

Abbott, A. (2001). *Time matters: On theory and methods*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Abbott, A. (1990). Conceptions of time and events in social science methods: Causal and narrative approaches. *Historical Methods*, 23(4), 140–150.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01615440.1990.10594204>

Abramson, C. M., & Gong, N. (2020). *Beyond the case: The logics and practices of comparative ethnography*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Adelman, C., Jenkins, D., & Kemmis, S. (1976). Re-thinking case study: Notes from the second Cambridge Conference. *Cambridge Journal Of Education ISSN:*, 6(3), 139–150.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764760060306>

Ananny, M., & Crawford, K. (2018). Seeing without knowing: Limitations of the transparency ideal and its application to algorithmic accountability. *New Media and Society*, 20(3), 973–989. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816676645>

Anderson, R. A., Crabtree, B. F., Steele, D. J., & McDaniel, R. R. (2005). Case study research: The view from complexity science. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(5), 669–685.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732305275208>

Bakhshi, S., Shamma, D. A., & Gilbert, E. (2014). Faces engage us: Photos with faces attract more likes and comments on instagram. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*, 965–974. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557403>

Bandy, J., & Diakopoulos, N. (2019). Auditing News Curation Systems: A Case Study Examining Algorithmic and Editorial Logic in Apple News, (Icwsn). Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.00456>

Bartlett, L., & Vavrus, F. (2017). *Rethinking Case Study Research: A Comparative Approach*. New York: Routledge.

Bassey, M. (1999). *Case study research in educational settings*. Philadelphia: Open University Press.

Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The Qualitative Report*, 13(2), 544–559.

Baym, N. K. (2009). A call for grounding in the face of blurred boundaries. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 14(3), 720–723. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2009.01461.x>

Becker, H. S. (2009). How to do qualitative research. *International Journal of Communication*, 3, 545–553. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849209663.n9>

Case study bibliography—August 2021 version

- Benbasat, I., Goldstein, D. K., & Mead, M. (1987). The case research strategy in studies of information systems. *MIS Quarterly*, *11*(3), 369–386.
- Bennett, A., & Elman, C. (2007). Case study methods in the International Relations subfield. *Comparative Political Studies*, *40*(2), 170–195. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446286425>
- Blatter, J., & Haverland, M. (2012). *Designing case studies: Explanatory approaches in small-N research*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Blodgett, S. L., Barocas, S., Daumé, H., & Wallach, H. (2020). Language (Technology) is Power: A Critical Survey of “Bias” in NLP, (c). Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14050>
- Bolter, J. D., & Grusin, R. (2000). *Remediation: Understanding New Media*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- boyd, danah. (2009). A response to Christine Hine’s “defining project boundaries.” In A. N. Markham & Nancy Baym (Eds.), *internet inquiry: Conversations About Method* (pp. 26–32). Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
- Bromley, D. B. (1986). *The case-study method in psychology and related disciplines*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bruns, A. (2019). After the ‘APicalypse’: social media platforms and their fight against critical scholarly research. *Information Communication and Society*, *22*(11), 1544–1566. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1637447>
- Burawoy, M. (1998). The extended case method. *Sociological Theory*, *16*(1), 4–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0735-2751.00040>
- Burian, R. M. (2001). The dilemma of case studies resolved: The virtues of using case studies in the history and philosophy of science. *Perspectives on Science*, *9*(4), 383–404. <https://doi.org/10.1162/106361401760375794>
- Burke, A. (2019). Occluded algorithms. *Big Data & Society*, *6*(2), 205395171985874. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951719858743>
- Caporael, L. R. (1986). Anthropomorphism and mechanomorphism: Two faces of the human machine. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *2*(3), 215–234. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0747-5632\(86\)90004-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0747-5632(86)90004-X)
- Chaney, A. J. B., Stewart, B. M., & Engelhardt, B. E. (2018). How algorithmic confounding in recommendation systems increases homogeneity and decreases utility, 224–232. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3240323.3240370>

