLYMER CHAIN: THEORETICAL PREDICTIONS

We begin by considering the force-extension behavior of a single polymer chain whose total length is \( L_c = 100 \) nm. Let \( m \) denote the number of sacrificial bonds. For simplicity, we assume that shielded lengths do not contain sacrificial bonds and that the length \( L_j \) of each hidden loop is a uniform random number less than \( L_c/m \). Then the initial available length is \( L_i = L_c - \sum_{j=1}^{m} L_j \). To locate bond breakage events, we integrate Eq. (2.7) over the force-extension profile and compute the end-to-end distance \( x_e \) at which each individual bond breakage event occurs, assuming that bonds break in the order of increasing shielded length. We integrate Eq. (2.11) over the entire force-extension curve to locate the maximum pulling distance before the polymer chain detaches from the underlying material.

Figure 2 show the force-extension curves of a polymer chain with \( m = 6 \) sacrificial bonds, stretched at three representative velocities \( v = 10^2, 10^3, \) and \( 10^6 \) nm s\(^{-1}\). In computing these theoretical curves we have used the parameter estimates \( \alpha_0 = 0.3 \) s\(^{-1}\), \( \beta_0 = 0.003 \) s\(^{-1}\), \( \alpha_e = 0.1 \) s\(^{-1}\), \( b = 0.1 \) nm, \( \Delta x_f = 0.25 \) nm, \( \Delta x_b = 0.1 \) nm, and \( \Delta x_e = 0.15 \) nm. The magnitudes of these parameters are roughly consistent with those in protein unfolding models (see, for example, [20]). In particular, the smallness of the persistence length \( b \) is consistent with the estimate of [5] and implies that each polymer chain may consist of several entangled molecules, or that these glue molecules have unusual force versus extension properties, and that sacrificial bonds can form practically anywhere along the molecule’s length. The average internal bond strength varies from roughly 80 pN at \( v = 10^2 \) nm s\(^{-1}\) to 150 pN at \( v = 10^6 \) nm s\(^{-1}\), while the end bond strength varies from roughly 150 to 300 pN over the same range of pulling velocities. For comparison, Fig. 2 shows sample experimental pulling curves of a polymer chain with sacrificial bonds and hidden length. The force drops due to breakage of sacrificial bonds and release of hidden length are also seen in the gray curve here, which represents the behavior of a typical polymer chain with sacrificial bonds, stretched by the tip of an atomic force microscope. The black curve shows the mechanical response of an otherwise identical polymer chain, i.e., one with the same total length but with no sacrificial bonds. In both figures, the shaded area between the two curves represents the increase in toughness due to the presence of sacrificial bonds and hidden length. Due to the inherent variability of experimental parameters (ion concentration in buffer, chain length, etc.) in different samples and the fact that past experimental studies of sacrificial bonds [1–5] were aimed primarily at exhibiting qualitative features, the available data permit only rough estimates of, but not rigorous constraints on, the values of kinetic parameters such as \( \Delta x_f, \Delta x_b, \alpha_0, \) and \( \beta_0 \). Therefore, the aim of this comparison with individual chain pulling experiments is to seek qualitative, rather than exact quantitative, agreement; qualitative agreement between the theoretical and experimental results is clear.

Figure 3 shows the variation of the maximum stretch \( x_e \) as a function of the pulling velocity for two polymer chains with 6 and 12 sacrificial bonds, respectively, but are otherwise identical; the overlap of the curves indicates that this is independent of the number of sacrificial bonds. Figure 3 is a log-linear plot that displays the variation of the end bond strength as a function of the pulling velocity \( v \). The linearity of the plot shows that the end bond strength, which equals the maximum stretching force along the stretching profile, varies logarithmically with the stretching velocity \( v \), as is predicted by Bell’s theory [15] and seen in experiments with dentin matrix protein I [16], shown here in Fig. 3.

