Adrien C. Finzi · Evan H. DeLucia Jason G. Hamilton · Daniel D. Richter William H. Schlesinger

The nitrogen budget of a pine forest under free air CO₂ enrichment

Received: 5 September 2001 / Accepted: 28 May 2002 / Published online: 10 July 2002 © Springer-Verlag 2002

Abstract Elevated concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ increase plant biomass, net primary production (NPP) and plant demand for nitrogen (N). The demand for N set by rapid plant growth under elevated CO_2 could be met by increasing soil N availability or by greater efficiency of N uptake. Alternatively, plants could increase their nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE), thereby maintaining high rates of growth and NPP in the face of nutrient limitation. We quantified dry matter and N budgets for a young pine forest exposed to 4 years of elevated CO₂ using free-air CO_2 enrichment technology. We addressed three questions: Does elevated CO₂ increase forest NPP and the demand for N by vegetation? Is demand for N met by greater uptake from soils, a shift in the distribution of N between plants, microbes, and soils, or increases in NUE under elevated CO₂? Will soil N availability constrain the NPP response of this forest as CO₂ fumigation continues? A step-function increase in atmospheric CO₂ significantly increased NPP during the first 4 years of this study. Significant increases in NUE under elevated CO₂ modulated the average annual requirement for N by vegetation in the first and third growing seasons under elevated CO_2 ; the average stimulation of NPP in these years was 21% whereas the average annual stimulation of the N requirement was only 6%. In the second and fourth growing seasons, increases in NPP increased the annual requirement for N by 27-33%. Increases in the annual requirement for N were largely met by increases in N uptake from soils. Retranslocation of nutrients prior to senescence played only a minor role in sup-

A.C. Finzi (🖂)

Department of Biology, Boston University, 5 Cummington Street, Boston, MA 02215, USA e-mail: afinzi@bu.edu Tel.: +1-617-3532453, Fax: +1-617-3536340

E.H. DeLucia · J.G. Hamilton Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

D.D. Richter \cdot W.H. Schlesinger Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

plying the additional N required by trees growing under elevated CO₂. NPP was highly correlated with betweenplot variation in the annual rate of net N mineralization and CO_2 treatment. This demonstrates that NPP is colimited by C availability, as CO₂ from the atmosphere, and N availability from soils. There is no evidence that soil N mineralization rates have increased under elevated CO₂. The correlation between NPP and N mineralization rates and the increase in the annual requirement for N in certain years imply that soil N availability may control the long-term productivity response of this ecosystem to elevated CO_2 . Although we have no evidence suggesting that NPP is declining in response to >4 years of CO_2 fumigation, if the annual requirement of N continues to be stimulated by elevated CO_2 , we predict that the productivity response of this forest ecosystem will decline over time.

Keywords Elevated $CO_2 \cdot Nitrogen \cdot Net$ primary production $\cdot N$ limitation $\cdot N$ utrient-use efficiency

Introduction

The atmospheric concentration of CO_2 has increased from ~280 µl/l to ~360 µl/l during the past 150 years and may double during this century (IPCC 2001). Tree biomass increases under elevated atmospheric CO_2 (Bazzaz and Miao 1993; Curtis and Wang 1998; Zak et al 2000a; Hamilton et al. 2002), and forest productivity may be enhanced by the projected increase in atmospheric CO_2 (Schimel et al. 2001). However, the magnitude of the CO_2 response in woody plants can be constrained by the availability of soil nutrients, notably nitrogen (N) (McMurtrie and Commins 1996; Pan et al. 1998; Luo and Reynolds 1999; Zak et al. 2000a; Oren et al. 2001).

Rapid tree growth under elevated CO_2 increases plant demand for N (Norby et al. 1999). Increases in soil N availability via fertilization maintain high rates of tree growth under elevated CO_2 (Johnson et al. 1997; Prior et al. 1997; Murray et al. 2000; Zak et al. 2000a). It is unclear, however, if endogenous processes of N cycling will increase N availability when natural ecosystems are exposed to high CO_2 (reviewed in Zak et al. 2000b). If the N-uptake potential of vegetation under elevated CO_2 exceeds the rate of N replenishment to the available pool via mineralization (Rastetter et al. 1997) or exogenous inputs (Schlesinger 1997), vegetation may not acquire sufficient N to sustain the pulse of growth seen with initial exposure to elevated CO_2 . In the absence of increases in N mineralization or exogenous N inputs, biogeochemical models predict little or no enhanced C storage in woody biomass as atmospheric CO_2 concentrations rise (McMurtrie and Commins 1996; Rastetter et al. 1997; Luo and Reynolds 1999).

In the absence of an increase in soil N availability, there are two mechanisms that could maintain rapid rates of plant growth under elevated CO_2 : increases in nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE) and increases in the efficiency by which plants acquire available soil N. Increases in NUE imply greater C fixation per unit N acquired from soil (Birk and Vitousek 1986; Pastor and Bridgham 1999) and the maintenance of a C sink in woody biomass in N-limited ecosystems. NUE is rarely measured in elevated CO_2 studies. Following 2 years of CO_2 enrichment in a North Carolina pine forest, Finzi et al. (2001) found that NUE in aboveground litterfall increased ~5%, although this effect was not statistically significant.

According to mass balance, an increase in the efficiency with which soil N is acquired by plants should cause a shift in the distribution of N within existing soil pools or decreased losses of N from an ecosystem under elevated CO₂. A decline in the N content of microbialbiomass is a likely, initial response because of the rapid turnover time of microbes (Paul and Clark 1986). However, a relatively limited number of studies have shown variable responses of soil microbial biomass to elevated CO₂ (Allen et al. 2000; Zak et al. 2000b) and no consistent changes in the size of the N pool in microbial biomass (Diaz et al. 1993; Hungate et al. 1999; Allen et al. 2000; Zak et al. 2000c; Hu et al. 2001). Thus there is equivocal evidence for a shift in N distribution away from soil microbial biomass to support plant uptake under elevated CO₂. A decrease in soil N content associated with the process of net mineralization could occur under elevated CO_2 , but this would be very difficult to measure accurately because net mineralization constitutes a very small flux out of this very large pool (Binkley and Hart 1989).

More efficient retention of N within ecosystems exposed to elevated CO_2 could maintain N capital and lead to long-term increases in N cycling between plants and soils. There are very few reports of nutrient losses below the rooting zone of plants growing under elevated CO_2 . Hungate et al. (1999) and Johnson et al. (2001) found a small decrease in N losses below the rooting zone of a scrub oak forest in Florida exposed to elevated CO_2 , implying greater retention of available soil N. Similarly, there are few observations reporting gaseous losses of N under elevated CO_2 . Hungate et al. (1997) found that ele-

vated CO_2 and nutrient fertilization decreased emissions of NO following the onset of the rainy season in California grasslands. In contrast, Ambus and Robertson (1999) found no significant difference in N₂O fluxes in aspen stands exposed to elevated CO_2 , and Smart et al. (1997) found higher gas fluxes of N in wheat systems exposed to elevated CO_2 . Most studies do not place losses of N within the context of an overall ecosystem budget for N, making it difficult to interpret the importance of these losses as a mechanism maintaining or alleviating N limitation to net primary production (NPP) under elevated CO_2 .

In this paper we present data on the pools and fluxes of dry matter and N for a young pine forest exposed to 4 years of elevated CO_2 using free-air CO_2 enrichment (FACE, Hendrey et al. 1999). With the dry matter and N budgets we address three questions: Does elevated CO_2 increase forest NPP and the demand for N by vegetation? Is demand for N met by greater uptake from soils, a shift in the distribution of N between plants, microbes, and soils, or increases in NUE under elevated CO_2 ? Will soil N availability constrain the NPP response of this forest as CO_2 fumigation continues?

Materials and methods

Site description

The FACE experiment in the Duke Forest (Orange County, N.C., USA) is composed of six 30-m-diameter plots. Three experimental plots are fumigated with CO_2 to maintain the atmospheric CO_2 concentration 200 µl l⁻¹ above ambient (i.e., 565 µl l⁻¹). Three control plots are fumigated with ambient air only (365 µl l⁻¹). The experiment began 27 August 1996 and is continuous (24 h day⁻¹; 365 days year⁻¹). Additional details on FACE operation can be found in Hendrey et al. (1999).

