

Researching the Experiences of Internationally Educated LIS Professionals in the North American Job Market

Implications for Education Quality Assessment

© Keren Dali, Ph.D. School of Library & Information Studies, University of Alberta

2016 IFLA Satellite Meeting Co-organized by the Section on Education and Training and Section on Library
Theory and Research, The Conference Center at OCLC

Q₁. To What End?

International Guidelines: To What End?

- Enabling international collaboration
 - Leveling the playing field among different countries in certain areas (e.g., technology; information literacy)
- *Determining the comparability and transferability of international LIS education
 - Developing a system for the recognition of international LIS credentials to help immigrant LIS professionals re-integrate into the labor market of the host country
 - Shifting from “quality assessment” to “increasing comparability and transferability” of education

Q₂. How?

- Dali, Keren and Juris Dilevko. “Smoothing the Transition: Retraining Centers in Canada for Immigrant Librarians from Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union.” *Slavic and East European Information Resources (SEEIR)* 8, no. 1 (2007): 77-102.
- Dali, Keren and Juris Dilevko. “The Evaluation of International Credentials and the Hiring of Internationally Trained Librarians in Canadian Academic and Public Libraries.” *International Information and Library Review (II&LR)* 41, no. 3 (2009): 146-62.
- Slides 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, & 18 are based on the above articles

The Survey of Canadian Library Managers

- Gain insight into how Canadian academic and public libraries deal with two interlinked issues:
 - recognizing international LIS credentials and
 - hiring internationally educated librarians
- 41 academic & public libraries in Canada invited to participate
 - 18 responses (43.9% response rate)

Table 3. Canadian Library Managers' Evaluation of the Degree of Fit between LIS graduate-level Education Offered in Selected Countries and LIS Standards (Q14, N=18)

Table 3 Canadian library managers' evaluation of the degree of fit between LIS graduate-level education offered in selected countries and ALA standards (Q14, Appendix A; N = 18).

Country	Very close to ALA standards (%)	Moderately close to ALA standards (%)	Very much below ALA standards (%)	Cannot judge (%)
China, Taiwan	—	2 (11.1%)	1 (5.6%)	15 (83.3%)
India	1 (5.6%)	—	3 (16.7%)	14 (77.8%)
Pakistan	—	—	2 (11.1%)	16 (88.9%)
France	2 (11.1%)	1 (5.6%)	—	15 (83.3%)
Israel	3 (16.7%)	—	—	15 (83.3%)
Ukraine	—	1 (5.6%)	1 (5.6%)	16 (88.9%)
Hong Kong (Hong Kong SAR)	1 (5.6%)	2 (11.1%)	—	15 (83.3%)
United Kingdom	11 (61.1%)	—	—	7 (38.9%)
Romania	—	—	1 (5.6%)	17 (94.4%)
Russia	—	1 (5.6%)	1 (5.6%)	16 (88.9%)
Philippines	—	—	—	18 (100%)
Iran	—	1 (5.6%)	1 (5.6%)	16 (88.9%)

Answers such as "no knowledge" or "no answer" were included in the category of "Cannot judge". Some percentages do not add to 100% due to rounding.

What can be learned?

There is a need for a comprehensive, easily updatable, and current database about LIS education and credentials around the world

Table 4. Canadian Library Managers' Evaluation of the Competencies of Internationally Trained Librarians (Q16, N=16)

Competency description	Rating level 1 and 2 = "very few / few gaps" = "easy to bridge"	Rating level 3 = "some gaps" = "possible to bridge"	Rating levels 4 and 5 = "numerous gaps" = "difficult to bridge"
I. Philosophical foundation of the LIS profession (values, attitudes, etc.)	10 (62.5%)	5 (31.3%)`	1 (6.3%)
II. Subject-specific knowledge (disciplinary)	12 (75%)	4 (25%)	
III. General knowledge (e.g., world history, literature)	10 (62.5%)	6(37.5%)	—
IV. Library-specific skills (e.g., searching, reference interviewing)	8 (50%)	6 (37.5%)	2 (12.5%)
V. General skills (analytical, critical thinking, creativity)	12 (75%)	3 (18.8%)	1 (6.3%)

Table 4. Canadian Library Managers' Evaluation of the Competencies of Internationally Trained Librarians (Q16, N=16)

Competency description	Rating level 1 and 2 = "very few / few gaps" = "easy to bridge"	Rating level 3 = "some gaps" = "possible to bridge"	Rating levels 4 and 5 = "numerous gaps" = "difficult to bridge"
VI. LIS-specific knowledge (e.g., knowledge of Canadian library system)	4 (25%)	8 (50%)	4 (25%)
VII. Understanding Canadian library culture	2 (12.5%)	6 (37.5%)	8 (50%)
VIII. Official language mastery	4 (25%)	7 (43.8%)	5 (31.3%)
IX. Canada-specific knowledge (e.g., Canadian history, culture)	1 (6.3%)	6 (37.5%)	9 (56.3%)
Total	63 (43.8%)	51 (35.4%)	30 (20.8%)

What can be learned?

Major areas of education and practice in which the comparability and transferability of education is expected to be low and will require 'bridging'

Curriculum Building Blocks

1. Missions, values, codes of ethics, professional attitudes
2. Presence or absence of formal accreditation processes and the nature of these processes
3. General education/knowledge (history, social sciences, literature)
4. World languages and communication skills
5. Subject-specific knowledge (e.g., psychology, biology, engineering, art history)
6. Technology skills
7. LIS-specific knowledge (granular subdivision by areas of knowledge and practice required)
8. Country-specific contextual knowledge (in the case of practicing outside of the country wherein education has been acquired)

Design and Approaches

- **Regional approach**
 - Focusing on a large group of professionals from a specific region that has similar systems of higher education (e.g., Russia; China)
- **Personalized approach**
 - Incorporating individual study plans into bridging education

Delivery and Funding

- **Modes of delivery**
 - In-person vs. online; full-time vs. part-time; one geographic location vs. multiple locations
- **Funding**
 - Ideally – by government; no tuition fees OR low tuition + options for financial aid
 - Example: “[Bridging Project for Internationally Educated Teachers](#)”, Faculty of Education, U of Alberta, Canada
 - “Tuition and fees (up to a pre-determined maximum amount) for the required Bridging Project and teacher certification courses will be covered through a grant to the Faculty of Education from the government of Alberta (Alberta Education). See Appendix B for potential sources of additional funding for individuals.”

What can be learned?

- Modes of delivery
- Sources of funding
- Areas to focus on
- Gaps and strengths

Partners

1. IFLA
2. National & Provincial/State Associations
3. LIS departments
4. Independent Credentials Evaluation Organizations (e.g., [World Education Services](#))
5. Information Services The Canadian Information Centre for International Credentials ([CICIC](#))

Thank you.

Questions?