

Functional Ecology

DR MARTIJN SLOT (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5558-1792)
DR BENJAMIN LUKE TURNER (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6585-0722)
DR PAUL-CAMILO ZALAMEA (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-0987-4164)

Article type : Research Article

Section: Plant Physiological Ecology
Editor: Dr Rebecca Ostertag

Species-specific effects of phosphorus addition on tropical tree seedling response to elevated
CO₂

Jennifer B. Thompson^{1,2,†,*}, Martijn Slot¹, James W. Dalling^{1,3}, Klaus Winter¹, Benjamin L.
Turner¹, Paul-Camilo Zalamea^{1,4,†,*}

¹Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apartado Postal 0843-03092, Panama, Republic of
Panama

²University of California Berkeley, Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and
Management, Berkeley, CA, USA

³University of Illinois, Department of Plant Biology, Champaign-Urbana, IL, USA

⁴University of South Florida, Department of Integrative Biology, Tampa, FL, USA

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

* For correspondence: Paul-Camilo Zalamea, Tel: +507 212 8912, Email:
camilozalamea@gmail.com

or Jennifer Thompson, Tel: +1 (916) 579 9272, Email: jenniferbriana.thompson@gmail.com.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not
been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may
lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as
doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13421

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Abstract

1. Tropical forest productivity is often thought to be limited by soil phosphorus (P) availability. Phosphorus availability might therefore constrain potential increases in growth as the atmospheric CO₂ concentration increases, yet there is little experimental evidence with which to evaluate this hypothesis. We hypothesized that while all species would respond more strongly to elevated CO₂ when supplied with extra P, individual species' responses would also depend on their habitat associations with either high- or low-P soils. We further hypothesized that this effect would be exacerbated by a reduction in transpiration rate under elevated CO₂, as transpiration may aid in P acquisition.
2. We used a pot experiment to test the effects of P addition on the physiological and growth response to elevated CO₂ of eight tropical tree species with contrasting distributions across a soil P gradient in Panamanian lowland forests. Seedlings were grown in an ambient (400 ppm) or elevated (800 ppm) CO₂-controlled glasshouse in either a high or low P treatment to quantify the effects of P limitation on relative growth rate, transpiration, maximum photosynthetic rate, and foliar nutrients.
3. We found evidence of limitation by P and CO₂ on growth, photosynthesis, foliar nutrients, and transpiration. However, species' P affinities did not predict relative growth rate or transpiration responses to elevated CO₂ in either the low P or high P treatments.
4. Transpiration rates decreased under elevated CO₂, but foliar P was greater for some species under elevated CO₂, suggesting a greater capacity for upregulation of P acquisition in species associated with low P soils.

5. Our results show that tropical forest responses to elevated CO₂ will be species-specific and not necessarily explained by P affinities based on distribution, which poses challenges for predictions of community-wide responses.

Keywords: Climate response – CO₂ fertilization – Phosphorus limitation –Species distributions – Tropical forest

Introduction

Tropical forests store over half of the world's forest carbon stocks (Pan et al., 2011) and have some of the highest rates of net primary productivity of any biome (Cramer et al., 1999). Thus, tropical forests have the potential to strongly impact atmospheric CO₂ concentrations through carbon sequestration. For example, without accounting for emissions due to land conversion, it is estimated that tropical forests sequester $1.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1}$ (Schimel et al., 2015), which is equivalent to 15% of global annual anthropogenic C emissions. Nonetheless, the responses of tropical trees to elevated CO₂ are understudied compared to temperate ecosystems (Cernusak et al., 2013; Leakey, Bishop, & Ainsworth, 2012) and, consequently, predictions of sequestration potential for tropical forests under elevated CO₂ vary widely (Cox et al., 2004; Hickler et al., 2008; Yang, Thornton, Ricciuto, & Hoffman, 2016). This highlights uncertainties regarding the future of tropical forests, as well as their potential to mitigate current increases in atmospheric CO₂.

It is expected that by 2100, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations will have increased from the current ~400 ppm to between 538 and 936 ppm, according to the IPCC's RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively (IPCC, 2013). Many plant species have higher photosynthetic rates under elevated CO₂, because photosynthesis is less limited by carbon availability (Ainsworth

& Rogers 2007; Norby et al., 2005; Ziska et al., 1991). This may cause a CO₂ fertilization effect, whereby the stimulated photosynthetic rate leads to increased growth. However, when one physiological limitation is lifted, it is expected that other environmental factors will limit plant responses (Leakey et al., 2012), an idea held by Liebig's law of the minimum in which growth is controlled not by the total resources available but rather by the limiting resource. In temperate ecosystems where soil nitrogen (N) is often the most limiting nutrient (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991), low soil N availability limits plant growth from CO₂ fertilization over time as N becomes depleted by stimulated growth (Norby & Warren, 2010; Oren et al., 2001; Reich et al., 2006). In contrast, the productivity of lowland tropical forests is generally thought to be limited by soil phosphorus (P), rather than by N (Cleveland et al., 2011; Turner, Brenes-Arguedas, & Condit, 2018; Vitousek, 1984). Although N can limit growth in regenerating forests in eastern Amazonia (Davidson et al., 2004) or there is increasing evidence of nutrient colimitation in the tropics (Wright, 2019), in general the strongly weathered nature of the tropical landscape means that P is more likely to limit growth (Vitousek, 2004). Indeed, recent evidence suggests that such limitation occurs broadly at the species level (Turner et al., 2018).