The forest is derived from 3-year-old loblolly pine (Pinus taeda) seedlings that were planted in 1983 in a 2.4×2.4-m spacing. In 1996, the 13-year-old pine trees were approximately 14 m tall and accounted for 98% of the basal area of the stand. Since planting, a deciduous understory layer has recruited from nearby hardwood forests and stump sprouts. The most abundant understory tree species is sweet gum (Liquidambar styraciflua), with admixtures of red maple (Acer rubrum), red bud (Cercis canadensis), and dogwood (Cornus florida). The 32-ha site contains an elevation gradient of 15 m between the highest and lowest points, but topographic relief is less than 1° throughout. Soils are classified as being from the Enon Series (fine, mixed, active, thermic Ultic Hapludalfs). Enon soils, derived from mafic bedrock, are slightly acidic (0.1 M CaCl₂ pH =5.75), and have well-developed soil horizons with mixed clay mineralogy. Additional site details can be found in Schlesinger and Lichter (2001) and Finzi et al. (2001).

Plant biomass pools, increments and turnover

During road construction at the FACE site, a small number of the 13-year-old loblolly pine trees were removed and used to develop allometric regressions between stem diameter and wood, bark, and coarse root mass (Naidu et al. 1996). Martin et al. (1998) and Whittaker and Marks (1975) published similar allometric relationships for several southern Appalachian hardwood species. In each of the six experimental plots all the woody vegetation was surveyed, including stem diameters, prior to the onset of CO_2 fumigation in August 1996. By using dendrometer bands to monitor di-

ameter growth for 40 trees per plot, we used the stem maps and the allometric regressions to calculate loblolly pine and hardwood biomass pools and increments for each year reported in this study. Pool sizes, increments and turnover of woody biomass for the period 1997–1998 are taken from DeLucia et al. (1999). The 1999 and 2000 estimates are previously unpublished.

During the 2nd year of CO₂ fumigation, we noted that loblolly pine leaf litter production was greater than that predicted by the pre-treatment allometries (Naidu et al. 1996; Finzi et al. 2001). Therefore, loblolly pine and hardwood foliage pools and increments are based on the data collected from the leaf litter baskets (see below). A *t*-test indicated that the difference in leaf mass per unit area (LMA, mg cm⁻²) between green leaf and litter samples of loblolly pine was not significantly different in any year of this study (Finzi et al. 2001 and unpublished data). Rather than multiplying litterfall mass by the ratio of green LMA and litter LMA, we used the simpler assumption that LMA was not different and that litterfall mass is the same as canopy mass. Similarly, there was no significant difference in the LMA of green leaves and leaf litter for the dominant hardwood species in this ecosystem (Finzi et al. 2001 and unpublished data). Thus we assumed that the mass of the deciduous leaves in the litter baskets was the same as that in the canopy.

Aboveground litterfall mass was collected from 5 June 1996 onward by placing 12 replicate 40×40 cm baskets in each plot. Litterfall was collected once per month between January and August and twice per month between September and December to minimize leaching losses from leaf litter during the period of peak litterfall (Finzi et al. 2001). The samples were brought to the laboratory, dried at 65°C for 4 days, and weighed. The litter was sorted and subdivided into four categories: pine needles, deciduous leaves, reproductive structures, and bark + branch + "other." The "other" category consisted of small, difficult to identify fragments of aboveground litterfall and frass. The litterfall data for 1996 through 1998 are from Finzi et al. (2001); data for 1999 and 2000 are previously unpublished.

Characterizations of foliage production in loblolly pine are complicated by over-lapping generations of leaves, typically two. The longevity of loblolly pine foliage in the Piedmont of N.C. is 18 months (Finzi et al. 2001). A new cohort of leaves produced in 1 year does not abscise until the following year. Thus the mass of loblolly pine needles in the canopy in a given year is the sum of litterfall in that year and in the following year. For example, the mass of loblolly pine needles in the canopy in 1998 can be estimated from the sum of litterfall mass in 1998 and 1999. We therefore used litterfall mass data from 1996 through 2001 to calculate leaf biomass and increments for the period 1996–2000.

Fine root biomass for the period 1997–1999 was taken from Matamala and Schlesinger (2001) and Matamala (unpublished data); however, fine root increment and turnover data are only available for 1998. Fine root data are based on the sequential coring technique. The assumptions in estimating root production, increments and turnover using sequential coring are discussed in Matamala and Schlesinger (2001).

Plant N analysis

Wood cores were extracted from a subset of ten canopy trees sampled in each plot in the autumn of 1997, 1999 and 2000. Nitrogen concentration of the wood was measured after combustion in an element analyzer (Model NC2500, CE Instruments, Rodano, Italy) and was also assumed to be representative of the concentration of N in coarse woody roots (roots >5 cm diameter). We separated the 1996 and 1997 growth increments from the cores sampled in 1997 and analyzed them for N. Thus the 1997 core provided wood-Nconcentration data for the 1996 and 1997 growing seasons. Similarly, the 1998 and 1999 growth increments were separated from the cores sampled in 1999 and analyzed for N separately. Thus the 1999 core provided data on the 1998 and 1999 wood N concentration. The cores taken in 2000 had only the 2000 growth increment separated and analyzed for N. No cores were extracted from sweet gum, the most abundant hardwood species in this forest. There is no difference in the concentration of N in the bolewood of sweet gum trees under ambient and elevated CO_2 at the sweet gum FACE experiment in Oak Ridge, Tennessee (R.J. Norby, personal communication). We assumed that the concentration of N in the bolewood of all hardwood species in this ecosystem was 0.2%, the same as that of the sweet gum trees in the Tennessee FACE experiment. Bark was assumed to have the same concentration as wood in loblolly pine. While bark N concentrations are generally higher than wood in loblolly pine (Naidu et al. 1996), the relatively small mass of bark on these trees ensures that the error associated with this assumption is relatively small.

We measured the N concentration of green leaves and all aboveground litter components in a sulfuric-copper sulfate acid Kjeldahl digestion followed by colorimetric analysis on an automated ion analyzer (Lachat QuickChem FIA+ 8000 Series, Zellweger Analytics, Milwaukee, Wis.). The average concentration of N in red bud, red maple, sweet gum, and dogwood leaves was assumed to apply to the N concentration of green leaves for the other deciduous species in the canopy. Fine root N concentration and mass data are taken from Matamala and Schlesinger (2001).

Soil pools and fluxes

Throughfall inputs of N were collected every 2 weeks from 1996 to 1998 and every 3 weeks in 1999 in 4-l bottles fit with 14.6-cmdiameter funnels, with 12 bottles per plot (Lichter et al. 2000). Concentrations of NH_4^+ in throughfall were measured on an autoanalyzer (TRAACS 800 Autoanalyzer, Bran + Leubbe, Buffalo Grove, Ill.) while concentrations of NO_3^- were measured on an ion chromatograph (model 2010i, Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, Calif.). An in-depth discussion of the field and laboratory methodology is presented in Lichter et al. (2000). Throughfall data for 1998 are from Lichter et al. (2000); 1999 data are previously unpublished.

Soil-water NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations were measured at two sample locations per plot at each of four depths: the bottom of the O horizon and at 15, 70 and 200 cm. The O-horizon samples were collected gravimetrically. Soil water in the mineral soil horizons was collected under ~70 centibars of tension with Prenart lysimeters (Prenart Corporation, Copenhagen, Denmark) at 15and 70-cm depth and Rhizon lysimeters (Rhizosphere Research Products, Wageningen, Netherlands) at 200-cm depth. Soil water was collected every 2 weeks from 1996 to 1998 and every 3 weeks in 1999. NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations were estimated by phenolcolorimetry and by ion chromatography, respectively, on the same analytical instruments as throughfall. We scaled lysimeter concentrations of inorganic N to g N m⁻² year⁻¹ according to Darcy's law, solving Richardson's equation in two dimensions (Clapp and Hornberger 1987; Katul et al. 1997).

Gaseous losses of N_2O for 1998 and 1999 are taken from Phillips et al. (2001). In brief, nitrous oxide fluxes were measured, using the static-chamber method, bimonthly from January 1998 through December 1999. Four chambers were installed in each plot. Headspace gas was sampled with 5 or 10 ml SESI nylon syringes followed by analysis on a Shimadzu GC-14A ⁶³Ni electron gas capture gas chromatograph. Soil moisture and temperature were measured concurrently with each field measurement of N₂O.