Figure 4 shows the total energy dissipation, a measure of the toughness of the polymer chain given by the area under the force-extension curve, as a function of the number of sacrificial bonds \( m \), for three representative stretching velocities \( v = 10^2, 10^3, \) and \( 10^4 \) nm s\(^{-1}\) and averaged over...
FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Maximum pulling distance and (b) end bond strength as functions of pulling velocity $v$ for $m = 6$ (blue circles) and 12 (red squares) sacrificial bonds. The overlap of the curves indicates that both quantities appear to be independent of $m$. (c) Dynamic force spectrum of dentin matrix protein 1 strands with sacrificial bonds mediated by sodium, calcium, and lanthanum buffers, respectively. Adapted with permission from [16]. Our theory predicts a log-linear dependence, as observed in experiments, of the end bond strength on the pulling rate.

200 runs. While sacrificial bonds and hidden length constitute a major toughening mechanism, increasing the number of sacrificial bonds beyond $m \approx 15$ fails to further stiffen the chain, as is found in [14]. In addition, the importance of this toughening mechanism becomes more pronounced at high pulling velocities (of the order of $1000 \text{ nm s}^{-1}$), providing increased resistance against impact loading.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Total energy dissipation over the entire course of stretching, as a function of the number of sacrificial bonds $m$, for stretching velocities $v = 10^{2} \text{ nm s}^{-1}$ (blue), $10^{3} \text{ nm s}^{-1}$ (red), and $10^{4} \text{ nm s}^{-1}$ (green), increasing from bottom to top. Results are averaged over 200 runs. The vertical bars indicate one standard deviation.

IV. STRETCHING MULTIPLE POLYMER CHAINS IN PARALLEL: EFFECT OF DELAY TIME BETWEEN PULLS

In this section we consider the dynamical behavior of multiple polymers stretched in parallel. For simplicity, assume that each polymer chain is independent of the others, with no entanglement between the polymer strands, so that the total force equals the sum of forces in each polymer chain.

Figure 5 shows the force-extension curves of $N_p = 200$ parallel polymer chains, at pulling velocity $v = 1000 \text{ nm s}^{-1}$, for delay times (the time between rupture of all end bonds and the start of the next pulling experiment) ranging from 1 to 20 s. In computing these theoretical curves we have used Eq. (2.13) to calculate the fraction of polymers with restored end bond connections to the underlying substrate (for example, mineralized collagen fibrils in the case of glue connection) as a function of the delay time $t$. We assume that the total contour length $L_c$ of each polymer is uniformly and randomly distributed.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Force-stretch curves for $N_p = 200$ polymer chains stacked in parallel. The delay times are $t = 2 \text{ s}$ (blue), 5 s (red), 10 s (green), and 20 s (orange) from bottom to top, corresponding $N_p N^*_e = 8, 18, 28, \text{ and } 36$ polymer chains adhering to both pieces of substrate (collagen fibril) at the beginning [see Eq. (2.13) for an expression for $N^*_e$].
FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Peak force and (b) displacement at maximum force (blue, bottom curve) and maximum extension (red, top curve), as functions of delay time, for $N_p = 200$ polymers stacked in parallel, pulled at $v = 1000$ nm s$^{-1}$. Results are averaged over 100 runs and the error bars indicate one standard deviation.

FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Total energy dissipation over the entire course of stretching, as a function of delay time, for $N_p = 200$ polymers stacked in parallel, pulled at $v = 1000$ nm s$^{-1}$. Results are averaged over 100 runs and the error bars indicate one standard deviation. (b) Figure 2(c) from [4], reproduced here for comparison, shows the energy dissipation involved in the separation of bone fibrils in a buffer where calcium and sodium ions are present (red circles) and in a buffer where only sodium ions are present (blue squares). The authors there concluded that calcium ions lead to enhanced bond strength; within our choice of parameters, our theoretical prediction for the energy dissipation qualitatively matches that of [4] in the presence of calcium ions.

distributed between 50 and 150 nm. We use Eq. (2.5) to compute the number of internal sacrificial bonds that form as a function of the delay time and assign random hidden lengths to each of these sacrificial bonds as before. As before, we have chosen $\alpha_0 = 0.3$ s$^{-1}$, $\beta_0 = 0.003$ s$^{-1}$, and $\alpha_e = 0.1$ s$^{-1}$. To account for the relatively slow recovery of ruptured polymer chains as a function of the delay time, as seen in [4], we choose $\beta_e = 0.025$ s$^{-1}$. These sample pulling curves indicate that the extension at maximum force and the maximum extension at a given pulling velocity are independent of the delay time.