Phosphorus limitation has strong physiological and ecological effects on vegetation. Quesada et al. (2012) found that wood production in the Amazon was positively correlated with total soil P, while in Panama the majority of tree species grow faster where soil P concentrations are greater (Turner et al., 2018). Phosphorus has also been shown to be important in explaining tree species distributions (Condit, Engelbrecht, Pino, Perez, & Turner, 2013; Prada et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2018; Zalamea, Turner, Winter, Jones, Sarmiento, & Dalling 2016). In the Panama Canal watershed, where small-scale heterogeneity in soil P availability is comparable to its variation across the entire Amazon basin, P availability was the most

Accepted Article

important edaphic predictor of the distribution of over 500 tree species even when comparing other soil nutrients including among others N and K (Condit et al., 2013). More than half of the species showed strong positive or negative associations with P availability (Condit et al., 2013). While increasing P appears to stimulate growth rates for most tree species in lowland forest in Panama (Zalamea et al., 2016; Turner et al., 2018), low P levels do not necessarily imply a community-wide limitation on productivity; rather, some species thrive on low P soils while others dominate soils with higher P levels (Turner et al., 2018). In fact, Zalamea et al. (2016) showed that the growth of species naturally distributed in sites with high P availability was stimulated by P addition in a pot experiment, while species from low P availability sites have little or no change in growth when P was added. Furthermore, species distributional associations with soil P did not predict biomass allocation or foliar P when plants were grown at either low or high P (Zalamea et al., 2016). Although it is still unclear how some species maintain high growth rates on low P sites, this most probably involves mechanisms that promote efficient use or uptake of P (Turner et al., 2018). Transpiration — the rate of water loss per unit leaf area—typically decreases with increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration (e.g. Winter, Aranda, Garcia, Virgio, & Paton, 2001a). Cernusak, Winter, & Turner (2011b) found that in response to decreased transpiration at elevated CO₂ foliar P of some tropical tree species may decline. Thus, constraints by nutrient limitation on the CO₂ response may be further exacerbated by negative effects of elevated CO₂ on transpiration rates (Morison & Gifford, 1984; Singh & Deepak, 1980; Winter et al., 2001b) given that transpiration may help modulate nutrient acquisition, including P, via mass flow (Cernusak et al., 2011b).

Incorporating P limitation into models of plant response to increases in CO₂ can strongly influence predictions of future forest productivity (Yang et al., 2016). Yang et al. (2016) found that including the natural gradient of soil P across the Amazon basin reduced prior estimates of future forest productivity responses to increased CO₂ by as much as 26%. Despite these model predictions of the importance of P limitation in the context of global climate change, few experimental studies have explored interacting effects of soil P and CO₂ on tropical trees. In a subtropical eucalyptus forest in Australia, Ellsworth et al. (2017) found that increasing the CO₂ concentration 150 ppm above ambient in a FACE experiment did not increase tree biomass in P limited soils. When the plots were fertilized with P at ambient CO₂, however, net primary productivity increased by 35% suggesting that species response to CO₂ was P limited. In a study in central Panama, two lowland tree species, *Ficus insipida* and *Virola surinamensis*, accumulated 52% more biomass in open top chambers (OTC) in the presence of twice-ambient CO₂ when given a NPK + micronutrient fertilizer than those grown without fertilizer, indicating nutrient limitation of the response to CO₂ fertilization (Winter, Garcia, Gottsberger, & Popp 2001b).

Here we conducted a greenhouse experiment using ambient and twice-ambient CO₂, and low and high P soil treatments, to determine if soil P availability limits growth and physiological responses to elevated CO₂. Because plant responses to P might vary depending on adaptations to the availability of P in their native habitat (Zalamea et al., 2016), we selected species that are naturally associated with habitats that represent a range of soil P availability (Condit et al., 2013). We hypothesized that while all species would respond more strongly to elevated CO₂ when supplied with extra P, the responses of individual species would also depend on their habitat associations with either high- or low-P soils (Fig. 1). We predicted four possible scenarios for plant response to CO₂ in relation to soil P availability, in which species' growth

and physiological responses reflect differential allocation to soil P acquisition. First, a CO₂ response would be precluded if species with distributional affinities for low P soils have conservative resource investment in P uptake under limited P availability. In contrast, species with affinities for high P soils and higher P needs might be unable to respond to elevated CO₂ on low P soils due to P limitation, leading to little change in the magnitude of the CO₂ response with P affinity (Fig.1, scenario 1). Second, the inherent ability of species associated with low P soils to be productive at low P availability could permit a strong positive CO₂ response, leading to a decline in the response to CO₂ as P affinity increases (Fig.1, scenario 2). Third, a more conservative growth strategy in species associated with low P may constrain the response to elevated CO₂ relative to species associated with high P soils, leading to an increasing response to CO₂ as P affinity increases (Fig.1, scenario 3). Finally, in the absence of P limitation, the CO₂ response may be independent of traits associated with P uptake and use, leading to little variation in the increasing response to CO₂ with P affinity (Fig.1, scenario 4). Furthermore, we predicted that elevated CO₂ would reduce transpiration rates per unit of plant biomass, resulting in a reduction in foliar P concentration. For species associated with P rich soils, this predicted reduction in foliar P would further constrain their CO₂ response under low P conditions.

Materials and Methods

Study species and growth conditions

Seeds of eight pioneer tree species were collected from the Barro Colorado Nature Monument (BCNM) in central Panama (Table 1). We selected pioneer species because they are ubiquitous in the neotropics and due to their small seed reserves they rapidly become dependent on the acquisition of external nutrients. As such, they are ideal for studying seedling nutrient dynamics. Furthermore, pioneers that occur in the BCNM and across the

Panama Canal watershed forests have widely ranging soil P associations that predict their growth response to P addition under ambient CO₂ conditions (Zalamea et al., 2016). Using presence/absence data for 550 tree species across the Panama Canal watershed, Condit et al. (2013) implemented Gaussian logistic regression models to determine species' distributional associations with plant-available P. They showed that resin-extractable phosphorus, a measure of plant available P, was a strong predictor of species distributions, and more than half of the tree species studied had pronounced associations with either high- or low-P soils. Phosphorus associations for each species have been measured as P effect sizes, defined as the first-order parameter of the logistic model for the species distribution relative to P, which reflect the change in the probability of occurrence of a species across the gradient in P availability in Panama Canal watershed forests when other resources are held constant (Condit et al., 2013). Plant available P varies from <0.1 to >20 mg P kg⁻¹ across these forests (Condit et al., 2013). Effect size values <-0.6 and >0.6 indicate species naturally found on soils with low and high availability of P, respectively, values between -0.6 and 0.6 are referred to as 'weak' associations. Effect sizes for species included in this study ranged from -1.08 to 1.18 (Table 1).