Forest floor and mineral soil N content were measured in October 1999. Details of the field sampling and chemical analysis can be found in Schlesinger and Lichter (2001). In brief, 12 soil samples to a depth of 30-cm were extracted in 4.76-cm-diameter cores while 12 forest floor samples were extracted as 10×10 cm monoliths. The forest floor and soil samples were weighed and passed through a 2-mm mesh sieve to remove stones and coarse roots. Samples were dried at 48°C for 5 days and then ground to a fine powder for N analysis on an element analyzer. Stone mass was used to adjust final core mass and estimate soil bulk density.

Soil microbial-biomass N was measured in the forest floor and mineral soil. Microbial-biomass N was measured every year in April, June, August and October. Four replicate 10×10 cm forest floor samples were collected in each plot. Similarly, four replicate soil cores from each plot were collected in 4.76-cm-diameter cores to a depth of 15 cm. Soil samples were passed through a 5-mm mesh sieve to remove stones and coarse roots. Within a plot, the replicate forest floor samples and the soil cores were then composited into two larger samples (a forest floor composite and a mineral soil composite). Two 20-g sub-samples were removed from each of the composite bags and hand picked to remove all fine roots. Each sub-sample was divided in half, placed into a 50-ml centrifuge tube and microbial biomass determined using the fumigation-extraction procedure (Brooks et al. 1985; Gallardo and Schlesinger 1991). The 1998 data on soil microbial biomass are from Allen et al. (2000). Microbial-biomass N in the forest floor was measured only during the 2000 calendar year and was assumed to be representative of pool sizes in 1999 (A.C. Finzi, unpublished data).

The rate of potential net N mineralization was measured four times per year – in April, June, August, and October – in each year of this study. Data from 1997 and 1998 are taken from Finzi et al. (2001). Data from 1999 and 2000 are from Finzi and Schlesinger (unpublished data). In brief, four replicate soil cores (4.78-cm diameter ×15-cm depth) were extracted from within the boundaries of each FACE plot. Each core was sieved through an 5-mm mesh opening to remove stones and course roots. Two replicate 20-g sub-samples of soil from each core were placed into 250-ml plastic bottles. One bottle (the "initial" sample) was extracted immediately in 100 ml of 2 M KCl and the second bottle was incubated in the dark at 22°C for 28 days after which time it was extracted in 100 ml of 2 M KCl. The rate of potential net N mineralization was calculated as the difference in the accumulation of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in the incubated and initial sample.

Annual rates of net N mineralization were measured in the top 15 cm of mineral soil using the buried bag technique (Eno 1960). Soil cores were taken from 15 sampling locations on the outside perimeter of the plenum surrounding each of the six plots. Although these samples are technically outside the plots, their close proximity to the vertical CO₂-vent pipes and canopy trees within the plots (<2 m, Finzi personal measurement) ensures that they are representative of annual rates of mineralization within the plots. Estimates of N mineralization were made from 1 June 1997 through 31 May 1998. At each sampling date 4.78-cm-diameter ×15-cm-deep soil cores were extracted and their contents placed into polyethylene bags. A 20-g sub-sample of soil was removed from each polyethylene bag for initial determination of NH4⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations in each sample. Samples incubated in the field for 1 month, after which they were removed and brought back to the laboratory for analysis of accumulated NH_4^+ and NO₃-. At the same time a new set of cores was collected for incubation during the following month. Annual rates of net mineralization were calculated as the sum of the difference between the concentration of inorganic N in incubated and initial samples across the 12 months.

Calculations and statistical analysis

Dry matter and N budgets were calculated separately for each of the first 4 years under ambient and elevated CO2. The budgets for dry matter and N in vegetation are divided into pools, increments and turnover. Pools (g m⁻²) were calculated for the peak-growing season when both cohorts of loblolly pine needles were on the trees and the deciduous trees were foliated. Biomass increments (g m⁻² year⁻¹) were estimated from dimension analysis. Fine root increment was calculated from a regression of fine root biomass versus time determined by sequential coring over the period November 1997 - November 1998 (Matamala and Schlesinger 2000). Aboveground biomass turnover (g m⁻² year⁻¹) was calculated from the materials collected in litter baskets and throughfall. Fine root turnover was calculated as the sum of fine root mortality and decomposition for the period November 1997 - November 1998. Net primary production was calculated as the sum of biomass increments and turnover while annual N requirement was calculated as the sum of the N content in biomass increments and turnover (Schlesinger 1997). The quantity of N retranslocated prior to abscission was calculated as the difference between the content of N in pools and that in litter for loblolly pine needles and deciduous leaves. In this forest, there is no apparent N retranslocation from fine roots prior to senescence (Matamala and Schlesinger 2001). The annual uptake of N from soil was calculated as the difference between annual N requirement and N retranslocated prior to senescence.

NUE was calculated using two different methods. The first was based on the ratio of NPP and the annual N requirement. This calculation is qualitatively similar to that presented in Vitousek (1984) and Shaver and Melillo (1984). This definition of NUE measures the efficiency with which N allocation from storage and uptake from soils results in dry matter production and is analogous to the inverse of the weighted average tissue N concentration. The second method was based on the concepts presented in Pastor and Bridgham (1999), who defined nitrogen-response efficiency (NRE) as:

$$NRE = \frac{NPP}{N_{av}}$$
(1)

where, $N_{\rm av}$ is N availability from soils which we assumed to be the annual rate of net N mineralization (g m⁻² year⁻¹) measured in the soils collected just outside the FACE plots. Because the rate of potential net N mineralization (soils collected within each FACE plot, see above) was not significantly different between CO₂ treatments (Fig. 2), we assume that elevated CO₂ had no effect on the annual rate of net N mineralization. The annual rate of net N mineralization was measured during portions of the 1997 and 1998 growing seasons. We assumed that the average productivity response in 1997 and 1998 was the best estimate of the numerator in Eq. 1.

Initial measurements during 1996 demonstrated significant between-plot variation in most processes related to plant biomass and N pools and fluxes. This underlying between-ring variation often masked the effects of elevated CO₂ on biomass and N dynamics in response to CO_2 fumigation (e.g., Allen et al. 2000; Finzi et al. 2001; Schlesinger and Lichter 2001). We therefore used analysisof-covariance (ANCOVA) and the 1996 pre-treatment data as the covariate in our tests for the effects of elevated CO2 on variations in the components of the dry matter and N budgets (Underwood 1997; Finzi et al. 2001). We had pretreatment data for all biomass and N pools with the exception of fine roots and subcanopy hardwood biomass. In these cases we used one-way ANOVA with an n=6 (3 treatment and 3 control plots). There were no pretreatment data for the soil N pools and fluxes, so we used one-way ANOVA for tests of CO₂ effects. We averaged the rate of potential net N mineralization measured in April, June, August, and October to provide a single, integrated estimate of the rate of N mineralization under ambient and elevated CO₂ within a given year.

Results

Dry matter pools and fluxes

The biomass of loblolly pine needles was significantly greater under elevated CO_2 in all years (Table 1). The biomass of loblolly pine wood plus coarse roots increased throughout the 4 years of this study and was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 in years 3 and 4. The biomass of the remaining components – deciduous leaves, deciduous wood plus coarse roots, and fine roots – was not significantly different between ambient and elevated CO_2 in any year of this study. At the end of the 3rd growing season under elevated CO_2 , the total biomass of vegetation in the plots under elevated CO_2 was

f the	
ght o	
le rig	
to th	
bols	
sym	
cript	
pers	
Su.	1
CO	
/ated	
elev	
Inder	
vth u	
grov	
orest	
of fo	
year	
(000	
h (2(100.C
he 4t	=P<(
igh tl	* * *
throu	0.01,
1 (76	=P<(
it (19	**
le 1s	<0.05
om th	$*=P_{}$
er fro	.10;
matte	P < 0
dry	at †=
E) of	unce
EI SI	nifica
es (∃	l sigr
flux	stical
s and	stati
sizes	ates
pool	indic
The ₁	fect
e 1	\mathcal{P}_2 Ef.
Tabl	%Ct