Also, the force peaks level off for large delay times. This follows from Eq. (2.13), which shows that the fraction of polymers with restored end bond connections approaches the asymptotic limit $\beta_e/(\alpha_e + \beta_e)$ as the delay time $t$ becomes large; only those polymers with restored end bonds carry the pulling force and contribute to energy dissipation. In the limit $\alpha_e \ll \beta_e$, all end bonds are restored for large delay times between successive pulling experiments. Our present choice of parameters, however, stipulates that at most one-eighth of all glue strands are attached to bone fibrils at both ends.

To verify these claims, Fig. 6 shows the peak force as a function of delay time $t$ between pulls, for $N_p = 200$ parallel polymers pulled at a velocity $v = 1000$ nm s$^{-1}$, averaged over 100 runs. The peak force increases in proportion to the number of polymers that possess restored end connections and therefore transmit the force, given by the largest integer not exceeding $N_p N_e^* = N_p \beta_e/(\alpha_e + \beta_e) (1 - e^{-(\alpha_e + \beta_e)t})$. Figure 6 shows the displacement at maximum stretching force, as well as the maximum stretch, as a function of delay time between pulls. Both quantities are roughly independent of the delay time, except that the displacement at maximum stretching force shows a slight decrease for small delay times. This can be traced to the fact that the small number of internal sacrificial bonds that restore for small delays times leads to fewer cusps in the force-extension curve of each polymer chain and reduces the extra energy dissipation brought by these sacrificial structures (see below), thereby delaying the occurrence of the maximum force.

Figure 7 shows the total energy dissipation, a measure of the toughness of the glue connection between the two pieces of underlying material, as a function of the delay time $t$ between pulls. For small delay times, the marked growth in the number of restored end bond connections, the number of restored internal sacrificial bonds, and the increase in energy dissipation as a function of the number of internal sacrificial bonds (see Fig. 4) all contribute to the fast increase of total energy dissipation.
energy dissipation as a function of the delay time. For large delay times, however, these growths slow down gradually, so the increase in energy dissipation flattens out. A comparison to Fig. 7, which shows the experimental measurements for the energy dissipation involved in the separation of two bone fibrils [4], indicates that our theoretical prediction qualitatively matches the experimental observations of bone fibrils in a buffer of calcium and sodium ions. The result for a sodium buffer corresponds to different choices for the rate parameters such as $k_f$, $k_p$, $a_0$, and $b_0$.

V. A CONSTITUTIVE LAW FOR FORCE AS A FUNCTION OF DISTANCE

In multiscale simulations of bone fracture it is necessary to incorporate a constitutive law for the separation of collagen fibrils under tensile stress. More specifically, in realistic situations where hundreds of glue strands are present between each pair of collagen fibrils, we need, in a mean-field sense (i.e., smoothing out all abrupt force drops due to bond breakage or detachment), a force law $F(x,v,t)$ for the force on the polymeric system under stretch.

To this end, Fig. 8 shows sample force-extension curves of $N_p = 200$ polymer chains stacked in parallel, normalized by the number of chains that are attached at both ends at the beginning, ignoring all interactions between them, for delay times ranging between 1 and 10 s. In computing these theoretical curves we have averaged over 100 pulling experiments and divided the total force $F(x,v,t)$ by the total number of polymer chains $N_pN^*_p$ that are attached to the collagen fibrils at both ends at the beginning, where $N^*_p = (\beta_x/\alpha_x + \beta_y)(1 - e^{-\alpha_x + \beta_y})$, as given by Eq. (2.13). As in Fig. 5, the total contour length $L_c$ of each polymer is uniformly distributed between 50 and 150 nm. Importantly, for each pulling velocity $v$, the curves for different delay times $t$ collapse together. This implies that in the limit of long delay times $t$, the total force in separating two pieces of collagen fibril is given as a function of distance $x$ and separation velocity $v$ by