Seeds were germinated in a commercial potting soil in an open-air shade house. After approximately three weeks, seedlings were transplanted into 30 cm tall, 2.65 L black tree pots (Stuewe and Sons Inc., Corvallis, OR, USA) filled with a 50:50 soil:sand mix. Soil was collected from the Santa Rita Ridge, Panama, a site with one of the lowest soil P values in the canal watershed. Mean (\pm SE) extractable resin P for the site was 0.16 ± 0.03 mg kg⁻¹ and total soil P was 128 ± 10 mg kg⁻¹ (B. Turner, unpublished data). Seedlings were grown in glasshouses in the Santa Cruz plant research facility in Gamboa, Panama. Split air-conditioning units regulated glasshouse temperatures to match outdoor temperatures (~32°C

Accepted Article

daytime, ~24°C nighttime) and CO₂ concentrations were maintained at either 400 or 800 ppm CO₂. CO₂ concentration was maintained at 800 ppm by using a GMW21D carbon dioxide transmitter (Vaisala, Helsinki) and a CR-5000 measurement and control system (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). Injection of pure CO₂ was initiated when the CO₂ concentration fell below 790 ppm and was terminated when CO₂ concentration reached 800 ppm. The CO₂ concentration was prevented from overshooting by providing CO₂ in multiple pulses of 2 s interrupted by 5 s without CO₂ injection. Seedlings were watered daily and received 150 ml of a liquid fertilization treatment weekly (as in Zalamea et al., 2016). All seedlings received a full nutrient treatment including 4 mM KNO₃, 1.5 mM MgSO₄ and 4 mM CaCl₂, micronutrients, and Fe as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid iron (III)-sodium salt. In addition, plants grown in the +P treatment received 1.33 mM NaH₂PO₄ per week while those in the -P treatment did not. There were six replicate seedlings per species for each combination of CO₂ and P treatments. The seedlings were moved to a different location in the chamber at least twice during the experiment to reduce potential effects of bench location.

Relative growth rate measurements

Before fertilizing the seedlings for the first time, five individuals per species were harvested and dried in an oven at 70°C for 3 days. These initial weights were used to calculate mean relative growth rate (RGR) over the experiment.

$$RGR = \frac{\ln(\text{final mass}) - \ln(\text{initial mass})}{\text{Days of growth}}$$

Seedlings grew for 27–62 days depending on the species growth rate (Table 1). We aimed for plant biomass to pot volume ratios to stay, on average, < 1 g L⁻¹ in the +P treatment, following recommendations of Poorter et al. (2012). Leaf area was measured with an

automated leaf area meter (LI-3000A, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). Seedlings were dried in an oven at 70°C for at least 3 days before being weighed.

Whole-plant transpiration rates

We measured whole-plant transpiration rates gravimetrically 2–3 days before harvest. Plants were watered until soil saturation and pots sealed with plastic bags around the seedling stem base to prevent soil water evaporation. Pots were weighed directly after watering and 48 hours later to determine total daily water loss per unit leaf area ($\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot 24\text{ h}^{-1}$).

Foliar nutrient analysis

Leaves were dried, ground, and analyzed for total foliar N and P. Total foliar P was determined by first ashing leaf tissue in a muffle furnace (550°C for 1 hour), and then dissolving in 1 M HCl, with P detection by molybdovanadate colorimetry on a Lachat Quikchem 8500 (Hach Ltd, Loveland, 468 Colorado, USA). Total foliar N was measured by dry combustion on a Thermo Flash EA1112 analyzer (CE Elantech, New Jersey, USA).

Photosynthetic capacity

Net photosynthesis was measured at a pre-determined saturating irradiance level of 1200 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$ at the CO_2 concentrations of the glasshouse, i.e. at 400 and 800 ppm. Measurements were made with a LI-6400XT portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR) on one fully expanded, recently matured leaf per plant at $30.3 \pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$ (mean \pm SD of leaf temperature during measurement). Leaf-to-air vapor pressure was maintained below 2.5 kPa during all measurements. Not all treatment combinations produced leaves large enough to be enclosed in the 2 x 3 cm leaf cuvette. In total data were obtained for 140 plants belonging to 8 species, 66 in the -P treatment and 74 in the +P treatment.

Statistical analysis

We used linear regression analyses to estimate the relationship between the P effect size and the percent increase of RGR, maximum photosynthetic rate, foliar nutrients, and transpiration in response to P fertilization and CO₂ treatments. Regressions were performed on the percent increase of the response variable to fertilization at 800 relative to 400 ppm. The percent increase was calculated using the average values from each P treatment as:

$$\% \text{ increase} = \frac{800 - 400}{400} \times 100$$

The percent increase in the +P relative to the -P treatment was also calculated, results of which are reported in the Supporting Information (Appendix S1).

We additionally used linear mixed effect models to test if P fertilization, CO₂ level, and the CO₂ by P interaction affected the different variables: RGR, foliar nutrients, transpiration rate, and maximum photosynthetic rate. Phosphorus and CO₂ treatments were set as fixed effects and species as random. All non-normally distributed data were log transformed before analysis. Data were analyzed with the packages *nlme* and *lme function* in R (version 3.5.10).

Results

Overall treatment effects

The CO₂ and P treatments had similarly strong positive overall effects on seedling growth (RGR) across species (Fig. 2, Table 2). However, there was no evidence that the P treatment impacted plant response to CO₂ (i.e. no significant CO₂ by P treatment interaction; Fig. 2, Table 2). Similar results were found for whole-plant transpiration and foliar N concentration, with reduced rates and concentrations under elevated CO₂ and P treatments (Fig. 2, Table 2).

Accepted Article

Despite reductions in foliar N, the maximum photosynthetic rate (A_{\max}) was nearly doubled under elevated CO_2 , but A_{\max} did not respond to the P treatment nor show a CO_2 by P interaction (Fig. 2, Table 2). Foliar P concentrations responded positively to the P and CO_2 treatments and showed a strong treatment interaction; a similar pattern was observed for foliar N:P, which ranged from >30 in the ambient CO_2 and low P treatment to <15 in the high CO_2 and high P treatments (Fig. 2, Table 2). Foliar C:N increased with P addition and elevated CO_2 (Table 2).