	1997			1998			1999			2000		
	A	Е	%CO ₂	A	Е	%CO ₂	A	Е	% CO ₂	A	E	%CO ₂
Compartment pools (g m ⁻²) Pine foliage Deciduous leaves	764 (112) 108 (15)	825 (99) 128 (47)	8^{**}	890 (123) 117 (21)	$1,043\ (87)$ $139\ (48)$	17^{**} 19	964 (124) 117 (19)	1,106 (89) 135 (47)	15* 15	869 (102) 96 (16)	1,006 (104) 120 (44)	16^* 25
Pine wood + bark + coarse roots Deciduous wood + bark	9,640 (1,360) 727 (204)	9,526 (1,463) 864 (336)	-1 19	10,285 (1,398) 818 (231)	$10,334 \\ (1,516) \\ 986 (384)$	1 [†]	$ \begin{array}{c} 11,099\\ (1,416)\\ 902\ (255) \end{array} $	11,357 (1,588) 1,086 (1,232)	2* 20	11,621 (1,417) 1,001 (282)	$12,094 \\ (1,725) \\ 1,200 (468)$	4* 20
+ coarse roots Fine roots Total biomass	222 (30) 11,461 (1,244)	202 (25) 11,545 (1,215)	-9	241 (40) 12,351 (1,256)	242 (19) 12,744 (1,220)	$(423) \\ 0 \\ 3^{\dagger}$	243 (49) 13,325 (1,248)	(4222) 273 (49) 13,956 (1,240)	$\frac{12}{5^*}$	$^{-13,588}$ (1,255)	$^-$ 14,419 (1,398)	64
Annual flux increments (g m Pine needle increment Deciduous leaf increment Pine wood + bark	⁻² year ⁻¹) 45 (9) 15 (5) 827 (71)	$126(10)\\24(13)\\1,024(108)$	177* 63 24*	126 (11) 8 (6) 645 (56)	218 (12) 11 (2) 809 (54)	73* 25*	74 (6) 0 (3) 814 (18)	62 (12) -4 (3) 1,023 (76)	$-16 \\ -16 \\ -26^{**}$	$^{-95}_{-21}$ (23) $^{-21}_{-23}$ (2)	-100 (15) -15 (5) 736 (138)	$\begin{array}{c} -5 \\ 31 \\ 41^{+} \end{array}$
+ coarse roots Deciduous wood + bark	I	I		91 (27)	122 (48)	34	84 (24)	100 (39)	19	100 (28)	114 (45)	15
+ coarse roots Fine root increment Total in increments	_ 887 (57)	_ 1,174 (92)	32^{**}	43 (7) 941 (50)	80 (6) 1,274 (52)	87* 35*	_ 996 (20)	_ 1,207 (32)	21^{**}	506 (45)	736 (123)	45
Turnover Reproduction Pine needle litterfall Deciduous leaf litterfall Branch + bark	1 (1) 337 (57) 104 (16) 79 (7)	4 (4) 350 (49) 120 (38) 67 (15)	$544 \\ 4^{*}_{*}$	1 (1) 412 (55) 112 (22) 80 (16)	5 (2) 490 (50) 131 (39) 120 (19)	228 19** 50	7 (5) 463 (68) 112 (19) 143 (39)	9 (4) 568 (42) 126 (39) 151 (19)	17 19* 6	10 (2) 485 (57) 92 (16) 144 (18)	26 (9) 552 (49) 113 (36) 203 (57)	$169 \\ 14^{***} \\ 22 \\ 41 \\ 41$
 + outed intestant Fine root turnover Total turnover Net primary production (g m⁻² year⁻¹) (increments + turnover) 	- 524 (49) 1,411 (94)	- 541 (18) 1,715 (107)	37 (17) 3† 22**	54 (21) 642 (43) 1,583 (61)	47† 800 (17) 2,074 (38)	$\frac{-}{25^{**}}$ 31	725 (81) 1,721 (70)	854 (24) 2,061 (53)	$^{-18^{st}}_{20^{st}}$	731 (59) 1,237 (32)	894 (79) 1,630 (202)	22* 32†

bols	
f sym	
on o	
cripti	
e des	
or th	
le 1 f	
e Tab	
2. See	
1 CO	
vatec	
er ele	
nud	
cowth	
est gi	
of for	
'ear c	
00) 3	
h (20	
fourt	
h the	
rougl	
7) th	
: (195	
e first	
m the	
V fro	
s of l	
fluxe	
and	
sizes	
pool	
S.E.)	
(± 1.	nce
rage (nifica
e avei	l sigr
The	istica
ıble 2	r stati
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}$	fo

2												
	1.661			1998			1 999			2000		
	А	Е	% CO ₂	A	Е	% CO ₂	A	Ш	% CO ₂	A	Е	% CO ₂
Compartment pools (g Pine foliage Deciduous leaves Pine wood + bark	m ⁻²) 7.91 (1.03) 1.63 (0.22) 23.88 (3.44)	8.21 (0.99) 1.77 (0.62) 23.34 (3.70)	4 9 2 [–]	8.41 (1.56) 2.00 (0.20) 24.70 (3.52)	9.42 (1.42) 2.30 (0.73) 24.29 (3.80)	-2 -2	9.07 (1.52) 1.97 (0.21) 25.23 (3.53)	9.88 (0.93) 2.11 (0.64) 24.93 (3.91)	-1 8 9*	9.07 (1.36) 1.72 (0.20) 25.76 (3.51)	10.10 (1.51) 2.00 (0.69) 25.69 (4.09)	11 16 -1
+ coarse roots Deciduous wood	1.45 (0.41)	1.73 (0.67)	19	1.64(0.46)	1.79 (0.77)	21	1.80 (0.51)	2.17 (0.85)	20	2.00 (0.56)	2.40 (0.94)	20
+ bark + coarse roots Fine roots Total in biomass	1.76 (0.17) 36.63 (3.76)	$\frac{1.64\ (0.14)}{36.69\ (3.41)}$	$\frac{-7}{1}$	$\frac{1.91}{38.65} (0.20)$	$\frac{1.83}{39.80} (0.06)$	4 κ	$\frac{1.82}{39.90} (0.24)$	2.09 (0.28) 41.19 (3.33)	15 3	_ 38.55 (4.19)	- 40.19 (4.23)	4
Annual flux increments Pine needle	; (g m ⁻² year ⁻¹) 1.01 (0.18)	1.09 (0.83)	×	0.50 (0.58)	1.21 (0.73)	142	0.66 (0.24)	0.47 (0.52)	-30	0.00 (0.19)	0.22 (0.63)	I
Deciduous leaf Pine wood + bark	(0.07) (0.07)		28	0.37(0.03) 0.82(0.10)	0.53(0.11) 0.95(0.10)	42 16	-0.04(0.02) 0.54(0.05)	-0.19(0.11) 0.65(0.12)	375 21	-0.25 (0.06) 0.53 (0.02)	-0.11 (0.10) 0.76 (0.19)	56 44
+ coarse root Fine root	I	I	0.37	0.67 (0.03)	83*	I	I	I	I			
Total in increments	1.97 (0.25)	2.32 (0.63)	(0.07) 18	2.29 (0.64)	3.67 (0.81)	± 09	1.38 (0.34)	1.18 (0.41)	-14	0.48 (0.26)	1.09 (0.80)	127
Turnover Thrush fall			0 50	0.51 (0.03)	<u>c</u>	U EO	0 51 (0 03)	1				
1 nrougntall	I	I	8C.0 (10.0)	(50.0) 10.0	-17	0.00	(60.0) 10.0	-14	I	I		
Reproduction Pine needle litterfall Deciduous	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \ (0.01) \\ 1.61 \ (0.33) \\ 1.02 \ (0.09) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \ (0.01) \\ 1.72 \ (0.29) \\ 1.03 \ (0.21) \end{array}$	203 7* 2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \ (0.01) \\ 2.10 \ (0.39) \\ 0.88 \ (0.12) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \ (0.03) \\ 2.42 \ (0.29) \\ 0.90 \ (0.19) \end{array}$	272 15** 2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \ (0.03) \\ 1.87 \ (0.42) \\ 0.90 \ (0.10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \ (0.02) \\ 2.20 \ (0.28) \\ 0.92 \ (0.20) \end{array}$	66 18* 1	0.09 (0.02) 2.16 (0.35) 0.93 (0.12)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.23 \ (0.11) \\ 2.38 \ (0.34) \\ 0.97 \ (0.21) \end{array}$	$145\\10^*$
Branch + bark	0.65 (0.07)	0.53 (0.04)	-19	0.51 (0.08)	0.64~(0.03)	25†	0.74 (0.14)	0.80 (0.07)	L	0.82 (0.11)	1.03 (0.31)	25
+ outer itteriant Fine root turnover	I	I	0.31	0.44~(0.16)	45	I	I	I	I			
Total turnover Annual requirement	3.29 (0.35) 5.26 (0.44)	3.30 (0.12) 5.62 (0.52)	1 1 7	3.81 (0.29) 6.10 (0.92)	4.45 (0.27) 8.12 (0.93)	17* 33	3.56(0.47) 4.94(0.44)	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00\ (0.10)\\ 5.18\ (0.33) \end{array}$	12 5	4.00 (0.38) 4.48 (0.24)	4.61 (0.57) 5.70 (1.37)	16^{\dagger} 27
Retranslocation prior	2.50 (0.09)	2.70 (0.32)	8	2.94 (0.37)	3.17 (0.37)	8	3.25 (0.27)	3.44 (0.29)	9	2.76 (0.24)	3.30 (0.26)	19
to auscussion Annual uptake from soil	2.76 (0.36)	2.92 (0.30)	9	3.16 (0.56)	4.95 (0.92)	57	1.69 (0.18)	1.74 (0.23)	З	1.72 (0.18)	2.40 (1.37)	40
(g m ⁻² year ⁻¹) ^b Nitrogen-use efficiency ^c	268 (7)	305 (46)	14†	259 (40)	256 (24)	Ţ	348 (20)	398 (39)	14*	276 (7)	286 (36)	4