- Chitra, U., & Musco, C. (2020). Analyzing the impact of filter bubbles on social network polarization. *WSDM 2020 - Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, 115–123. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3336191.3371825>
- Crosswhite, J. (2010). Universalities. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 43(4), 430–448.
- Crowe, S., Cresswell, K., Robertson, A., Huby, G., Avery, A., & Sheikh, A. (2011). The case study approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 11(100), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/108056999305600409>
- Datta, L. (1994). Paradigm wars: A basis for peaceful coexistence and beyond. In C. S. Reichardt & S. F. Rallis (Eds.), *The Qualitative-Quantitative Debate: New Perspectives* (pp. 53–70). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1668>
- Denzin, N. K. (2004). Online environments and interpretive social research. In M. D. Johns, S.-L. S. Chen, & G. J. Hall (Eds.), *Online Social Research: Methods, Issues, and Ethic* (pp. 1–14). New York: Peter Lang.
- Dion, D. (1998). Evidence and inference in the comparative case study. *Comparative Politics*, 30(2), 127–145.
- Duff, P. (2008). *Case study research in applied linguistics*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Dyson, A. H., & Genishi, C. (2005). *On the case: Approaches to language and literacy research*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case studies. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550.
- Ellram, L. M. (1996). The use of the case study method in logistics research. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 17(2), 93–138.
- Ess, C. (2009). *Digital media ethics*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2011). Case Study. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (4th ed., pp. 301–316). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), 219–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800405284363>
- Flyvbjerg, B., & Ruddin, L. P. (2006). You Can Generalize Stupid! Social Scientists, Bent Flyvbjerg, and Case Study Methodology. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(4), 797–812.
- Foreman, P. B. (1948). The theory of case studies. *Social Forces*, 26(4), 408–419.

Case study bibliography—August 2021 version

- Gallagher, J. R. (2014). *Interactive Audience and the Internet*. University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Retrieved from https://scholarworks.umass.edu/dissertations_2/190/
- Ge, Y., Zhao, S., Zhou, H., Pei, C., Sun, F., Ou, W., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Understanding Echo Chambers in E-commerce Recommender Systems, 2261–2270. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3397271.3401431>
- Geddes, B. (1990). How the cases you choose affect the answers you get: Selection bias in comparative politics. *Political Analysis*, 2, 131–150.
- Geisler, C., & Swarts, J. (2019). *Coding streams of language*. Boulder: University of Colorado Press.
- Gerring, J. (2004). What is a case study and what is it good for? *American Political Science Review*, 98(2), 341–354. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055404001182>
- Gerring, J. (2017). *Case study research: Principles and practices* (2nd ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Gilbert, E., & Karahalios, K. (2009). Predicting Tie Strength With Social Media. In *CHI 2009* (pp. 2–10).
- Gillespie, T., Boczkowski, P. J., & Foot, K. A. (2014). *Media Technologies: Essays on Communication, Materiality, and Society*. Cambridge: MIT Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6425\(75\)90005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6425(75)90005-5)
- Goertz, G., & Mahoney, J. (2012). *A tale of two cultures: Qualitative and quantitative research in the social sciences*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gomm, R., Hammersley, M., Foster, P., Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (2011). The Only Generalization Is: There Is No Generalization. *Case Study Method*, 27–44. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857024367.d6>
- Gräve, J.-F. (2019). What KPIs Are Key? Evaluating Performance Metrics for Social Media Influencers. *Social Media + Society*, 5(3), 205630511986547. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305119865475>
- Greenhalgh, T. (2015). Twice-told tales? How public inquiry could inform n of 1 case study research. In J. Russell, T. Greenhalgh, & S. Kushner (Eds.), *Case study evaluation: Past, present and future challenges* (pp. 181–206). Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Gries, L. (2015). *Still life with rhetoric: A new materialist approach for visual rhetorics*. Logan: Utah State University Press.
- Grusin, R. (2015). Radical Mediation. *Critical Inquiry*, 42(1), 124–148.

Case study bibliography—August 2021 version

- Guzman, A. L., & Lewis, S. C. (2019). Artificial intelligence and communication: A Human–Machine Communication research agenda: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819858691>.
- Haas, A. M. (2007). Wampum as hypertext: An American Indian intellectual tradition of multimedia theory and practice. *Studies in American Indian Literatures*, 19(4), 77–100. <https://doi.org/10.1353/ail.2008.0005>
- Hamel, J., Dufour, S., & Fortin, D. (1993). *Case study methods*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Harding, S. (1987). Introduction: Is there a feminist methodology? In S. Harding (Ed.), *Feminism and Methodology: Social Science Issues* (pp. 1–14). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Hayles, N. K. (2012). *How We Think: Digital Media and Contemporary Technologies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Hedrick, T. E. (1994). The quantitative-qualitative debate: Possibilities for integration. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 1994(61), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1667>
- Helmond, A. (2015). The Platformization of the Web : Making Web Data Platform Ready. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115603080>
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). Weird People. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 1–75.
- Hine, C. (2009). How can qualitative internet researchers define the boundaries of their projects. In A. N. Markham & N. K. Baym (Eds.), *internet inquiry: Conversations About Method* (pp. 1–20). Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
- House, E. R. (1994). Integrating the quantitative and qualitative. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 1994(61), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1664>
- James, P. (1981). The study of educational policy making: A critique of the case study method. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 9(3), 80–89.
- Jaton, F. (2017). We get the algorithms of our ground truths: Designing referential databases in digital image processing. *Social Studies of Science*, 47(6), 811–840. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312717730428>
- Jensen, J. L., & Rodgers, R. (2001). Cumulating the intellectual gold of case study research. *Public Administration Review*, 61(2), 235–246.
- Jocher, K. (1928). The case method in social research. *Social Forces*, 7(2), 203–211. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2570141>