Species level responses

Responses to P and CO_2 treatments varied at the species level and were often independent of the distributional association with soil P (Fig. 3). We found strong support for scenarios 1 and 4 (Fig. 1), indicating that species differences in allocation to P uptake and P-limitation under elevated CO_2 resulted often in an absence of interactive effects between fertility and the response to CO_2 . Despite large differences in growth responses among species, we did not find that the soil P association of species predicted its RGR response to elevated CO_2 in either the low P treatment ($R^2=0.26$, $p=0.11$, $n=8$; Fig. 3a) or in the high P treatment ($R^2=-0.11$, $p=0.59$, $n=8$; Fig. 3a). Despite the absence of a predictable growth response, individual traits did reflect species distributions. There was a significant negative correlation between species P affinity and the percent increase in maximum photosynthetic rate from ambient to elevated CO_2 for the +P treatment ($R^2=0.55$, $p=0.03$, $n=7$; Fig. 3b), but not for the -P treatment ($R^2=0.23$, $p=0.19$, $n=6$; Fig. 3b). Therefore, the photosynthetic rate of species associated with low P soils was more strongly stimulated by elevated CO_2 in the presence of extra P compared to species associated with high P soils. While photosynthetic rates measured at their treatment CO_2 level were always greater for the elevated CO_2 plants, when photosynthesis was compared at 400 ppm CO_2 , the rates tended to be lower for plants grown

Accepted Article

at elevated CO₂. This effect was particularly strong in the -P treatment (data not shown), indicating that photosynthetic capacity was downregulated at high CO₂ supply, especially under limiting nutrient conditions. There was no relationship between species P association and increase in transpiration rate in the -P ($R^2=-0.04$, $p=0.44$, $n=8$; Fig. 3c), nor +P ($R^2=-0.16$, $p=0.87$, $n=8$; Fig. 3c) treatments.

Foliar P followed a similar trend to photosynthesis. In the +P treatment, there was a marginally significant negative relationship between P affinity and the proportional increase in foliar P concentration between the 400 and 800 ppm chamber ($R^2=0.40$, $p=0.05$, $n=8$; Fig. 3d). Species associated with low P soils therefore increased foliar P more when fertilized under elevated CO₂ than species associated with high P soils. There was no relationship in the -P treatment ($R^2=-0.04$, $p=0.43$, $n=8$; Fig. 3d). A similar pattern was observed for foliar N, with a negative relationship with P affinity in the +P treatment ($R^2=0.56$, $p=0.02$, $n=8$; Fig. 3e), and no relationship in the -P treatment ($R^2=-0.12$, $p=0.65$, $n=8$; Fig. 3e). Finally, there was a marginally significant, negative relationship between the proportional increase of the N:P ratio and P affinity in the -P treatment ($R^2=0.29$, $p=0.09$, $n=8$; Fig. 3f), but no significant difference in the +P treatment ($R^2=0.04$, $p=0.30$, $n=8$; Fig. 3f).

Discussion

Seedling growth increased in response to P addition and elevated CO₂, suggesting that growth on P poor soils can be co-limited by P and CO₂. Likewise, photosynthesis rates were higher at elevated CO₂ and tended to be stimulated by P addition. These results are consistent with previous studies of responses of growth and photosynthesis to P addition and CO₂ fertilization in tropical trees (e.g. Cernusak et al., 2011a). However, we did not find a significant interaction between the P and CO₂ treatments. This result indicates that P addition

Accepted Article

does not stimulate the response of plant growth and photosynthesis to CO₂ fertilization in this group of tropical pioneer species. This result adds empirical evidence to the results of the CLM4-CNP model of Yang et al. (2016) that found that a CO₂ fertilization effect in the Amazon region would be greatly overestimated if soil P availability was not considered. The model considered C, N, and P cycling as well as other site-specific characteristics, but employed plant functional types (PFTs) rather than species-specific data.

The CLM4-CNP model uses two tropical PFTs, broadleaf evergreen and broadleaf deciduous, which are separated by photosynthetic parameters, stem and leaf optical properties, and root distribution patterns, among others. Thus, the model assumes that all tree species fall into one of these two categories and behave similarly in terms of photosynthesis, resources use, and growth. These broad categories can overly simplify forest response to elevated CO₂, or not portray a completely accurate one, as we found that even within one PFT (here, early successional mostly broadleaf evergreen species) vary greatly in their responses to environmental changes. Below we describe the potential for P limitation to drive species-specific responses to rising atmospheric CO₂.

How does soil P availability modulate seedling response to elevated CO₂?

Overall, elevated CO₂ decreased transpiration rates, consistent with many studies (e.g. Morison & Gifford, 1984; Singh & Deepak, 1980; Winter et al., 2001a). We also saw an effect of P treatment on transpiration, with reduced rates in the +P treatment. Cernusak et al. (2011b) found a positive correlation between the transpiration ratio (mass of water transpired per plant carbon mass produced) and P content in tropical tree seedlings in Panama, suggesting that higher transpiration rates increase P acquisition via mass flow. Cernusak et al. (2011b) also found that when transpiration was reduced by elevated CO₂, the leaf C:P ratio

increased in *Swietenia macrophylla*, but stayed constant on *Ormosia macrocalyx*. This suggests that foliar P concentrations of some tropical tree species may decline in response to decreasing transpiration rates, whereas other species may be unaffected. Our results provide only partial support for this; in the -P treatment foliar P did not differ between CO₂ treatments, while in the +P treatment foliar P was further increased at elevated CO₂. These contrasting results highlight the complexity of P uptake mechanisms, with a potential role for mass flow in some species, but not others. Furthermore, the species-specific nature of CO₂ effects on P uptake could have major consequences for competitive interactions among species under different P environments and climate change scenarios.

Nutrient addition experiments in the field (Ostertag, 2010; Santiago et al., 2011; Wright et al., 2011) and in pot experiments (Zalamea et al., 2016) have shown that foliar P increases with P addition and, as expected, foliar P concentration increased with P addition in the current study. Foliar P also increased under elevated CO₂. Moreover P and CO₂ treatments showed a strong interaction effect; only when P was supplied did foliar P increase under elevated CO₂. Tang et al. (2006), found an increase in foliar P of tropical weedy forb species with elevated CO₂, which they attributed to an increase in mycorrhizal colonization and P uptake as plants had increased nutrient demand from the stimulated growth. Carbohydrate supply to symbionts becomes less costly for plants when grown at high CO₂, leading to higher foliar P under elevated CO₂ but not under ambient CO₂. Our plants were grown on unsterilized soil collected from the field, so it is likely that our plants were colonized by mycorrhizal fungi. Nasto et al. (2019) also found increased AMF colonization and phosphatase activity under elevated CO₂, which was attributed to the trees having a greater metabolic capacity to acquire P. In our pot experiment we did not find a root mass fraction response to elevated CO₂ (data not shown), although, Liu et al. (2013) found that in a subtropical forest in China, increased

Accepted Article

root growth under elevated CO₂ increased P acquisition and foliar P. Species may overcome low soil P availability by specializing in the acquisition of different forms of P, including organic P forms (Steidinger, Turner, Corrales, & Dalling, 2015; Nasto et al., 2017), which could underlie differences in physiological responses to elevated CO₂.

