

Contents

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	page x
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
1 A Constitutional Perspective on House Organization	1
2 Constitutional Actors and Intraparty Groups	8
<i>Constitutional Actors</i>	8
<i>Intraparty Groups</i>	10
Identifying Intraparty Groups	13
Majority Intraparty Groups within the Democratic and Republican Parties	17
<i>Conclusion</i>	36
3 A Constitutional Theory of Legislative Organization	37
<i>Theories and Models of Lawmaking in the United States</i>	37
<i>The Model: Explaining Changes in House Organization</i>	40
The Power-Sharing Game	42
The Legislative Game	44
<i>Equilibrium Properties</i>	47
<i>Conclusion</i>	51
4 Timing of House Organizational Changes	53
<i>Timing of Rule Changes: Current Perspectives</i>	53
<i>Constitutional Actors and the Timing of Rule Changes: Empirical Implications</i>	54
The Model's Implications for Timing: When Do House Members Adopt New Rules?	55
<i>House Rules: Defining the Universe of Rules and Organizational Changes</i>	58
	vii

	<i>Constitutional Actors and the Timing of Rule Changes:</i>	
	<i>Empirical Analysis</i>	60
	House Rule Changes, 1961	61
	House Rule Changes, 1995–2013	62
	House Rule Changes, 1879–2013	64
	<i>Conclusion</i>	71
5	The Senate and White House Shadows: Centralization and Decentralization of the Rules of the U.S. House, 1879–2013	72
	<i>The Directionality of Rule Changes: Current Perspectives</i>	72
	<i>Theory: Explaining the Directionality of Rule Changes</i>	74
	Centralization of Power – Empowering the Speaker	74
	Decentralization of Power – Revolting against One’s Own Leader	79
	Decentralization of Power – Empowering Outliers	83
	<i>Empirical Implications: The Directionality of Rule Changes</i>	87
	<i>Empirical Analysis: Data and Measurement</i>	87
	Measuring the Positions of Constitutional Actors: House Factions, the Senate, and the President	87
	Rules and the Distribution of Power within the Majority Party: Coding Centralization and Decentralization of Power in the House	90
	<i>Analysis</i>	91
	No Changes in CS	92
	Change in CS and the Non-Speaker Group Gained an Ally (Allies) in the Senate and/or President (Senate and/or President Closer to the Non-Speaker Faction than to the Speaker)	92
	Change in CS and Senate and President Move Closer to the Speaker Group (Non-Speaker Group Has No Outside Allies)	94
	Change in CS and the House Minority Party Gains Control of President and Senate	94
	Multinomial Analysis	97
	<i>Conclusion</i>	99
6	New Rules for an Old Speaker: Revisiting the 1910 Revolt against Speaker Cannon	101
	<i>The Revolt against Speaker Cannon</i>	102
	<i>Prevailing Interpretation of the Revolt</i>	104
	<i>Is the Prevailing Interpretation Correct?</i>	105
	<i>Timing of the Revolt: Why Did the Progressives Revolt Only after Cannon Had Been Serving as the Speaker for More Than Six Years?</i>	105
	Why Not a Revolt before the 1909 CS Changes?	106
	Why Did the Revolt Happen a Year after Taft’s Election?	113

<i>Contents</i>	ix
<i>Directionality of the Revolt</i>	121
<i>Presidential Change, Policy Differences, and Roll Call Data</i>	123
<i>Alternative Explanation: Cannon Was Most Autocratic during the Year prior to the Revolt</i>	125
<i>Conclusion</i>	126
7 <i>Conclusion</i>	127
<i>Appendix A Constitutional Actors, Partisanship, and House Majority Party Factions</i>	131
<i>Appendix B Theoretical Proof</i>	142
<i>Appendix C List of Changes in the Rules and Procedures of the House</i>	152
<i>Appendix D Universe of Rules and Procedures</i>	157
<i>Appendix E Coding of William H. Taft and Calvin Coolidge Presidencies</i>	159
<i>Appendix F Directionality of Rules and Procedures</i>	162
<i>Appendix G Senate's Ideal Point</i>	174
<i>Bibliography</i>	177
<i>Index</i>	191



PROOF