- Johansson, R. (2003). Case study methodology. *Conference on Methodologies in Housing Research*. Stockholm. Retrieved from [papers3://publication/uuid/290D3D64-0DE1-421D-9AE1-8FB97AE3D25E](https://publication.uuid/290D3D64-0DE1-421D-9AE1-8FB97AE3D25E)
- Joinson, A. N. (2006). Internet behaviour and the design of virtual methods. In C. Hine (Ed.), *Virtual methods: Issues in social research on the internet* (pp. 21–34). New York: Berg.
- Kamberelis, G., & Dimitriadis, G. (2005). *Qualitative inquiry: Approaches to language and literacy research*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Karlsson, M., & Sjøvaag, H. (2016). Introduction. *Digital Journalism*, 4(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2015.1096595>
- Kearns, M., & Roth, A. (2019). *The ethical algorithm: The science of socially aware algorithm design*. New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Kell, C. (2017). Tracing trajectories as units of analysis for the study of social processes: Addressing mobility and complexity in sociolinguistics. *Text and Talk*, 37(4), 531–551. <https://doi.org/10.1515/text-2017-0016>
- Kendall, L. (2009). A response to Christine Hine’s “defining project boundaries.” In A. N. Markham & N. Baym (Eds.), *internet inquiry: Conversations About Method* (pp. 21–25). Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
- Kennedy, M. M. (1979). Generalizing from single case studies. *Evaluation Quarterly*, 3(4), 661–678. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03266122>
- Kenny, W. R., & Grotelueschen, A. D. (1984). Making the case or case study. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 16(1), 37–51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0022027840160106>
- Khenissi, S., & Nasraoui, O. (2019). Modeling and Counteracting Exposure Bias in Recommender Systems. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.04832>
- Kleinman, S. (2004). Researching OURNET: A case study of a multiple methods approach. In M. D. Johns, S.-L. S. Chen, & G. J. Hall (Eds.), *Online Social Research: Methods, Issues, and Ethics* (pp. 47–62). New York: Peter Lang.
- Lam, W. S. E. (2000). L2 literacy and the design of the self: A case study of a teenager writing on the internet. *TESOL Quarterly*, 34(3), 457. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587739>
- Lillis, T. (2008). Ethnography as method, methodology, and “deep theorizing”: Closing the gap between text and context in academic writing research. *Written Communication*, 25(3), 353–388. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088308319229>

- Liu, L. T., Kalai, A. T., Wilson, A., Borgs, C., Haghtalab, N., & Chayes, J. (2020). The disparate equilibria of algorithmic decision making when individuals invest rationally. *FAT* 2020 - Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 381–391. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372861>
- Lohmeier, C. (2014). The researcher and the never-ending field: Reconsidering big data and digital ethnography. In M. Hand & S. Hillyard (Eds.), *Big data? Qualitative approaches to digital research* (pp. 75–89). Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Luc, J. G. Y., Archer, M. A., Arora, R. C., Bender, E. M., Blitz, A., Cooke, D. T., ... Antonoff, M. B. (2020). Does Tweeting Improve Citations? One-Year Results from the TSSMN Prospective Randomized Trial. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2020.04.065>
- Lury, C., & Day, S. (2019). Algorithmic Personalization as a Mode of Individuation. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 36(2), 17–37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276418818888>
- Macdonald, B., & Walker, R. (1975). Case-study and the social philosophy of educational research. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 5(1), 2–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764750050101>
- Magalhães, J. C. (2018). Do Algorithms Shape Character? Considering Algorithmic Ethical Subjectivation. *Social Media and Society*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118768301>
- Mahieu, R., Jan, N., David, V. E., & Jeroen, V. P. (2018). From dignity to security protocols : a scientometric analysis of digital ethics. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 20(3), 175–187. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-018-9457-5>
- McKee, H., & Porter, J. E. (2009). *The ethics of internet research: A rhetorical, case-based process*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Merriam, S. B. (1985). The case study in educational research: A review of selected literature. *The Journal of Educational Thought*, 19(3), 204–217.
- Merriam, S. B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Miles, R. (2015). Complexity, representation and practice: Case study as method and methodology. *Issues in Educational Research*, 25(2), 309–319. Retrieved from <http://eds.a.ebscohost.com.proxy1.ncu.edu/eds/detail/detail?sid=7ac0d232-fc3a-40f7-b0f6-ac87afa6b8a6@sessionmgr4005&vid=11&hid=4213&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWRzLWxpdmU=#AN=109114389&db=ehh>
- Mitchell J. Clyde. (1983). Case and situation analysis. *The Sociological Review*, 31(2), 187–211.

