Introduction to Robotics Lecture 2: Configuration Space Representation and holonomic/non-holonomic constraints

# Configuration and DoFs

- ► The number of DoF's of a C-space gives us its dimension.
- ▶ Does it imply that if dof = n, then the C-space is ℝ<sup>n</sup>? No: spaces of the same dimension can be *different* and are not necessarily ℝ<sup>n</sup>. This impacts the way we set *coordinates* on the C-space.
- Two spaces are (topologically) equivalent is they can be continuously deformed into the other without cutting or gluing.

Examples



# Configuration and DoFs

- The C-space of a point in the plane is  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- An *angle variable* is an element of the circle, denoted by  $S^1$ .
- Rotations in 3D are more complex. They are *not* given by three independent angles!
- C-space of rigid body in the plane is  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ .
- ► C-space of PR-robot arm is ℝ × S<sup>1</sup>. C-space of 2R robot arm in S<sup>1</sup> × S<sup>1</sup> := T<sup>2</sup>.
- ► C-space of a planar rigid body with a 2R robot arm: ℝ<sup>2</sup> × S<sup>1</sup> × T<sup>2</sup>.
- For  $S^2$  the 2-sphere:  $S^1 \times S^1 \neq S^2$ !

# Configuration Space Representation

A choice of *n* free coordinates to represent an *n*-dimensional space is called an *explicit* parametrization. They are *not unique* for a given C-space. For example, we can choose where to put the 'origin', or 'default configuration.



# Configuration Space Representation

An *implicit representation* is given by *constrained coordinates*. It is often easier to obtain than an explicit representation.



Four angles + relations

$$L_{1}\cos(\theta_{1}) + L_{2}\cos(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}) + \dots + L_{4}\cos(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \dots + \theta_{4}) = 0$$
$$L_{1}\sin(\theta_{1}) + L_{2}\sin(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}) + \dots + L_{4}\sin(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \dots + \theta_{4}) = 0$$
$$\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \theta_{3} + \theta_{4} - 2\pi = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow 1 \text{ DoF}.$ 

## Velocity constraints

- When the robot has mass/inertia, we need to include dynamical variable to describe its state. E.g., we need the position and momentum of a particle to describe its motion.
- Given a description of a state space with variables

 $(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2)$ , with  $\dot{q}_i = p_i$ ,

constraints on the velocity can be either *holonomic* or *non-holonomic*: we explain the difference in the following slides.

In a nutshell: holonomic constraints decrease the dimension of the C-space, non-holonomic constraints do not.

#### Velocity constraints

- Use  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for the position coordinates.
- We consider constraints given by

$$A(x)\dot{x}=0,$$

where A(x) is a matrix. These are called *Pfaffian constraints*.

- ► Given a set of Pfaffian constraints, we say they are *integrable* if we can find a function g such that ∂g/∂x = A. Such constraints are *holonomic*.
- ▶ Why? If such g exists, the constraints A(x)x = 0 are the same as the constraints on the position variables g(x) = c:

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(x(t)) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\dot{x} = A(x)\dot{x} = 0.$$

If no such g exists, the constraints are called non-holonomic.

## Velocity constraints

• Consider a rolling disk on the plane. Described by  $(x, y, \theta, \phi)$ .



The coin rolls without slipping: its always goes in the direction (cos φ, sin φ) with speed rθ:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = r\dot{\theta} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi \\ \sin\phi \end{pmatrix}$$

Set  $[q_1 \ q_1 \ \cdots \ q_4] = [x \ y \ \phi \ \theta]$ , we have the Pfaffian constraints

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -r\cos q_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -r\sin q_3 \end{pmatrix} \dot{q} = 0.$$

 These are not holonomic: intuitively, no decrease in dimension of C-space.

### How to check for non-holonomy of constraints

- A **necessary** condition for non-holonomy: if there exists g such that  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = A$ , then  $A_{ij} = \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_i}$ .
- Using equality of the mixed derivatives, we obtain the necessary condition:

$$\frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial A_{ik}}{\partial x_j}.$$

- Consider a rolling disk on the plane. Described by  $(x, y, \theta, \phi)$ .
- If such g exists and is twice differentiable, then  $\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial v \partial x}$ .
- Here,  $\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial q_4} = -r \cos q_3$  and thus  $\frac{\partial^2 g_1}{\partial q_4 \partial q_3} = r \sin q_3$ .
- Similarly,  $\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial q_3} = 0$  and thus  $\frac{\partial^2 g_1}{\partial q_3 \partial q_4} = 0 \Rightarrow$  contradiction.