$$F(x,v,t) = N_pN^*_p f(x,v).$$

The delay time dependence comes in only through the fraction $N^*_p(t)$ of polymer chains attached to the bone fibrils at the beginning. The average force on each of these polymer chains $f(x,v)$ is independent of the delay time $t$ or the number of polymer chains; it can be approximated by separate power law fits to the increasing (strengthening) and decreasing (weakening) portions of the curves:

$$f(x,v) = \begin{cases} f_p(v)\left(\frac{x}{x_p(v)}\right)^{\nu_1} & \text{for } x \leq x_p(v) \\ f_p(v)\left(\frac{x}{x_p(v)}\right)^{\nu_2} & \text{for } x_p(v) < x < x_c(v) \\ 0 & \text{for } x \geq x_c(v). \end{cases}$$

Here $f_p(v)$, $x_p(v)$, and $x_c(v)$ are the velocity-dependent peak force, end-to-end distance at peak force, and maximum pulling distance, respectively. We find that $s_1 \approx 1.35$ and $s_2 \approx 0.65$ and, in the case $v = 1000$ nm s$^{-1}$ shown in Fig. 8, that $f_p \approx 0.094$ nN, $x_p \approx 43.5$ nm, and $x_c \approx 115$ nm. Figure 9 shows how this function fits sample force-displacement profiles.

The quantity $x_p(v)$ marks the transition from a strengthening to a weakening behavior, associated with the gradual detachment of polymer chains. To check this assertion, the solid curves in Fig. 10 show the evolution of the fraction of initially intact glue strands that remain attached to the collagen fibrils at both ends (i.e., with end bonds being intact), as a function of the displacement $x$, at the same pulling velocity $v = 1000$ nm s$^{-1}$. One sees immediately that the onset of polymer chain detachment coincides with the transition to weakening behavior at $x_p$. In addition, under our assumption of a uniform distribution of polymer chain lengths, the number of polymer chains that have yet to rupture decreases linearly with separation distance in the weakening regime. In
FIG. 10. (Color online) Microscopic mechanisms for macroscopic force laws. The colored curves represent the fraction of sacrificial (dashed) and end (solid) bonds that remain intact as a function of pulling distance. The pulling velocity is \( v = 1000 \text{ nm s}^{-1} \) and the delay times are \( t = 2 \text{ s} \) (blue), \( 5 \text{ s} \) (red), \( 10 \text{ s} \) (green), and \( 20 \text{ s} \) (orange), in decreasing order of jaggedness for the solid curves and from top to bottom for the dotted curves. The black dot-dashed curve represents \( f(x,v) \) rescaled by its peak value \( f_p \); it shows that the onset of polymer chain detachment coincides with the transition to weakening behavior after reaching the maximum force \( f_p \). The result is averaged over \( N_p = 200 \) polymer strands in parallel.

In contrast, the dashed curves show that breakage of sacrificial bonds within individual polymer chains occurs continually in both the strengthening and weakening regimes. Figure 10 thus demonstrates how microscopic physics (bond breakage), characterized by the state variables \( N_b \) and \( N_e \), accounts for macroscopic behavior (displacement strengthening and subsequent weakening) in biological structures that contain sacrificial bonds and hidden length. In the context of dynamic fracture, the strengthening regime corresponds to crack arrest and the weakening regime corresponds to crack propagation and catastrophic failure.