- Moriarty, D., Núñez De Villavicencio, P., Black, L. A., Bustos, M., Cai, H., Mehlenbacher, B., & Mehlenbacher, A. R. (2019). Durable research, portable findings: Rhetorical methods in case study research. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 28(2), 124–136.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2019.1588376>
- Natale, S. (2016). There Are No Old Media. *Journal of Communication*, 66(4), 585–603.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12235>
- Nielsen, R. A. (2016). Case selection via matching. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 45(3), 569–597. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124114547054>
- Nissen, S. (1998). The case of case studies: On the methodological discussion in comparative political science. *Quality & Quantity*, 32(4), 399–418.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004337330700>
- Novak, J. (2017). Communication, Machines & Human Augmentics. *Communication+1*, 5(1), 1–35. Retrieved from papers3://publication/doi/10.7275/R5QR4V2D
- Orgad, S. (2005). From online to offline and back: Moving from online to offline relationship with research informants. In C. Hale (Ed.), *Virtual Methods: Issues in Social Research on the Internet* (pp. 51–65). New York: Berg.
- Packin, N. G., & Lev-Aretz, Y. (2018). Learning algorithms and discrimination. In W. Barfield & U. Pagallo (Eds.), *Research Handbook of Artificial Intelligence and Law* (pp. 88–113). Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Peters, B. (2016). Digital. In B. Peters (Ed.), *Digital Keywords* (pp. 93–108). Princeton: Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvct0023>
- Pickering, A. (1995). *The mangle of practice: Time, agency, and science*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Piekkari, R., Catherine, W., & Paavilainen, E. (2009). The case study as disciplinary convention. *Organizational Research Methods*, 12(5), 567–589.
- Platt, J. (1992). “Case study” in American methodological thought. *Current Sociology*, 40(1), 17–48.
- Purdy, J. P., & Walker, J. R. (2007). Digital breadcrumbs: Case studies of online research. *Kairos: A Journal of Rhetoric, Technology, and Pedagogy*, 11(2), n/a.
- Radley, A., & Chamberlain, K. (2012). The study of the case: Conceptualising case study. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 22, 390–399.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/casp>
- Raley, R. (2016). Algorithmic Translations. *CR: The New Centennial Review*, 16(1), 115–138.

- Reichardt, C. S., & Rallis, S. F. (1994). The relationship between the qualitative and quantitative research traditions. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 1994(61), 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1663>
- Reichardt, C. S., & Rallis, S. F. (1994). Qualitative and quantitative inquiries are not incompatible: A call for a new partnership. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 1994(61), 85–91. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1670>
- Rickly, R. (2007). Messy contexts: Research as a rhetorical situation. In D. N. DeVoss & H. McKee (Eds.), *Digital Writing Research: Technologies, Methodologies, and Ethical Issues* (pp. 377–397). Cresskill: Hampton Press, Inc.
- Roberts, S. T. (2016). Commercial content moderation: Digital laborers' dirty work. In *The intersectional internet: Race, sex, class and culture online* (pp. 147–160). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2>
- Rossi, P. H. (1994). The war between the quals and the quants: Is a lasting peace possible? *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 1994(61), 23–36. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1665>
- Sandvig, C., Hamilton, K., Karahalios, K., & Langbort, C. (2016). When the algorithm itself is a racist: Diagnosing ethical harm in the basic Components of Software. *International Journal of Communication*, 10(June), 4972–4990. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.110.3.866-a>
- Schramm, W. (1971). *Notes on case studies of instructional media projects*.
- Seaver, N. (2017). Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society*, 4(2), 205395171773810. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717738104>
- Seawright, J. (2016). The case for selecting cases that are deviant or extreme on the independent variable. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 45(3), 493–525. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124116643556>
- Seawright, J. (2008). Case selection techniques in a menu of qualitative and quantitative options. *Political Research Quarterly*, 61(2), 294–308.
- Shmargad, Y., & Klar, S. (2020). Sorting the News: How Ranking by Popularity Polarizes Our Politics. *Political Communication*, 37(3), 423–446. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2020.1713267>
- Simons, H. (2012). *Case study research in practice*. Case Study Research in Practice. Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446268322.n1>
- Smith, J. A., & Osborn, M. (2003). Interpretative phenomenological analysis. In J.A. Smith (Ed.), *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods* (pp. 51–80). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119975144.ch9>

