

Big Data Symposium, UIUC, 2016

# Big Data, Big Picture –



1

# Big Data, Big Picture



1



## Big Data, Big Picture





# Big Data, Big Opportunity

- Most problems are convex!
  - Local optimum is also global optimum; fundamentally tractable.
- Some problems are non-convex, but admits nice structure.
  - Local optimum "behaves like" global optimum.
- We have a dedicated library of efficient first-order optimization algorithms.
  - Gradient Descent, its acceleration and cousins
  - Conditional Gradient (a.k.a. Frank Wolfe algorithm)
  - Coordinate Descent, its randomization variations
  - Primal-dual algorithms, ADMM
  - Quasi-Newton Methods



# Big Data, Big Challenges

#### • Too many data points (*n* large): simpler algorithms are needed

- Stochastic gradient descent (SGD, a.k.a. stochastic approximation) type of algorithms become the only method of choice
- Cheap iteration cost and (at least) sublinear convergence guarantee
- Too many features (*d* large): bigger models are needed However,
  - Kernel methods are usually not scalable
  - Neural network models break convexity



# **Revisit: Stochastic Optimization and SGD**



# SGD – Overview

#### (Stochastic) convex optimization problem

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \phi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[F(\theta, \xi)] \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\theta, \xi_i)$$

• Stochastic Gradient Descent [Robbins-Monro, 1951]

 $\theta_{t+1} = \Pi_{\Theta} \left( \theta_t - \gamma_t \nabla F(\theta_t, \xi_t) \right)$ 

where  $\Pi_{\Theta}(\eta) = \operatorname{Argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| \theta - \eta \|_2^2 \right\}.$ 

• Stochastic Mirror Descent [Nemirovski, 1979]

 $\theta_{t+1} = P_{\theta_t} \left( \gamma_t \nabla F(\theta_t, \xi_t) \right)$ 

where  $P_{\theta_t}(\eta) = \operatorname{Argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \{ D_{\omega}(\theta, \theta_t) + \langle \eta, \theta \rangle \}.$ 

• Inexact Stochastic Mirror Descent

 $\theta_{t+1} \in P_{\theta_t}^{\epsilon_t}\left(\gamma_t \nabla F(\theta_t, \xi_t)\right)$ 

where  $P_{\theta_t}^{\epsilon_t}(\eta) = \operatorname{Argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta}^{\epsilon_t} \{ D_{\omega}(\theta, \theta_t) + \langle \eta, \theta \rangle \}.$ 



### SGD – Typical Results

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \phi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[F(\theta, \xi)]$$

The inexact Stochastic Mirror Descent algorithm guarantees that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(\frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\gamma_{\tau}\theta_{\tau}}{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\gamma_{\tau}}\right) - \phi(\theta_{*})\right] \leq \frac{M^{2}\sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\gamma_{\tau}^{2} + D_{\omega}(\theta_{*},\theta_{1}) + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\epsilon_{\tau}}{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\gamma_{\tau}}$$

where  $M^2 = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla F(\theta, \xi)\|_*^2].$ 

unbiased gradient + bounded variance + proper stepsize + well-controlled error + good average scheme

O(1/t) convergence rate for strongly convex case
 O(1/√t) convergence rate for general convex case



# SGD – Practical Performance

#### • Full Gradient Descent:

converges faster but with expensive iteration cost



#### • Stochastic Gradient Descent:

converges slowly but with cheaper iteration cost



Figure from [Bach,2013]



# SGD – Beyond

• Lots of recent algorithmic development for supervised learning:

- SGD for convex-concave saddle point problems
- SGD with adaptive learning rates / preconditioning (AdaGrad, etc.)
- SGD with importance / stratified sampling (Iprox-SMD, etc.)
- SGD with second order information (SQN, stochastic BFGS, etc.)
- Variance reduced algorithms (SAG, SAGA, SVRG, PRDG, etc.)
- Parallel and asynchronous SGD (Hogwild!, Downpour SGD, etc.)
- However, still for several fundamental machine learning tasks, SGD or any of the above adaptation is not enough.