Foliar N and N:P ratios were reduced under elevated CO₂ and P addition. Phosphorus addition significantly increased growth rates, and without additional N supply the increase in growth rate may have led to N dilution in the tissue and an increase in the C:N ratio. A reduction in foliar N is commonly observed under elevated CO₂ and may be associated with a reduction of N-rich photosynthetic enzymes or increased starch content of leaves (Medlyn et al., 2002). When photosynthetic rates were measured on plants at their respective CO₂ treatment concentrations, rates were higher under elevated CO₂. However, when compared at the same CO₂ concentration, plants grown at elevated CO₂ had lower photosynthesis rates, suggesting a down-regulation of photosynthetic capacity.

Photosynthetic rates were only marginally affected by P addition in our experiment; however, we found a positive correlation between foliar P and photosynthetic rates (See Appendix S2), indicating that in addition to CO₂ limitation, photosynthesis can also be P limited, as shown previously for plants in P-limited soils (Lovelock et al., 1997; Raaimakers, Boot, Dijkstra, Pot, & Pons, 1995). This is consistent with the view that photosynthesis in tropical trees is often co-limited by N and P (Norby et al., 2016). Lovelock et al. (1997) found that under elevated CO₂ and inoculation with AMF, the tropical tree *Beilschmiedia pendula* photosynthesized more than in ambient CO₂ and non-mycorrhizal conditions, likely due to P limitation of photosynthesis.

Can species distributional P affinities predict species responses to elevated CO₂?

In a previous pot experiment, P affinities of species—based on their distribution within the Panama Canal area—were a strong predictor of species growth response to P addition (Zalamea et al., 2016). Thus, species with an affinity for high P soils, indicated by a positive associational P effect size, showed a larger increase in RGR in response to P addition than those with an affinity for low P soils. In contrast, here we found that P effect sizes could not easily predict the magnitude of species growth responses to elevated CO₂. The magnitude of growth responses to P addition increased with P effect size, both in ambient CO₂ (as in Zalamea et al., 2016), and at elevated CO₂ (see Appendix S1) but for some species the growth response was greater under elevated CO₂, while for others it was greater under ambient CO₂. Overall, the growth response to P addition at ambient CO₂ was only marginally significantly correlated with that at elevated CO₂ (Spearman's rank correlation: $\rho = 0.71$, $p=0.057$, $n=8$). All species responded to elevated CO₂, but the degree of growth stimulation in the two P treatments was independent of P affinity (Fig. 3a). Our results strongly support scenarios 1 and 4 (Fig. 1) for most of the measured traits. Thus, while there are physiological limitations to CO₂ response in the absence of P, these are generally not dependent on distributional associations to P (Fig. 1 – Scenario 1), or the CO₂ response is independent of P affinity when P is not limiting (Fig. 1 – Scenario 4).

While most trait responses to elevated CO₂ were independent of distributional P affinities, we found a significant negative correlation between P affinity and the increase in maximum photosynthetic rate from ambient to elevated CO₂ in the +P treatment. This result is driven strongly by the higher percent increase in maximum photosynthetic rate at elevated CO₂ of the two species with the lowest P effect sizes in our experiment—*Trichospermum galeottii* and *Cecropia insignis*. These two species also had much higher foliar P at elevated than at

Accepted Article

ambient CO₂ in the +P treatment (~110% increase, compared to an average ~37% for the remaining species). Curiously, at ambient CO₂ both the foliar P and photosynthetic rates of these species were much lower under +P than under -P. This suggests that photosynthesis was stimulated by foliar P regardless of the species' distributional P affinity (see Appendix S2). These photosynthesis results indicate that the effect of elevated CO₂ on carbon fixation of tropical trees will be highly species specific, and that responses may depend on P availability.

Tree species normally found in low P environments tend to have higher P-use efficiency (PUE) than soil generalists (Gleason, Read, Ares, & Metcalfe, 2009). We found that in the ambient CO₂ and P addition treatments, species naturally distributed in high P sites had higher concentrations of P in their leaves when compared to species naturally distributed in low P sites (see Appendix S3) but this relationship disappeared at elevated CO₂. Furthermore, in the P addition treatment there was a marginally significant negative relationship between P affinity and the increase in foliar P concentration between ambient and elevated CO₂. In a fertilization experiment in lowland tropical China, P addition increased all four functional types of foliar P: structural P, metabolic P, nucleic acid P, and residual P of tropical trees (Mo et al., 2019). The magnitude of change was species-specific, but species could reallocate P pools to meet photosynthetic demands (Mo et al., 2019), suggesting that some species may be able to overcome P limitation of certain responses in P poor soils. These results highlight the need for further studies to understand the differences in species P-use efficiency or P uptake mechanisms that allow species to thrive in low P environments and specifically how they may affect species responses to elevated CO₂.

Phosphorus affinities of late-successional species are as variable as those of early-successional species (Condit et al., 2013). Thus we hypothesize that strategies to acquire and use soil available P should vary similarly among species in these two functional groups. At the early seedling stage, late-successional species are unlikely to respond strongly to P addition, regardless of CO₂ concentration, because the larger seeds of late-successional species support seedling growth much longer than the small seeds of early-successional species (Slot et al., 2013). Foliar P concentration significantly increased with P addition (Santiago et al., 2011), but it seems unlikely that this elevated leaf P would support a strong CO₂ fertilization effect on late successional species given the conservative growth habits of this functional group. Previous work in Panama has shown that while early-successional species respond strongly to CO₂ enrichment, late-successional species do not, even when supplied with ample mineral nutrients (Winter & Lovelock, 1999). As our study focused on small-seeded, early-successional species, firm conclusions about the community-level responses to climate change will require future studies that include late-successional species. However, we expect that species-specific effects of P addition on the physiological and growth response to elevated CO₂ found in this study for early-successional species are likely to be found on other functional groups.