- Smith, M. L. (1994). Qualitative plus/versus quantitative: The last word. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 1994(61), 37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1666>
- Stake, R. E. (2006). *Multiple Case Study Analysis*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Stall-Meadows, C., & Hyle, A. (2010). Procedural methodology for a grounded meta-analysis of qualitative case studies. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 34, 412–418. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2010.00882.x>
- Star, S. L., & Griesemer, J. R. (1989). Amateurs and Professionals in Berkeley 's Museum of Vertebrate Zoology , 1907-39. *Social Studies of Science*, 19(3), 387–420. Retrieved from http://www.lchc.ucsd.edu/MCA/Mail/xmcamail.2012_08.dir/pdfMrgHgZULhA.pdf
- Steele, C. K. (2016). The Digital Barbershop: Blogs and Online Oral Culture Within the African American Community. *Social Media and Society*, 2(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116683205>
- Stenhouse, L. (1980). The Study of Samples and the Study of Cases. *British Educational Research Journal*, 6(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0141192800060101>
- Stoecker, R. (1991). Evaluating and rethinking the case study. *Sociological Review*, 39(1), 88–112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.1991.tb02970.x>
- Stouffer, S. A. (1941). Notes on the case-study and the unique case. *Sociometry*, 4(4), 349–357.
- Sullivan, P., & James E. Porter. (1997). *Opening spaces: Writing technologies and critical research practices*. Greenwich: Praeger.
- Swanborn, P. (2010). *Case study research: What, why, and how?* Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1136/eb-2017-102845>
- Taylor, L. (2013). The Case as Space: Implications of Relational Thinking for Methodology and Method. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 19(10), 807–817. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800413503799>
- Tedlock, D. (1981). The case approach to composition. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(3), 253–261. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-9990-y>
- Tellis, W. (1997). Application of a case study methodology. *The Qualitative Report*, 3(3), 1–17. Retrieved from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR3-3/tellis2.html>
- Thagard, P. (1990). Philosophy and Machine Learning. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, 20(2), 261–276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00455091.1990.10717218>

- Thomas, G. (2010). Doing case study: Abduction not induction, phronesis not theory. *Qualitative Inquiry, 16*(7), 575–582. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800410372601>
- Thomas, G. (2011). A typology for the case study in social science following a review of definition, discourse, and structure. *Qualitative Inquiry, 17*(6), 511–521. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800411409884>
- Thomas, G., & Myers, K. (1982). *The anatomy of the case study* (Vol. 155). <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199230136.003.0002>
- Tibben, W. J. (2015). Theory building for ICT4D : Systemizing case study research using theory triangulation. *Information Technology for Development, 21*(4), 628–652.
- Tietje, O., & Scholz, R. W. (2002). *Embedded case study methods: Integrating quantitative and qualitative knowledge*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Tubaro, P., Casilli, A. A., & Coville, M. (2020). The trainer, the verifier, the imitator: Three ways in which human platform workers support artificial intelligence. *Big Data and Society, 7*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951720919776>
- VanWynsberghe, R., & Khan, S. (2016). Redefining Case Study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 6*(2), 80–94. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781473915480.n9>
- Verschuren, P. J. M. (2003). Case study as a research strategy: Some ambiguities and opportunities. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 6*(2), 121–139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645570110106154>
- Watts, M. (2007). They have tied me to a stake: Reflections on the art of case study research. *Qualitative Inquiry, 13*(2), 204–217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800406295628>
- Weltevrede, E. (2016). *Repurposing Digital Methods: The research affordances of platforms and engines*. University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam.
- Widdersheim, M. M. (2018). Historical case study: A research strategy for diachronic analysis. *Library and Information Science Research, 40*(2), 144–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2018.06.003>
- Wiley, N. (1988). The micro-macro problem in social theory. *Sociological Theory, 6*, 254–261.
- Wilson, S. (1979). Explorations of the usefulness of case study evaluations. *Evaluation Quarterly, 3*(3), 446–459. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193841X7900300307>
- Yin, R. K. (1981). The case study crisis: Some answers. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 26*, 58–65.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). Evaluation: A singular craft. *New Directions for Program Evaluation, (61)*.

Case study bibliography—August 2021 version

Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research: Design and methods* (4th ed.). Washington DC: Sage Publications.