To extract the velocity dependence of the quantities \( f_p(v) \), \( x_p(v) \), and \( x_c(v) \) explicitly, we plot these quantities in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b). They can be fit with the empirical forms

\[
f_p(v) = \frac{f_1}{v_0} \ln \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right) + f_0, \quad (5.3)
\]

\[
x_p(v) = \frac{p_1}{v_0} \ln \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right) + p_0, \quad (5.4)
\]

\[
x_c(v) = c_2 \left[ \ln \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right)^2 \right] + c_1 \ln \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right) + c_0. \quad (5.5)
\]

Within our choice of system parameters, we find \( v_0 = 100 \text{ nm s}^{-1} \), \( f_0 = 0.064 \text{ nN} \), \( f_1 = 0.013 \text{ nN} \), \( p_0 = 41 \text{ nm} \), \( p_1 = 1.3 \text{ nm} \), \( c_0 = 104 \text{ nm} \), \( c_1 = 6.3 \text{ nm} \), and \( c_2 = -0.57 \text{ nm} \).

We have thus shown that in the limit of long delay times \( t \), the total force \( F \) on the ensemble of polymers can be factored into the product of the number \( N_p N_e(t) \) of polymer chains that are intact at the beginning of the pulling experiment, times the average force \( f(x,v) \) per polymer chain. Both the strengthening and weakening regimes—the latter being associated with the rupture of polymer chains and their detachment from the substrate—can be described by power laws characterized by the velocity-dependent peak force \( f_p(v) \), the displacement at peak force \( x_p(v) \), and the maximum pulling distance \( x_c(v) \). Among these, the peak force \( f_p \) varies linearly with the logarithm of the pulling velocity \( v \), in conformity with experiments. This constitutive approach enables us to describe the mean-field dynamics of sacrificial bond breakage and hidden length release using several adjustable parameters, without having to account for the random breakage of individual bonds in detail.

**VI. METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

In this section we make some remarks on the idealizations employed in our theoretical model and how they compare with other more sophisticated models.

**Applicability of the two-state model.** The two-state model that we employ in this paper assumes that the breakage and formation rates of sacrificial bonds are Boltzmann-like functions of the product of the respective distances of the transition state from the initial states and the force on the molecule. It has been applied to a variety of situations in which the unfolding of proteins follows a two-state pathway [17,20]. As we have already seen, the present two-state model is adequate to capture the most important features of the dynamics of sacrificial bonds and hidden length under a
loading force, at intermediate pulling velocities using atomic force microscopy spectroscopy, typical of most experiments.

In addition to the two-state model above, other more sophisticated models based on Kramer’s theory [21–25] and Langevin dynamics [26] have since been proposed to describe protein folding trajectories. These models propose that the folding and unfolding pathways are different and predict various kinds of nonlinear behavior of the rupture force as a function of the loading or pulling rate, not seen in experiments on sacrificial bonds at typical pulling rates. We have opted not to adopt these models for our present purposes for the following reason. The dynamics of protein folding involves the search for a native conformation. There is no analog of such a process in the formation of sacrificial bonds; compared with protein folding pathways, the bond breakage and formation pathways are more symmetric and there is stochasticity in where sacrificial bonds are formed along the molecule. The differences between the bond breakage and formation pathways in our case are already captured by the different fundamental rates and distances from the transition state in Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) for bond breakage and formation events.

Stiff-spring approximation. By using Eq. (2.1) for the force in Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4), we are implicitly assuming a stiff probe. If the probe has a spring constant $k$, the energy term $F\Delta x_f$ in Eq. (2.3) for the bond breakage rate, for example, should be replaced by $F\Delta x_f - k(\Delta x_f)^2/2$ [22,25] and this necessarily leads to nonlinear force spectra. The dynamics of sacrificial bonds when the probe is not stiff is beyond the scope of the present paper. Nevertheless, the stiff-spring approximation does not alter the key features of the force-extension curves seen in experiments [1–5]. Moreover, in the intermediate velocity regime, typical of most laboratory experiments, the more sophisticated models such as that in [22] do recover a logarithmic dependence of the force spectra on the pulling rate, seen in [16] and predicted here.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have developed a simple quasi-one-dimensional kinetic model, based on Bell’s theory, that describes the breakage of sacrificial bonds and release of hidden length in biological structures such as the linkage between collagen fibrils in animal bone. The kinetic model draws ideas from theories of protein unfolding, a process that also involves the forced rupture of noncovalent bonds and the exposure of folded structure. It tracks the evolution of the number of sacrificial bonds $N_s$ and the number of chains $N_c$ that adhere to the substrate—the only two molecular-state-dependent variables in the theory—with the pulling distance $x$ and the total force $F$ on the polymer network, according to a velocity-dependent criterion that determines the times or displacements at which bond breakage occurs. The force is entropic in nature, given by the wormlike-chain model as a function of the pulling distance $x$ and the amount of available contour length $L$, the latter of which is computed in terms of the number of remaining sacrificial bonds $N_s$.