Our study provides insight at the complex interactions between soil P availability and elevated CO₂ in tropical tree species. This is the first study determining responses to nutrient limitation and elevated CO₂ in relation to known P associations in the field. While we found both P and CO₂ limitation overall in the context of our pot experiment, individual species responses to elevated CO₂ and soil P were largely unrelated to their respective associations to P availability in the field. This could have implications for future forest composition, and therefore carbon storage and residency time, as species responses to CO₂ depend not only on

the availability of P, but also species-specific relationships to P. At least for the eight species studied here, these relationships cannot be readily predicted from the P association of the species in the field. These results emphasize the importance of conducting complementary *in-situ* experiments in the tropics (Würth, Winter, & Körner, 1998), such as the planned AmazonFACE program, as well as studying species-specific responses in order to ascertain how highly productive tropical forests can sequester CO₂ under soil nutrient limitation.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute for providing facilities, logistical support, and permission to conduct the project. We especially thank C. Sarmiento, A. Bielnicka, M. Garcia, J. Aranda, J. Salas, and D. Agudo for assistance in the laboratory and the greenhouse. We also thank C. Sarmiento for the preparation of Figure 1. We would like to thank the Associate Editor, and two anonymous reviewers for the comments and suggestions, which have greatly helped to improve our manuscript. JBT was supported by NSF-REU to the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI - 1359299). PCZ was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation to STRI (429440, WTW). PCZ, MS, BLT, and KW were supported by the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. JWD was supported by the University of Illinois.

Author Contributions

JWD, PCZ, KW and BLT conceived and designed the study. JBT, PCZ, MS, JWD, KW and BLT collected the data and performed the experiment. JBT, PCZ and MS analyzed the data. JBT, PCZ, JWD, and MS wrote the manuscript and all the authors commented on it.

Data Accessibility

Raw data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository at

<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.777461k> (Thompson, Slot, Dalling, Winter, Turner, & Zalamea, 2019).

References

- Ainsworth, E. A., & Rogers, A. (2007). The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO₂]: Mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 30(3), 258–270. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01641.x>
- Cernusak, L. A., Winter, K., Dalling, J. W., Holtum, J. A. M., Jaramillo, C., Körner, C., ... Wright, S. J. (2013). Tropical forest responses to increasing atmospheric CO₂: Current knowledge and opportunities for future research. *Functional Plant Biology*, 40(6), 531–551. <http://doi.org/10.1071/FP12309>
- Cernusak, L. A., Winter, K., Martinez, C., Correa, E., Aranda, J., Garcia, M., ... Turner, B. L. (2011a). Responses of Legume Versus Nonlegume Tropical Tree Seedlings to Elevated CO₂ Concentration. *Plant Physiology*, 157(1), 372–385. <http://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.182436>
- Cernusak, L. A., Winter, K., & Turner, B. L. (2011b). Transpiration modulates phosphorus acquisition in tropical tree seedlings. *Tree Physiology*, 31(8), 878–885. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpr077>
- Cleveland, C. C., Townsend, A. R., Taylor, P., Alvarez-Clare, S., Bustamante, M. M. C., Chuyong, G., ... Wieder, W. R. (2011). Relationships among net primary productivity, nutrients and climate in tropical rain forest: A pan-tropical analysis. *Ecology Letters*, 14(9), 939–947. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01658.x>
- Condit, R., Engelbrecht, B. M. J., Pino, D., Perez, R., & Turner, B. L. (2013). Species

distributions in response to individual soil nutrients and seasonal drought across a community of tropical trees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(13), 5064–5068. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218042110>

Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Collins, M., Harris, P. P., Huntingford, C., & Jones, C. D. (2004). Amazonian forest dieback under climate-carbon cycle projections for the 21st century. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 78(1–3), 137–156. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-004-0049-4>

Cramer, W., Kicklighter, D. W., Bondeau, A., Moore III, B., Churkina, G., Nemry, B., ... The Participants of the Potsdam NPP Model Intercomparison. (1999). Comparing global models of terrestrial net primary productivity (NPP): Overview and key results. *Global Change Biology*, 5(S1), 1–15. <http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00009.x>

Davidson, E. A., de Carvalho, C. J. R., Vieira, I. C., Figueiredo, R. D. O., Moutinho, P., Ishida, F. Y., ... & Sabá, R. T. (2004). Nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of biomass growth in a tropical secondary forest. *Ecological Applications*, 14(4), 150-163. <https://doi.org/10.1890/01-6006>

Ellsworth, D. S., Anderson, I. C., Crous, K. Y., Cooke, J., Drake, J. E., Gherlenda, A. N., ... Reich, P. B. (2017). Elevated CO₂ does not increase eucalypt forest productivity on a low-phosphorus soil. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(4), 279–282. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3235>

Gleason, S. M., Read, J., Ares, A., & Metcalfe, D. J. (2009). Phosphorus economics of tropical rainforest species and stands across soil contrasts in Queensland, Australia: Understanding the effects of soil specialization and trait plasticity. *Functional Ecology*, 23(6), 1157–1166. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01575.x>

Hickler, T., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Mjöfors, K., Miller, P., Arneth, A., & Sykes, M. T. (2008). CO₂ fertilization in temperate FACE experiments not representative of boreal

and tropical forests. *Global Change Biology*, 14(7), 1531–1542.

<http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01598.x>

IPCC. (2013). `Climate Change 2013'. (V. B. and P. M. M. Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K.

Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, Ed.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.