^a Defined as: Increments + turnover; excludes throughfall ^b Defined as: Requirement–retranslocation ^c Defined as: NPP/requirement

Table 3 The average (1997–2000) responses of NPP and N-budget components to CO_2 treatment. All units are in g m⁻² year⁻¹ with the exception of nitrogen-use efficiency (*NUE*), which is dimensionless. Levels of statistical significance are as indicated in Table 1

Component	А	Е	%CO ₂
Dry matter production			
Total in increments	820 (31)	1,083 (66)	32*
Total in turnover	663 (55)	765 (29)	16**
NPP	1,483 (60)	1,848 (95)	25**
N budget		, , ,	
Total in increments	1.50 (0.11)	2.03 (0.15)	36*
Total in turnover	3.71 (0.36)	4.05 (0.26)	9*
Annual requirement	5.22 (0.43)	6.08 (0.36)	16§
Retranslocation	2.87 (0.21)	3.15 (0.25)	10
Uptake from soil	2.33 (0.22)	3.00 (0.54)	28
NÛE	290 (0.13)	320 (10)	10

§P=0.06

significantly higher than that under ambient CO_2 . In other years, total biomass was higher in the plots under elevated CO_2 , but only marginally statistically significant (*P*<0.10).

Biomass increments in loblolly pine wood plus coarse roots increased 24-41% under elevated CO₂ and were significantly higher in the first 3 years (Table 1). The increments in loblolly pine needle mass were significantly higher under elevated CO₂ in the 1st and 2nd years, but lower under elevated CO_2 in the 3rd and 4th years. In the 4th growing season, the increments to loblolly pine needle biomass under ambient and elevated CO_2 were negative resulting in a decrease in the biomass of foliage in the canopy relative to 1999 (Table 1). Neither the deciduous leaf nor the deciduous wood plus coarse root increments were significantly affected by growth under elevated CO_2 . The increment in fine root biomass was significantly higher under elevated CO₂ in the 2nd growing season - the only year for which such data are available. The total mass of dry matter in increments was significantly higher under elevated CO₂ than ambient CO_2 in the first 3 years of CO_2 fumigation. Averaging across all 4 years, elevated CO₂ significantly increased the total dry matter in increments by 32% (Table 3).

Loblolly pine needle litterfall mass was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 in all years (Table 1), and fine root turnover was marginally higher under elevated CO_2 (P<0.10). The other components of turnover – reproductive structures, deciduous leaves and branches – were not significantly greater under elevated CO_2 . However, the total return of dry matter to the soil surface was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 in years 2–4 and marginally higher (P<0.10) in the 1st year of this study. Averaging across all years, elevated CO_2 significantly increased the total turnover of organic matter by 16% (Table 3). NPP increased significantly under elevated CO_2 in years 1–3 and was marginally (P<0.10) higher in year 4. Averaging across years, elevated CO_2 increased NPP significantly by 25% (Table 3). N pools, fluxes and comparisons with dry matter

The quantity (g/m^2) of N in loblolly pine needles was significantly greater in the plots under elevated CO₂ in the 2nd and 3rd years but not in the 1st and 4th years of this study (Table 2). The quantity of N in deciduous leaves, deciduous wood plus coarse roots, and fine roots was not significantly different between ambient and elevated CO₂. The content of N in loblolly pine wood plus coarse root biomass was not significantly different between CO₂ treatments (Table 2). The total quantity of N in biomass under elevated CO₂ increased more rapidly than that under ambient CO₂ throughout the 4 years of this study, but in no year was this difference statistically significant.

Only the increment of N in fine root biomass was significantly higher under elevated CO₂ (Table 2). N increments in all other components increased under elevated CO₂ but the increases were not statistically significant. There was considerable inter-annual variation in the total quantity of N contained in the sum of all increments under elevated CO₂; this difference between control and experimental plots was much larger in years 2 and 4 (60% and 127% respectively), than in years 1 and 3 (18% and -14%, respectively) of this study. Only in year 2 was the sum of all N in increments marginally (P<0.10) higher under elevated CO₂. Averaging across years, however, elevated CO₂ significantly increased the quantity of N in all increments by 36% (Table 3).

The turnover of N in loblolly pine needle litterfall was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 in all years of this study (Table 2). Branch plus bark litterfall increased marginally (P < 0.10) under elevated CO₂ in year 2 but was not significantly higher in other years. None of the remaining components of turnover category was significantly different between CO₂ treatments. There were no significant treatment differences in the quantity of N returned to the soil surface in throughfall precipitation (Table 2). The total quantity of N in the turnover category was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 in the 2nd year and marginally higher (P < 0.10) in the 4th year of this study but not significantly different in the 1st and 3rd year (Table 2). Averaged across the 4 years, elevated CO₂ significantly increased the total quantity of N in turnover by 9% (Table 3).

Although not statistically significant, the annual requirement for N increased by 5–33% under elevated CO_2 (Table 2). There was considerable inter-annual variation in the stimulation of the N requirement under elevated CO_2 ; the stimulation was much larger in years 2 and 4 (33% and 27% respectively), than in years 1 and 3 (7% and 5%, respectively) of this study. Averaging across years, elevated CO_2 increased the annual requirement for N by 16% (P<0.06; Table 3).

The retranslocation of N prior to senescence was 6-19% higher under elevated CO₂, but this effect was not statistically significant in any year or when years were averaged together (Tables 2, 3). The annual uptake of N from soils was 3-57% higher, but not significantly



Hereitan Andrew Press and Press and

Fig. 1 The average annual rate of net primary production (NPP) in 1997 and 1998 as a function of the annual rate of net N mineralization in 1998. The *open symbols* are the plots under ambient CO_2 and the *filled symbols* are elevated CO_2 . The annual rate of net mineralization surrounding the ambient and elevated CO_2 plots is 2.85±0.89 and 3.18±0.60 g N m⁻² year⁻¹, respectively

Fig. 2. The rate of potential net N mineralization (μ g N g⁻¹ 28 days⁻¹) in the top 15 cm of mineral soil in each of the first 4 years under ambient and elevated CO₂. Each column is the within-growing-season average rate of potential net N mineralization for samples collected in April, June, August and October of each year. The 1997 and 1998 data are modified from Finzi et al. (2001). The 1999 and 2000 data are from Finzi and Schlesinger (unpublished data)

Table 4 The mean (± 1 SE) pool sizes and fluxes of N in soils in the 2nd (1998) and the 3rd year (1999) of CO₂ fumigation. See Table 1 for the description of symbols for statistical significance

	1998			1999		
	A	Е	%CO ₂	A	Е	% CO ₂
Pools (g m ⁻²) soils ^a						
Forest floor	_	_		16.6	20.6 (0.8)	24**
0–15 cm mineral soil	_	_		105.8 (8.5)	118.6 (13.6)	12
15-30 cm mineral soil	-	_		40.8 (3.9)	46.2 (1.7)	13
Microbial biomass forest floor ^{b,c}	-	-		0.45 (0.08)	0.41 (0.08)	-9
0–15 cm mineral soil	8.19 (1.14)	8.05 (0.81)	-2	7.81 (0.56)	8.56 (0.96)	10
Soil fluxes (g m ⁻² year ⁻¹)						
N ₂ O ^d	0.0070 (0.0006)	0.0079 (0.0006)	13	0.0055 (0.0010)	0.0059 (0.0004)	7
Soil solution concentrations						
O horizon	0.2596 (0.0716)	0.2759 (0.1085)	6	0.3550 (0.0996)	0.3562 (0.0364)	1
15 cm	0.0044 (0.0074)	0.0052 (0.0056)	18	0.0060 (0.0051)	0.0067 (0.0019)	12
70 cm	< 0.001	< 0.001	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	0
200 cm	< 0.001	< 0.001	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	0

^a Data from Schlesinger and Lichter 2001 collected October 1999 ^b A.C. Finzi (unpublished data) ^c The average of samples collected in June, August and October 2000

^d Data from Phillips et al. 2001

so, under elevated CO_2 (Table 2). Averaged across all years, the uptake of N from soils was 28% higher under elevated CO_2 although this effect was not statistically significant.