We have shown that sacrificial bonds and hidden length lead to a marked increase in fracture toughness in materials where they are present and that both the fracture toughness and maximum displacement before complete rupture in a pulling experiment increase with the pulling velocity $v$, which drives the system away from equilibrium. In particular, the peak force $f_p$ in the force-displacement profile varies linearly with the logarithm of the pulling velocity $v$, in conformity with various mechanical experiments on biological molecules such as those described in [16]. In addition, our kinetic model naturally incorporates self-healing, evidenced by the increase in the number of attached polymer chains, rupture peak height, and total fracture toughness with recovery time. Our simple quasi-one-dimensional model, however, does not explicitly account for the effect of cross-links and entanglements in a network of glue strands. The extent to which a detailed representation of these additional microscopic mechanisms will impact the macroscopic behavior is beyond the scope of the present paper.

Based on our theoretical calculations we have proposed a phenomenological description for the force-displacement profile of a collection of polymer chains with a distribution of lengths. The force-displacement profile consists of a strengthening regime for small displacements, where the force increases with the displacement according to a power law. This is followed by a weakening regime associated with the gradual detachment of polymer chains that no longer contribute to force transmission. Such a constitutive description will be of utmost utility in future multiscale simulations of bone fracture. The dynamical behavior of glue connection between collagen fibrils has important implications on crack propagation, crack arrest, strength recuperation, and collagenous diseases in bone.

The relationship between macroscopic forces and microscopic features such as bond breakage in the present study is reminiscent of the relationship between empirical rate-and-state friction laws and the underlying plastic deformation in tribology [27,28]. Our force law for the average behavior of multiple parallel connections, Eq. (5.2), has apparent simplicity. One might thus be tempted to ask if this empirical force law and the size of rupture forces can be deduced analytically from microscopic physics alone. However, the single-chain force-displacement relationship represented in Eq. (2.1), upon which our derivation is based, does not readily simplify for that purpose.

There are a number of prior studies on the rupture of multiple connections in parallel between substrates. Those studies sought analytical methods to predict the rupture forces. For example, Seifert [29] modeled the polymers as linear Hookean springs and computed the rupture time and force as functions of the pulling velocity $v$. Friddle et al. [25] and Williams [30] investigated the force spectra of multiple identical connections under a constant loading rate $r \equiv dF/dt$. Analytical or semianalytical solutions for rupture forces are possible in those cases because those studies assume that no additional dissipative mechanisms, such as the breakage of sacrificial bonds and the release of hidden length that lower the entropy, are present and that all connections are identical. These simplifying assumptions are evidently not obeyed by glue molecules between collagen fibrils. Moreover, [29] was able to provide an analytical solution because of the simple linear force law involved, as opposed to the wormlike-chain model that we have employed, which is more physical and highly nonlinear. Analytical expressions for the rupture forces...
were also possible in \cite{25,30} because of the constant loading rate assumption; tracking the increase in separation $x$ via the force law $F(x)$ would not be necessary in those cases. The inherent complexity and randomness of our system should be contrasted with those simpler models. Instead of following those simpler models, we focused in this work on the microscopic features, namely, sacrificial bonds and hidden length, which constitute the starting point of our model. In this context, we have chosen to examine the constitutive behavior by numerically averaging over a large ensemble. The fact that the ensemble average results in a relatively simple constitutive law, Eq. (5.2), suggests that perhaps a lower resolution microscopic description may lead to a similar functional description at the macroscopic level, which is a subject left to future investigation.
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