Leakey, A. D. B., Bishop, K. A., & Ainsworth, E. A. (2012). A multi-biome gap in understanding of crop and ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 15(3), 228–236. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2012.01.009>

Liu, J., Huang, W., Zhou, G., Zhang, D., Liu, S., & Li, Y. (2013). Nitrogen to phosphorus ratios of tree species in response to elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen addition in subtropical forests. *Global Change Biology*, 19(1), 208–216. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12022

Lovelock, C. E., Kyllö, D., Popp, M., Isopp, H., Virgo, A., & Winter, K. (1997). Symbiotic vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae influence maximum rates of photosynthesis in tropical tree seedlings grown under elevated CO₂. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 24(2), 185–194. <https://doi.org/10.1071/PP96089>

Medlyn, B. E., Dreyer, E., Ellsworth, D., Forstreuter, M., Harley, P. C., Kirschbaum, M. U. F., ... Walcroft, A. (2002). Temperature response of parameters of a biochemically based model of photosynthesis. II. A review of experimental data. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 25(9), 1167–1179. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2002.00891.x>

Mo, Q., Sayer, E. J., Lambers, H., Li, Y., Zou, B., Tang, J., ... Wang, F. (2019). Foliar phosphorus fractions reveal how tropical plants maintain photosynthetic rates despite low soil phosphorus availability. *Functional Ecology*, 33(3), 503–513.

<http://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13252>

Morison, J. I. L., & Gifford, R. M. (1984). Plant Growth and Water Use with Limited Water Supply in High CO₂ Concentrations. I. Leaf Area, Water Use and Transpiration.

Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 11(5), 361-374.

<http://doi.org/10.1071/PP9840361>

Nasto, M. K., Osborne, B. B., Lekberg, Y., Asner, G. P., Balzotti, C. S., Porder, S., ... &

Cleveland, C. C. (2017). Nutrient acquisition, soil phosphorus partitioning and competition among trees in a lowland tropical rain forest. *New Phytologist*, 214(4), 1506-1517. doi: 10.1111/nph.14494.

Nasto, M. K., Winter, K., Turner, B. L., & Cleveland, C. C. (2019). Nutrient acquisition strategies augment growth in tropical N₂-fixing trees in nutrient-poor soil and under elevated CO₂. *Ecology*, 100(4), e02646. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2646>

Norby, R. J., DeLucia, E. H., Gielen, B., Calfapietra, C., Giardina, C. P., King, J. S., ... Oren,

R. (2005). Forest response to elevated CO₂ is conserved across a broad range of productivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(50), 18052–18056.

<http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0509478102>

Norby, R., & Warren, J. (2010). CO₂ enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen availability. *PNAS*, 107(45), 19368–19373.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1006463107>

Norby, R. J., Gu, L., Haworth, I. C., Jensen, A. M., Turner, B. L., Walker, A. P., ... & Winter,

K. (2016). Informing models through empirical relationships between foliar phosphorus, nitrogen and photosynthesis across diverse woody species in tropical forests of

Panama. *New Phytologist*, 215(4), 1425-1437. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14319>

Oren, R., Ellsworth, D. S., Johnsen, K. H., Phillips, N., Ewers, B. E., Maier, C., ... Katul, G.

G. (2001). Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. *Nature*, 411(6836), 469–472. <http://doi.org/10.1038/35078064>

- Ostertag, R. (2010). Foliar nitrogen and phosphorus accumulation responses after fertilization: an example from nutrient-limited Hawaiian forests. *Plant and Soil*, 334(1–2), 85–98. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0281-x>
- Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. A., Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. A., ... Hayes, D. (2011). A Large and Persistent Carbon Sink in the World's Forests. *Science*, 333(6045), 988–993. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201609>
- Poorter, H., Böhler, J., van Dusschoten, D., Climent, J., & Postma, J. A. (2012). Pot size matters: a meta-analysis of the effects of rooting volume on plant growth. *Functional Plant Biology*, 39(11), 839–850. <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP12049>
- Prada, C. M., Morris, A., Andersen, K. M., Turner, B. L., Caballero, P., & Dalling, J. W. (2017). Soils and rainfall drive landscape-scale changes in the diversity and functional composition of tree communities in premontane tropical forest. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 28(4), 859–870. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12540>
- Quesada, C. A., Phillips, O. L., Schwarz, M., Czimczik, C. I., Baker, T. R., Patiño, S., ... Lloyd, J. (2012). Basin-wide variations in Amazon forest structure and function are mediated by both soils and climate. *Biogeosciences*, 9(6), 2203–2246. <http://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-2203-2012>
- Raaimakers, D., Boot, R. G. A., Dijkstra, P., Pot, S., & Pons, T. (1995). Photosynthetic rates in relation to leaf phosphorus content in pioneer versus climax tropical rainforest trees. *Oecologia*, 102(1), 120–125. <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00333319>
- Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., Lee, T., Ellsworth, D. S., West, J. B., Tilman, D., ... Trost, J. (2006). Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to CO₂. *Nature*, 440(7086), 922–925. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature04486>
- Santiago, L. S., Korine, C., Yavitt, J. B., Harms, K. E., Wright, S. J., Garcia, M. N., & Turner, B. L. (2011). Tropical tree seedling growth responses to nitrogen, phosphorus

and potassium addition. *Journal of Ecology*, 100(2), 309–316.

<http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01904.x>

Schimmel, D., Stephens, B. B., & Fisher, J. B. (2015). Effect of increasing CO₂ on the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(2), 436–441. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407302112>

Singh, J. J., & Deepak, A. (1980). The effects of elevated CO₂ concentrations on growth, photosynthesis, transpiration, and water use efficiency of plants. In *Environmental and climatic impact of coal utilization*. (p. CONF-790458). New York, NY.: Academic Press.

Slot, M., Palow, D. T., & Kitajima, K. (2013) Seed reserve dependency of *Leucaena leucocephala* seedling growth for nitrogen and phosphorus. *Functional Plant Biology*, 40(3), 244–250. <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP12255>

Steidinger, B. S., Turner, B. L., Corrales, A., & Dalling, J. W. (2015). Variability in potential to exploit different soil organic phosphorus compounds among tropical montane tree species. *Functional Ecology*, 29(1), 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12325>

Tang, J., Chen, J., & Chen, X. (2006). Response of 12 weedy species to elevated CO₂ in low-phosphorus-availability soil. *Ecological Research*, 21(5), 664–670. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-006-0161-2>

Thompson, J. B., Slot, M., Dalling, J., Winter, K., Turner, B. L., & Zalamea, P. C. (2019) Data from: Species-specific effects of phosphorus addition on tropical tree seedling response to elevated CO₂. Dryad Digital Repository. <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.777461k>