NUE was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 in the third year of fumigation and marginally (P<0.10) higher in the 1st year of fumigation (Table 2). There was no significant difference in NUE in the 2nd or 4th year under elevated CO_2 or when averaged across the first 4 years (Table 3). NRE was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 (Fig. 1).

Soil pools and fluxes

Forest-floor N mass increased significantly under elevated CO_2 (Table 4); however, the quantity of N in mineral soil to a depth of 30 cm was not significantly affected by elevated CO_2 . Microbial-biomass N was not significantly different between ambient and elevated CO_2 in either the forest floor or the top 15-cm of mineral soil (Table 4). Soil N₂O fluxes were not significantly different between treatment and control plots. Soil water concentrations of inorganic N were higher in the O horizon than in the mineral soil, but the variation between treatment and control plots at any depth was not statistically significant (Table 4). There was no significant difference in the rate of potential net N mineralization between CO_2 treatments in any year of this study (Fig. 2).

Discussion

A step-function increase in the concentration of atmospheric CO₂ significantly increased NPP during the first 4 years of this study (Table 1). Our multi-year measurements showed that different mechanisms operated to maintain high NPP in vegetation exposed to a step-function increase in atmospheric CO_2 (Table 2). In the 1st and 3rd growing seasons under elevated CO₂, significant increases in NUE under elevated CO₂ modulated the average annual requirement for N by vegetation; the average stimulation of NPP by elevated CO_2 in these years was 21% whereas the average annual stimulation of the N requirement was only 6%. However, in the 2nd and 4th growing seasons, increases in NPP increased the annual requirement for N by 27–33% (Table 2). Increases in the annual requirement for N under elevated CO_2 were met by 40-57% increases in N uptake from soils (Table 2). Retranslocation of nutrients prior to senescence played only a minor role in supplying the additional N required by trees growing under elevated CO_2 (Table 2). Although we failed to detect a statistically significant increase in the annual requirement for N and the uptake of N from soil on a year-by-year basis, we believe these processes are important to the long-term productivity of this forest under elevated CO_2 .

NPP was highly correlated with both the CO₂ treatment and the annual rate of net N mineralization in each plot (Fig. 1). NRE (defined as NPP per unit of N mineralized from soil, Pastor and Bridgham 1999) was significantly higher under elevated CO₂ demonstrating that NPP in this ecosystem was initially limited by the availability of C from the atmosphere (Fig. 1). The strong positive correlation between NPP and the annual rate of net N mineralization also suggests that NPP is N limited. Experimental manipulations of soil N availability corroborate this conclusion. Oren et al. (2001) found a strong increase in forest production in this same forest with the application of N fertilizers. N fertilization increases the productivity of young loblolly pine stands throughout the piedmont region of the southeastern United States (Zhang and Allen 1996; Albaugh et al. 1998; Richter et al. 2000; Allen et al. 2002). Multiple resources often limit plant growth simultaneously (Bloom et al. 1985; Aerts and Chapin 2000), and co-limitation by C and N is common (cf. Curtis and Wang 1998). The correlation between NPP and N cycling and the increase in the requirement for N imply that soil N availability will affect the long-term rate of NPP in this ecosystem under elevated CO_2 .

In this N-limited ecosystem, there are four mechanisms that could sustain a high rate of NPP under elevated CO2: increases in NUE, increases in the rate of soil N cycling, redistributions of N among ecosystem pools, and decreased losses of N. NUE was significantly higher under elevated CO_2 in years 1 and 3 of this study (Table 2). Thus despite N limitation to NPP, there was enhanced C storage in woody biomass under elevated CO₂. An increase in NUE is a very important mechanism maintaining high NPP under elevated CO₂ in this ecosystem. The increase in NUE of woody plants growing under elevated CO₂ ranges from 9% to 21% (recalculated from Pregitzer et al. 1995; Johnson et al. 1997; Hattenschwiler and Korner 1998; Zak et al. 2000a); the 14% increase in NUE under elevated CO₂ in this ecosystem is similar in magnitude to those in the published literature. The inter-annual variation in NUE in this ecosystem was large (Table 2) but within the range of that reported for other southern United States pine forest ecosystems (Gholz et al. 1985; Birk and Vitousek 1986).

Increases in rates of soil N cycling could have a positive feedback on NPP if they increase plant N availability (Zak et al. 1993; Finzi et al. 2001; Fig. 1). There is little consensus on the magnitude and direction of the change in the rate of soil N cycling under elevated CO_2 ; there are reports of increases, decreases and no change in gross and net rates of N mineralization (Zak et al. 2000b and references therein). In this ecosystem, there are no detectable changes in the rate of potential net N mineralization in repeated measurements throughout the 1997 – 2000 growing season (Fig. 2). Net rates of N mineralization are assumed to indicate the supply of N to the plantavailable pool. Therefore, we have little evidence to suggest that the rate of soil N supply to plants has increased during the first 4 years of CO_2 fumigation.

There is no evidence that the greater uptake of N by plants under elevated CO₂ shifted N away from other ecosystem pools. There was no decrease in the quantity of N in microbial biomass and an increase in the quantity of N in the forest floor and mineral soils under elevated CO_2 (Table 4). Our data support the hypothesis that the balance of competition for N between plants and microbes has not changed under elevated CO_2 . In contrast, Hu et al. (2001) found that greater plant uptake of N was correlated with reduced soil microbial activity in California grasslands after 6 years of CO₂ fumigation. However, Hu et al. (2001) found no concomitant decrease in microbial biomass N content, and they suggested that the shift in microbial activity under elevated CO₂ could have been due to a change in the composition of the microbial community. Other studies have found increases, decreases or no change in microbial-biomass N content among diverse ecosystems exposed to elevated CO_2 (Diaz et al. 1993; Hungate et al. 1999; Allen et al. 2000; Zak et al. 2000c; Williams et al. 2001). Thus there is no consistent evidence that greater plant-N uptake under elevated CO₂ alters the balance of N between plants and microbes.

In this study, leaching losses of N were very small ($<0.001 \text{ g m}^{-2}$ year⁻¹ at 70- and 200-cm depth, Table 4) and not significantly different between CO₂ treatments.

Differential retention of N from soil water does not explain greater plant uptake of N under elevated CO₂ (Table 3). Aggrading southern pine forests are very strong sinks for available N and negligible leaching losses of N have been reported in several studies (Johnson and Lindberg 1992; Richter and Markewitz 1995, 2001; Richter et al. 2000). Enhanced rates of plant growth under elevated CO_2 have been correlated with decreased leaching losses of N in other ecosystems. For example, Hungate et al. (1999) and Johnson et al. (2001) found reduced concentrations of NO₃⁻ in resin lysimeters below the soil A horizon in a Florida scrub oak ecosystem exposed to 3 years of CO_2 enrichment. However, these results were not placed within the context of an ecosystem mass balance making it difficult to interpret the importance of this pathway as a mechanism alleviating N limitation to plant growth under elevated CO₂.

The annual loss of N as N_2O was very small and not significantly different between CO_2 treatments (Table 4). Despite the small magnitude of this flux, Phillips et al. (2001) demonstrated significant seasonal variation in the evolution of N_2O under elevated CO_2 . Low soil temperature and high soil moisture content were correlated with significantly higher N_2O fluxes under elevated CO_2 during the winter. Conversely, high soil temperature and low soil moisture were correlated with significantly lower soil N_2O fluxes during the summer. Thus, growing season N_2O losses were significantly lower under elevated CO_2 (Phillips et al. 2001). Lower N_2O production during the growing season suggests that the greater plant demand for N under elevated CO_2 may control gaseous losses of N in this ecosystem.