# Three Variants of Stochastic Gradient Descent

#### • Supervised Learning:

• Doubly Stochastic Gradient Descent (Doubly SGD) [with Dai, Xie, Liang, Balcan, Song, NIPS'14]

#### • Bayesian Inference:

- Particle Mirror Descent (PMD) [with Dai<sup>2</sup> and Song, AISTATS'15]
- Reinforcement Learning:
  - Embedding Stochastic Gradient Descent (Embedding-SGD) [with Dai, Pan, and Song, 2016]



# Doubly SGD: scaling up big nonlinear models



### Learning in Hilbert Space

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(f(x_i), y_i) + \nu \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{or}$$
$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} L(f) := \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{(x, y) \sim \mathbb{P}(x, y)}[\ell(f(x), y)]}_{\text{expected loss}} + \underbrace{\frac{\nu}{2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}_{\text{regularizer}}$$

with domain  $\mathcal{H}$  as the reproducing kernel Hilbert space:

- generators:  $k(x, \cdot), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$
- reproducing property:  $\langle f, k(x, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = f(x)$ .



## **Previous Work**

• Dual approach: e.g. for square loss

1

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^n} \alpha^T K \alpha + \lambda \alpha^T \alpha - 2 \alpha^T y$$

- inpractical to store/compute kernel matrix  $K = (k(x_i, x_j))$ .

 Stochastic Gradient Descent/Dual Coordinate Ascent [Kivinen et.al.,2004; Shalev-Shwartz & Zhang, 2013]

- at step t, 
$$f_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i k(x_i, \cdot)$$

- require high memory to retrieve support vectors
- Low-rank approximation/Random feature approximation [ Williams & Seeger, 2001; Rahimi & Rechet, 2008]

- low memory, but does not generalize well

### 1

# Duality Between Kernels and Random Processes

#### Theorem (Bochner)

A continuous kernel k(x, x') = k(x - x') on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is PD if and only if k(x - x') is the Fourier transform of a non-negative measure  $\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ .

$$k(x,x') = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{i\omega^{ op}(x-x')} d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[\phi_{\omega}(x)\phi_{\omega}(x')].$$

#### Examples

Kernel	k(x,x')	$p(\omega)$
Gaussian	$\exp(-\frac{\ x-x'\ _{2}^{2}}{2})$	$2\pi^{-\frac{d}{2}}\exp(-\frac{\ \omega\ _{2}^{2}}{2})$
Laplacian	$\exp(-\ x-x'\ _1)$	$\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\pi(1+\omega_i^2)}$
Cauchy	$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{2}{1+(x_i-x_i')^2}$	$\exp(-\ \omega\ _1)$

many other kernels (dot product, polynomial, Hellinger's,  $\chi^2$ , Arc-cosine).



#### Doubly SGD: Basic Idea

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{P}(x,y)}[\ell(f(x),y)] + \nu \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

• First randomly sample  $(x, y) \sim \mathbb{P}(x, y) \Rightarrow$  stochastic gradient  $g(\cdot) = \ell'(f(x), y)k(x, \cdot) + \nu f(\cdot)$ 

• Then randomly sample  $\omega \sim \mathbb{P}(\omega) \Rightarrow$  doubly stochastic gradient  $\widehat{g}(\cdot) = \ell'(f(x), y)\phi_{\omega}(x)\phi_{\omega}(\cdot) + \nu f(\cdot)$ 

- double sources of randomness:
  unbiased: E<sub>x,v,ω</sub>[ĝ(·)] = ∇R(f)
- Observation:  $f_t(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^t \beta_i k(\mathbf{x}_i, \cdot) \Longrightarrow f_t(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \phi_{\omega_i}(\cdot)$ Memory significantly reduced from O(td) to O(t)
- $\bullet$  Caveat: no longer in  ${\cal H}$

### I

# Doubly SGD: Theoretical Complexity

Assumption: Loss function is smooth and kernel is bounded;

