

Sufficient Statistics

When learning MLE, we find that usually the likelihood function (i.e., the joint pdf/pmf) can be factorized into two parts

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = K_1[u(x_1, \dots, x_n); \theta] \cdot K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $K_2(\cdot)$ does not depend on θ , and $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a summary statistic (e.g., sample mean/variance) of the data. We call $u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ a **sufficient statistic** for θ .

An equivalent definition is that if

$$\frac{p(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{p(u(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \theta)} \quad (1)$$

does not depend on θ then $u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , where $p(u | \theta)$ denotes the pdf/pmf of $u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. That is, $u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ has exhausted all the relevant information about the unknown parameter θ contained in this random sample.

Go through examples in `SuffStatans.pdf`.

Sufficient statistics are not unique, and not necessarily of the same dimension.

- if $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a sufficient statistic, then any one-to-one transformation of u , say $v(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ would also be a sufficient statistic. For example, if $\sum_i X_i$ is sufficient, so are \bar{X} and $1/\bar{X}$.
- $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$, an ordered version of the sample (X_1, \dots, X_n) , is a sufficient statistic, which doesn't make that much of data reduction, since it's of the same dimension as the original data.
- If \bar{X} is sufficient, so is (\bar{X}, X_1) : if \bar{X} has extracted all the relevant information in the sample related to θ , (\bar{X}, X_1) wouldn't contain any less, so intuitively it must be sufficient too.

Minimal Sufficient Statistics

From the discussion above, we see the need of getting the most compact sufficient statistic. A statistic $u^*(X_{1:n})$ is **minimal sufficient** if

1. it is sufficient and
2. for any other sufficient statistic $u(X_{1:n})$, $u^*(X_{1:n})$ is a function of $u(X_{1:n})$.

In other words, a minimal sufficient statistic achieves the maximal data reduction without losing any information about θ .

Minimal sufficient statistic isn't unique since any one-to-one transformation of u^* would still be minimal sufficient.

In most examples in `SuffStatans.pdf`, the derived sufficient statistics are minimal sufficient, which are often of the same dimension as the unknown parameters. For example, in Example 4, for $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where both parameters are unknown, the derived sufficient statistic is of two-dimension: the sample mean and sample variance. But there are some exceptions:

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid $\sim \text{DE}(\theta)$ where “DE” stands for Double Exponential. The corresponding pdf is given by

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x-\theta|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \frac{1}{2^n} \exp \left\{ - \sum_i |x_i - \theta| \right\},$$

which cannot be further simplified, so the minimal sufficient statistic is just the data (x_1, \dots, x_n) (or its ordered version) itself.

- X_1, \dots, X_n iid $\sim \text{Unif}(\theta, \theta + 1)$. In class we have shown that $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . In fact, no more reduction we can apply on the data, that is, $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is minimal sufficient. Here the minimal statistic is not of the same dimension as the unknown parameter θ .

Define $R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$ and $M = (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})/2$. Then (R, M) should also be a minimal sufficient statistic for θ (since the transformation is one-to-one).

Note that

$$R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} = (X_{(n)} - \theta) - (X_{(1)} - \theta) = Z_{(n)} - Z_{(1)},$$

where $Z_{(n)}$ and $Z_{(1)}$ are the max and min for random samples Z_1, \dots, Z_n iid $\sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$. That is, the distribution of R does not depend on θ .

Naturally, one would ask whether we could drop R out of the minimal sufficient statistic and only keep M since the distribution of R has nothing to do with θ .

In this case, although R alone does not provide any information about θ , it does when being combined with other statistics: if R is very large, say, close to 1, then we would know that $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)} - 1$ are very close θ , so R provides information on the precision of the estimate of θ .