Conclusions

NPP in the Duke forest increased significantly in response to elevated CO₂ throughout the first 4 years of fumigation. High rates of gross primary production relative to respiration significantly increased net ecosystem production in this ecosystem in 1998 (Hamilton et al. 2002). Initially large increases in plant growth and NPP are common among ecosystems dominated by woody plant species following a step-function increase in atmospheric CO₂ (e.g., Johnson et al. 1997; Rey and Jarvis 1997; Tissue et al. 1997; Jach et al. 2000; Zak et al. 2000a). The duration and magnitude of the stimulation in plant growth and NPP under elevated CO₂ varies by plant species and ecosystem (Centritto et al. 1999; Idso 1999). Soil nutrient availability impacts plant responses to elevated CO₂ (Ceulmans and Mousseau 1994; Hattenschwiler and Korner 1997; Curtis and Wang 1998; Saxe et al. 1998), and soil resource availability can regulate long-term responses of terrestrial communities to high CO₂ (McMurtrie and Commins 1996; Rastetter et al. 1997; Kirschbaum et al. 1998; Pan et al. 1998; Luo and Reynolds 1999; Oren et al. 2001).

Inputs of N through atmospheric deposition (0.70 and 0.60 g m⁻² year⁻¹ in 1998 and 1999, respectively; D.D.

Richter, unpublished data) are at least two orders of magnitudes larger than outputs at this site. The efficient retention of N within this ecosystem implies intense competition for N among plants, microbes and physical sinks in soils. There is no evidence that rates of net N mineralization have increased under elevated CO₂ (Fig. 2). Both N availability and C availability control NPP in the Duke Forest (Fig. 1). Ecosystem-simulation models show a down-regulation of NPP in response to a step-function increase in atmospheric CO_2 when plant uptake of N from the available pool exceeds the rate of replenishment via mineralization (McMurtrie and Commins 1996; Rastetter et al. 1997; Luo and Reynolds 1999). Similarly, Oren et al. (2001) found a steep decline in the stimulation of loblolly pine growth after 3 years of CO_2 fumigation in a prototype plot in the Duke forest. (The prototype plots is a single plot that was established prior to the fully replicated experiment to develop and test FACE technology.) In the absence of fertilization with N, loblolly pine growth under elevated CO₂ was not significantly different from that in a non-instrumented reference plot at the end of 4 years (Oren et al. 2001). We have no evidence suggesting that NPP is declining in response to >4-years of CO₂ fumigation in the fully replicated experiment. However, we have not measured any change in N-transformation processes that could increase plant N availability under elevated CO₂. If the annual requirement of N continues to be stimulated by elevated CO_2 , we predict that the productivity response of this forest ecosystem will decline over time.

Acknowledgements George Hendrey, John Nagy and Keith Lewin were instrumental in construction and maintenance of the FACE facilities. Heather Hemric, Jeffrey Pippen, Anthony Mace, Damon Bradbury, Mike Hofmockel, and Ariana Sutton have provided extremely valuable field and laboratory assistance. Heavin Bortz, Regina Rao, Meredith Zaccherio, and Connie Robertson provided additional field and laboratory assistance. We would like to thank Roser Matamala for access to her fine root production data; Rebecca Phillips for access to her soil trace gas flux data; Andrew Allen for access to his 1998 data on mineral soil microbial biomass; and Louis Pitelka and two anonymous reviewers for their comments on this manuscript. This study was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, with ancillary support from the National Science Foundation (DEB 98-15350). Additional support for A. Finzi was provided by an appointment as an Alexander Hollaender Distinguished Postdoctoral Fellow, sponsored by the US Department of Energy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education.

References

- Aerts R, Chapin FS (2000) The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation of processes and patterns. Adv Ecol Res 30:1–67
- Albaugh TJ, Allen HL, Doughterty PM, Kress LW, King JS (1998) Leaf-area and above- and belowground growth responses of loblolly pine to nutrient and water additions. For Sci 44:317–328
- Allen AS, Andrews JA, Finzi AC, Matamala R, Richter DD, Schlesinger WH (2000) Effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) on belowground processes in a loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) forest. Ecol Appl 10:437–448

- Allen HL, Albaugh TJ, Johnsen K (2002) Water and nutrient effects on loblolly pine production and stand development on a sandhill site. In: Outcalt KW (ed) Proceedings of the eleventh biennial southern silvicultural research conference. General Technical Report SRS-48. United States Department of Agriculture, Asheville, N.C. pp 594–595
- Ambus P, Robertson GP (1999) Fluxes of CH_4 and N_2O in aspen stands growing under ambient and twice-ambient CO_2 . Plant Soil 209:1–8
- Bazzaz FA, Miao SL (1993) Successional status, seed size, and responses of tree seedlings to carbon dioxide, light, and nutrients. Ecology 74:104–112
- Binkley D, Hart SC (1989) The components of nitrogen availability in forest soils. Adv Soil Sci 10:57–112
- Birk EM, Vitousek PM (1986) Nitrogen availability and nitrogenuse efficiency in loblolly pine stands. Ecology 67:69–79
- Bloom AJ, Chapin FS, Mooney HA (1985) Resource limitation in plants – an economic analogy. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 16:363– 392
- Brooks PC, Landman A, Pruden G, Jenkinson DS (1985) Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen. Soil Biol Biochem 17:837–842
- Centritto M, Lee HSJ, Jarvis PG (1999) Increased growth in elevated [CO₂]: an early, short-term response? Global Change Biol 5:623–633
- Ceulemans R, Mousseau M (1994) Tansley Review No. 71: Effects of elevated atmospheric CO_2 on woody plants. New Phytol 127:425–446
- Clapp RB, Hornberger GM (1987) Empirical equations for some hydraulic properties. Water Resour Res 14:601–604
- Curtis PS, Wang X (1998) A meta-analysis of elevated CO_2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia 113:299–313
- DeLucia EH, Hamilton JG, Naidu SL, Thomas RB, Andrews JA, Finzi AC, Lavine M, Matamala R, Mohan JE, Hendrey GR, Schlesinger WH (1999) Net carbon storage in an intact forest under experimental CO₂ enrichment. Science 284:1177–1179
- Diaz S, Grime JP, Harris J, McPherson E (1993) Evidence of a feedback mechanism limiting plant response to elevated carbon dioxide. Nature 364:616–617
- Eno CF (1960) Nitrate production in the field by incubating the soil in polyethylene bags. Soil Sci Soc Am Proc 24:277–299
- Finzi AC, Allen AS, Ellsworth DS, DeLucia EH, Schlesinger WH (2001) Litter production, chemistry and decomposition following two years of free-air CO₂ enrichment. Ecology 82:470–484
- Gallardo A, Schlesinger WH (1991) Estimating microbial biomass nitrogen using the fumigation-extraction and fumigation-incubation methods in a warm-temperate forest soil. Soil Biol Biochem 22:927–932
- Gholz HL, Fisher RF, Pritchett WL (1985) Nutrient dynamics in slash pine plantation ecosystems. Ecology 66:647–659
- Hamilton JG, DeLucia EH, George K, Naidu SL, Finzi AC, Schlesinger WH (2002). Forest carbon balance under elevated CO₂. Oecologia (in press)
- Hattenschwiler S, Korner C (1997) Biomass allocation and canopy development in spruce model ecosystems under elevated CO₂ and increased N deposition. Oecologia 113:104–114
- Hendrey GR, Ellsworth DS, Lewin KF, Nagy J (1999) A free-air enrichment system for exposing tall forest vegetation to elevated atmospheric CO₂. Global Change Biol 5:293–309
- Hu S, Chapin FS, Firestone MK, Field CB, Chiariello NR (2001) Nitrogen limitation of microbial decomposition in a grassland under elevated CO₂. Nature 409:188–191
 Hungate BA, Lund CP, Pearson HL, Chapin FS (1997) Elevated
- Hungate BA, Lund CP, Pearson HL, Chapin FS (1997) Elevated CO₂ and nutrient addition alter soil N cycling and N trace gas fluxes with early season wet-up in a California annual grassland. Biogeochemistry 37:89–109
- Hungate BA, Dijkstra P, Johnson DW, Hinkle CR, Drake BG (1999) Elevated CO_2 increases nitrogen fixation and decreases nitrogen mineralization in Florida scrub oak. Global Change Biol 5:781–789