Theorem

When  $\gamma_t = \frac{\theta}{t}$  with  $\theta > 0$  such that  $\theta \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$|f_{t+1}(x) - f_*(x)|^2 \leq \widetilde{O}\left(rac{1}{t}
ight), ext{ with high probability}$$

High-level proof idea. Decompose the error into two terms

 $f_0$ 

### I

# Doubly SGD: Key Features

**Doubly SGD**:  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^t$ **Input:**  $\mathbb{P}(\omega), \phi_{\omega}(x), \ell(f(x), y), \nu$ .

for 
$$i = 1, ..., t$$
 do  
Sample  $(x_i, y_i) \sim \mathbb{P}(x, y)$ .  
Sample  $\omega_i \sim \mathbb{P}(\omega)$  with seed  $i$ .  
 $f(x_i) = \mathbf{Predict}(x_i, \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{i-1})$ .  
 $\alpha_i = -\gamma_i \ell'(f(x_i), y_i) \phi_{\omega_i}(x_i)$ .  
 $\alpha_j = (1 - \gamma_i \nu) \alpha_j, j = 1, ..., i - 1$ .  
end for

- simple algorithm
- flexible, nonparametric
- Iow memory cost
  - O(t) for doubly SGD
  - $O(n^2)$  for kernel matrix
  - O(td) for vanilla SGD
- cheap computation cost
   O(td) at each iteration
- theoretically grounded O(1/t) w.h.p.
- strong empirical results
  - competes with neural nets



# Toy Example

- Model: Kernelized Ridge Regression
- Dataset: 2D Synthetic dataset





# I

### Handwritten Digit Recognition

- Model: Support Vector Machines
- Dataset: 1.6 million images for digit 6 and digit 8
- Input Dimension: each data point is of size 784



**MNIST** handwritten digits



doubly SGD / SGD / SDCA / n-SDCA...

#### ImageNet Classification



- Red layers are convolutions with max pooling layers.
- Blue layers are fully connected layers.
- Green layer is the output layer – multiclass logistic regression model.



#### ImageNet Classification

- Model: Logistic regression
- Dataset: 1.3 million color images and 1000 classes
- Input Dimension: each data point is of size 9216



Platform: AMD 16 2.4GHz Opteron CPUs and 200G memory



# Extending to Min-Max Saddle Point Problems

Optimizing saddle point problems over RKHS:

$$\min_{f\in\mathcal{H}_k}\max_{g\in\mathcal{G}_k^-} \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[f(x)g(x)-\ell(g(x),y)] + \frac{\nu_1}{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \frac{\nu_2}{2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2$$

- The doubly SGD trick still applies.
- Under mild conditions (smooth loss and kernels), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|f(x_t)-f_*(x)|^2+|g(x_t)-g_*(x)|^2]\leq \widetilde{O}\left(rac{1}{t}
ight)$$

• Recently been applied to solve reinforcement learning problem.



### Further Implication

#### Solving two-level stochastic optimization problems

 $\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[F_{\xi}(\mathbb{E}_{\eta}[G_{\eta}(\theta,\xi)])]$ 

• The algorithm and analysis can be easily extended to address general stochastic problems involving two levels of expectations.

for 
$$i = 1, ..., t$$
 do  
Sample  $(\xi_i, \eta_i) \sim \mathbb{P}(\xi, \eta)$ .  
 $\widehat{g} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} G_{\eta_i}(\theta_i, \xi_j)$   
 $\theta_{i+1} = P_{\theta_i}(\gamma_i \nabla G_{\eta_i}(\theta_i, \xi_i)^T \nabla F_{\xi_i}(\widehat{g}))$   
end for

When ξ⊥⊥η and f Lipschitz smooth, g Lipschitz continuous, the overall function is strongly convex, then we obtain the "optimal" O(1/t) rate of convergence.



# Summary

- Optimization lies at the heart of Big Data analytics.
- Stochastic gradient descent is powerful, but has limitations.
- Simple optimization techniques allow us to learn bigger and faster.