Turner, B. L., Brenes-Arguedas, T., & Condit, R. (2018). Pervasive phosphorus limitation of tree species but not communities in tropical forests. *Nature*, 555(7696), 367–370. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature25789>

- Vitousek, P. M. (1984). Litterfall, Nutrient Cycling, and Nutrient Limitation in Tropical Forests. *Ecology*, 65(1), 285–298. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1939481>
- Vitousek, P. M. (2004). *Nutrient cycling and limitation: Hawai'i as a model system*. Princeton University Press.
- Vitousek, P. M., & Howarth, R. W. (1991). Nitrogen Limitation on Land and in the Sea : How Can It Occur? *Biogeochemistry*, 13(2), 87–115. <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002772>
- Winter, K., & Lovelock, C. E. (1999) Growth responses of seedlings of early and late successional tropical forest trees to elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Flora*, 194(2), 221–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530\(17\)30900-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530(17)30900-3)
- Winter, K., Aranda, J., Garcia, M., Virgio, A., & Paton, S. R. (2001a). Effect of elevated CO₂ and soil fertilization on whole-plant growth and water use in seedlings of a tropical pioneer tree, *Ficus insipida*. *Flora*, 196(6), 458–464. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530\(17\)30087-7](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530(17)30087-7)
- Winter, K., Garcia, M., Gottsberger, R., & Popp, M. (2001b). Marked growth response of communities of two tropical tree species to elevated CO₂ when soil nutrient limitation is removed. *Flora*, 196(1), 47–58. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530\(17\)30011-7](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530(17)30011-7)
- Wright, S. J. (2019). Plant responses to nutrient addition experiments conducted in tropical forests. *Ecological Monographs*, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1382>.
- Wright, S. J., Yavitt, J. B., Wurzburger, N., Turner, B. L., Tanner, E. V. J., Sayer, E. J., ... Harms, K. E. (2011). Potassium, phosphorus, or nitrogen limit root allocation, tree growth, or litter production in a lowland tropical forest. *Ecology*, 92(8), 1616–1625. <https://doi.org/10.1890/10-1558.1>
- Würth, M.K.R., Winter, K., & Körner, C.H. (1998) *In situ* responses to elevated CO₂ in tropical understorey plants. *Functional Ecology*, 12(6), 886–895.

<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00278.x>

Yang, X., Thornton, P. E., Ricciuto, D. M., & Hoffman, F. M. (2016). Phosphorus feedbacks constraining tropical ecosystem responses to changes in atmospheric CO₂ and climate.

Geophysical Research Letters, 43(13), 7205–7214.

<http://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069241>

Zalamea, P., Turner, B. L., Winter, K., Jones, F. A., Sarmiento, C., & Dalling, J. W. (2016).

Seedling growth responses to phosphorus reflect adult distribution patterns of tropical trees. *New Phytologist*, 212(2), 400–408. <http://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14045>

Ziska, L. H., Hogan, K. P., Smith, a P., & Drake, B. G. (1991). Growth and Photosynthetic Response of 9 Tropical Species with Long-Term Exposure to Elevated Carbon-Dioxide.

Oecologia, 86(3), 383–389. <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317605>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Appendix S1: Relationships between P effect size and the percent increase between the –P and +P treatments for plant responses.

Appendix S2: Relationship between total foliar P and photosynthetic rates.

Appendix S3: Relationship between total foliar P and species' P affinities.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Table legends

Table 1. Species used in the greenhouse experiment and their respective family, growth period and P effect sizes. More negative P effect sizes indicate distributional associations with soils with lower P availability (Condit et al., 2013).

Species	Family	Growth period (days)	P effect size
<i>Trichospermum galeottii</i> (Turcz.) Kosterm.	Malvaceae	62	-1.08
<i>Cecropia insignis</i> Liebm.	Urticaceae	55	-0.86
<i>Ochroma pyramidale</i> (Cav. ex Lam.) Urb.	Malvaceae	44	-0.15
<i>Trema micrantha</i> (L.) Blume	Cannabaceae	27	0.37
<i>Ficus insipida</i> Willd.	Moraceae	62	0.48
<i>Guazuma ulmifolia</i> Lam.	Malvaceae	41	0.69
<i>Cecropia peltata</i> L.	Urticaceae	53	0.99
<i>Cecropia longipes</i> Pittier	Urticaceae	51	1.18

Table 2. Results of linear mixed-effects models for each trait.

Trait		Factor		
		CO ₂ treatment	P treatment	CO ₂ · P treatment
RGR	<i>F</i>	47.95	40.15	0.09
	<i>p</i>	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.76
Photosynthesis	<i>F</i>	112.89	2.82	0.93
	<i>p</i>	<0.0001	0.09	0.34
Transpiration	<i>F</i>	51.12	21.59	2.19
	<i>p</i>	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.14
Foliar P	<i>F</i>	14.10	80.66	14.10
	<i>p</i>	<0.001	<0.0001	<0.001
Foliar N	<i>F</i>	22.83	8.12	0.15
	<i>p</i>	<0.0001	<0.01	0.69
N:P	<i>F</i>	31.80	92.51	4.57
	<i>p</i>	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.03
C:N	<i>F</i>	18.00	5.333	0.0091
	<i>p</i>	<0.0001	0.022	0.9240

Figure legends

Figure 1. Potential responses to elevated CO₂ among species with contrasting distributional affinities for soil P in different soil P environments. The magnitude of CO₂ response indicates the degree of change between the ambient and elevated CO₂ treatments (see text for details).

Figure 2. Average trait values for species across CO₂ and P treatments: (a) RGR, (b) maximum photosynthetic rate, (c) transpiration, (d) total foliar P, (e) total foliar N, (f) N:P. Error bars represent ± 1 SE. For each trait, statistical significance of each treatment (i.e. CO₂, P, and CO₂ by P interaction) is noted with asterisks.

Figure 3. Relationships between P effect size and the percent increase between the 400ppm and 800ppm treatments for plant responses: (a) RGR, (b) maximum photosynthetic rate, (c) transpiration, (d) total foliar P, (e) total foliar N, and (f) foliar N:P. Significant relationships are noted with a solid line and marginally significant relationships ($P < 0.1$) with a dotted line. Shaded grey bands represent 95% CI.