- Idso SB (1999) The long-term response of trees to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. Global Change Biol 5:493–495
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) (2001) Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
- Jach ME, Lauretsens I, Ceulemans R (2000) Above- and belowground production of young Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) trees after three years of growth in the field under elevated CO₂. Ann Bot 85:789–798
- Johnson DW, Lindberg SW (1992) Atmospheric deposition and nutrient cycling in forest ecosystems. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Johnson DW, Ball JT, Walker RF (1997) Effects of CO₂ and nitrogen fertilization on vegetation and soil nutrient content in juvenile ponderosa pine. Plant Soil 190:29–40
- Johnson DW, Hungate BA, Dijkstra P, Hymus G, Drake BG (2001) Effects of elevated carbon dioxide on soils in a Florida scrub oak ecosystem. J Environ Qual 30:501–507
- Katul G, Todd P, Pataki D, Kabala ZJ, Oren R (1997) Soil water depletion by oak trees and the influence of root water uptake on the moisture content spatial statistics. Water Resour Res 33:611–623
- Kirschbaum MUF, Medlyn BE, King DA, Pongracic S, Murty D, Kieth H, Khana PK, Snowdon P, Raison RJ (1998) Modeling forest-growth response to increasing CO₂ concentration in relation to various factors affecting nutrient supply. Global Change Biol 4:23–41
- Lichter J, Lavine M, Mace KA, Richter DD, Schlesinger WH (2000) Throughfall chemistry in a loblolly pine plantation under elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Biogeochemistry 50:73–93
- Luo Ý, Reynolds JF (1999) Validity of extrapolating field CO_2 experiments to predict carbon sequestration in natural ecosystems. Ecology 80:1568–1583
- Martin JG, Kloppel BD, Schaefer TL, Kimbler DL, McNulty SG (1998) Aboveground biomass and nitrogen allocation of ten deciduous southern Appalachian tree species. Can J For Res 28:1648–1659
- Matamala R, Schlesinger WH (2000) Effects of elevated atmospheric CO_2 on fine root production and activity in an intact temperate forest ecosystem. Global Change Biol 6:967– 979
- McMurtrie RE, Commins HN (1996) The temporal response of forest ecosystems to doubled atmospheric CO_2 concentration. Global Change Biol 2:49–57
- Murray MB, Smith RI, Friend A, Jarvis PG (2000) Effect of elevated [CO₂] and varying nutrient application rates on physiology and biomass accumulation of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). Tree Physiol 20:421–434
- Naidu SL, DeLucia EH, Thomas RB (1996) Contrasting patterns of biomass allocation in dominant and suppressed loblolly pine. Can J For Res 28:1116–1124
- Norby RJ, Wullschleger SD, Gunderson CA, Johnson DW, Ceulmans R (1999) Tree responses to rising CO₂ in field experiments: implications for the future forest. Plant Cell Environ 22:683–714
- Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnson KH, Phillips N, Ewers BE, Maier C, Schafer KVR, McCarthy H, Hendrey GR, McNulty SG, Katul GG (2001) Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by a forest ecosystem in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. Nature 411:469–472
- Pan Y, Melillo JM, McGuire AD, Kicklighter DW, Pitelka LF, Hibbard K, Pierce LL, Running SW, Ojima DS, Parton WJ, Schimel DS, et al (1998) Modeled responses of terrestrial ecosystems to elevated atmospheric CO₂: a comparison of simulations by the biogeochemistry models of the Vegetation/Ecosystem Modeling and Analysis Project (VEMAP). Oecologia 114:389–404
- Pastor J, Bridgham SD (1999) Nutrient efficiency along nutrient availability gradients. Oecologia 118:50–58
- Paul EA, Clark FE (1986) Soil microbiology and biochemistry. Academic Press, New York

- Phillips RL, Whalen SC, Schlesinger WH (2001) Influence of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment on nitrous oxide flux in a temperate forest. Global Biogeochem Cycles 15:741–752
- Pregitzer KS, Zak DR, Curtis PS, Kubiske ME, Teeri JA, Vogel CS (1995) Atmospheric CO₂, soil nitrogen, and turnover of fine roots. New Phytol 129:579–585
- Prior SA, Runion GB, Mitchell RJ, Rogers HH, Amthor JS (1997) Effects of atmospheric CO₂ on long leaf pine: productivity and allocation as influenced by nitrogen and water. Tree Physiol 17:397–405
- Rastetter EB, Agren GI, Shaver GR (1997) Responses of N-limited ecosystems to increased CO₂: a balanced-nutrition, coupled-element-cycles model. Ecol Appl 7:444–460
- Rey A, Jarvis PG (1997) Growth responses of young birch trees (*Betula pendula* Roth.) after four and a half years of CO_2 exposure. Ann Bot 80:809–816
- Richter DD, Markewitz D (1995) Atmospheric deposition and soil resources of the southern pine forest. In: Fox S, Mikler R (eds) Impact of air pollution on southern pine forests. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp315–336
- Richter DD, Markewitz D (2001) Understanding soil change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Richter DD, Markewitz D, Heine PR, Jin V, Raikes J, Tian K, Wells CG (2000) Legacies of agriculture and forest regrowth in the nitrogen of old-field soils. For Ecol Manage 138:233–248
- Saxe H, Ellsworth DS, Heath J (1998) Tansley Review No. 98. Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO₂ atmosphere. New Phytol 139:395–436
- Schimel DS, House JI, Hibbard KA, Bousquet P, Ciais P, Peylin P, Braswell BH, Apps MJ, Baker D, Bondeau A, Canadell J, Churkina G, Cramer W, Denning AS, Field CB, Friedlingstein P, Goodale C, Heimann M, Houghton RA, Melillo JM, Moore B, Murdiyarso D, Noble I, Pacala SW, Prentice IC, Raupach MR, Rayner PJ, Scholes RJ, Steffen WL, Wirth C (2001) Recent patterns and mechanisms of carbon exchange by terrestrial ecosystems. Nature 414:169–172
- Schlesinger WH (1997) Biogeochemistry: an analysis of global change, 2nd edn. Academic Press, New York
- Schlesinger WH, Lichter J (2001) Limited carbon sequestration in soil and litter of experimental forest plots under increased atmospheric CO₂. Nature 411:466–469

- Shaver GR, Melillo JM (1984) Nutrient budgets of marsh plants: efficiency concepts and relation to availability. Ecology 65:1491–1510
- Smart DR, Ritchie K, Stark JM, Bugbee B (1997) Evidence that elevated CO_2 levels can indirectly increase rhizosphere denitrifier activity. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:4621–4624
- Tissue DT, Thomas RB, Strain BR (1997) Atmospheric CO_2 enrichment increases growth and photosynthesis of *Pinus taeda*: a 4 year experiment in the field. Plant Cell Environ 20:1123–1134
- Underwood AJ (1997) Experiments in ecology: their logical design and interpretation using analysis of variance. Cambridge University Press, New York
- Vitousek PM (1984) Litterfall, nutrient cycling, and nutrient limitation in tropical forests. Ecology 65:285–298
- Whittaker RH, Marks PL (1974) Methods of assessing terrestrial productivity. In: Lieth H, Whittaker RH (eds) Primary productivity of the biosphere. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 55–118
- Williams MMA, Rice CW, Owensby CE (2001) Nitrogen competition in a tallgrass prarie ecosystem exposed to elevated carbon dioxide. Soil Sci Soc Am J 65:340–346
- Zak DR, Pregitzer KS, Curtis PS, Teeri JA, Fogel R, Randlett DL (1993) Elevated atmospheric CO₂ and feedback between carbon and nitrogen cycles. Plant Soil 151:105–117
- Zak DR, Pregitzer KS, Curtis PS, Vogel CS, Holmes WE, Lussenhop J (2000a) Atmospheric CO₂, soil-N availability, and allocation of biomass and nitrogen by *Populus tremuloides*. Ecol Appl 10:34–46
- Zak DR, Pregitzer KS, King JS, Holmes WE (2000b) Elevated atmospheric CO₂, fine roots and the response of soil microorganisms: a review and hypothesis. New Phytol 147:201– 222
- Zak DR, Pregitzer KS, Curtis PS, Holmes WE (2000c) Atmospheric CO₂ and the composition and function of soil microbial communities. Ecol Appl 10:47–59
- Zhang SS, Allen HL (1996) Foliar nutrient dynamics of 11-yearold loblolly pine following nitrogen fertilization. Can J For Res 26:1426–1439